, L	STATE OF OREGON TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of the) Administrative License of:) FINDINGS OF FACT, ULTIMATE
4	DAVID C. DERRICK) FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF DAVID C. DERRICK) LAW, OPINION AND PROPOSED ORDER)
5 6	On September 27, 1996, the Teacher Standards and Practices
7	Commission (Commission) issued a Notice of Opportunity for
8	Hearing, proposing to suspend or revoke the teaching license of
9	David C. Derrick (Derrick) or otherwise impose discipline under
10	ORS 342.177. On October 7, 1996, Derrick requested a hearing,
11	and the Commission scheduled a hearing for December 16, 1996.
12	The hearing took place as scheduled with a hearing panel
13	consisting of Patricia Walker, Teresa Ferrer Carter, and Martin
14	Morris as chair. Kelly M. Gabliks, Assistant Attorney General,
15	represented the Commission. Derrick appeared personally and
16	represented himself. The hearing was conducted as a contested
17	case matter and was mechanically tape recorded.
18	The panel heard testimony from Mr. Derrick.
19	The following exhibits were received into evidence without
20	objection:
21	TSPC Exhibits:
22	 Notice of Opportunity for Hearing dated September 27, 1996.
23 24	 Letter from Mr. Derrick to Dr. Myton dated 10/7/96 requesting a hearing.
25	 Mr. Derrick's Application for License Authorizing Public School Service Form C-1 signed 4/23/96.
26	

PAGE 1 - FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, OPINION AND PROPOSED ORDER

1 4. Letter from Ms. DeVoursney to Mr. Derrick dated 6/25/96. 2 5. Letter from Mr. Derrick to Ms. DeVoursney dated 3 7/18/96. 4 Ontario Police Department Arrest Report for Driving 6. under the Influence of Intoxicants and violation of the 5 Basic Rule dated 3/15/96 and attached Special Report. 6 7. Judgment dated 9/12/96 for Mr. Derrick's Driving Under the Influence of Intoxicants and violation of the Basic 7 Rule. 8 8. Certified copy of Mr. Derrick's Case Register for driving offenses committed in Malheur County. 9 Certified copy of Mr. Derrick's Judgment and Sentencing 9. 10 Order for Theft in the Second Degree conviction. 11 10. Allstate Investigative Report regarding suspected embezzlement by Mr. Derrick of \$5,832.78. 12 11. Transcript of interview of Mr. Derrick conducted by Mr. 13 Nutting on 4/25/90 during Allstate investigation. 14 12. Letter from Mr. Derrick to Mr. McGillivray. 15 FINDINGS OF FACT 16 1. David Derrick held an Oregon Basic Teaching License 17 endorsed for integrated science and social studies from September 19, 1984 to October 31, 1987 and January 8, 1992 to October 31, 18 19 He also held a Secondary Substitute Teaching License from 20 October 26, 1990 to October 31, 1993. On or about April 29, 1996, Derrick submitted an 21 2. 22 application for reinstatement of his Oregon Substitute Teaching 23 The application was signed and dated on April 23, 1996. License. 24 Derrick answered "no" to question 9, "Have you ever been arrested 25 or cited for any offense (other than a minor traffic violation) 26 which is still pending in the courts?" Derrick also answered FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, OPINION AND PAGE 2 -PROPOSED ORDER

- 1 "no" to question 10, "have you ever entered a plea of guilty or
- 2 Nolo Contendere relative to any charge of misdemeanor, felony or
- 3 major traffic violation?"
- 4 3. A fingerprint check revealed that Derrick had arrests
- 5 and/or criminal convictions in Oregon as stated below.
- a) On July 16, 1993, Derrick was arrested on charges
- 7 of Theft I and Theft II. He plead "no contest" to a lesser
- 8 offense of Theft II and was fined \$5,045, sentenced to 14 days in
- 9 jail, and placed on probation for three years.
- b) On March 26, 1996, Derrick was arrested for DUII
- 11 and Violation of the Basic Rule and was scheduled for trial on
- 12 August 13, 1996. Derrick initially denied having consummed any
- 13 alcohol and made other inappropriate comments to the arresting
- 14 officer. On September 12, 1996, Derrick was convicted for DUII
- 15 and Violation of the Basic Rule and was fined \$862, required to
- 16 complete 14 days on the County Work Crew, make written apology to
- 17 the arresting officer, had his driver's license suspended for one
- 18 year and was placed on probation for 18 months with various
- 19 conditions, including abstinence from the use or possession of
- 20 intoxicants.

21 ULTIMATE FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Derrick's application contained two serious
- 23 misrepresentations regarding his criminal record, including his
- 24 misdemeanor conviction and pending Driving Under the Influence of
- 25 Intoxicants arrest.
- 26 ///
- PAGE 3 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, OPINION AND PROPOSED ORDER

- 1 2. When asked to explain his record, Derrick did not take
- 2 responsibility for his misrepresentations, attempting to blame
- 3 others, or excuse his actions because of the haste in which he
- 4 filled out the form.
- 5 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
- 6 David Derrick's convictions bear a demonstrable relationship
- 7 to fitness to serve as an educator:
- 8 1) The conviction for Theft II resulted from knowing and
- 9 substantial unauthorized use of his employer's resources for
- 10 private purposes. If Mr. Derrick had been employed by a school
- 11 district, his actions would have constituted gross neglect of
- 12 duty under OAR 584-20-040(4)(a).
- 13 2) Derrick's conviction for DUII sets a poor example for
- 14 impressionable students. Schools and police agencies are
- 15 expending substantial energy and resources to educate children
- 16 and youth on the dangers of abuse of alcohol and controlled
- 17 substances. The public expects educators to exhibit responsible
- 18 use of alcohol. Conviction for DUII is evidence of gross
- 19 unfitness under OAR 584-20-040(5).
- 20 3) Derrick's actions and comments to the police officer
- 21 who arrested him for DUII demonstrate a lack of respect for the
- 22 dignity and worth of all persons and are a violation of OAR 584-
- 23 20-010(1).
- 4) Mr. Derrick's failure to report these
- 25 arrests/convictions on the Application Form C-1 constitutes a
- 26 ///
- PAGE 4 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, OPINION AND PROPOSED ORDER

```
false statement related to licensure, and is a basis for a
1
    finding of gross neglect of duty under OAR 584-20-040(4)(c).
2
                                 OPINION
3
         Mr. Derrick will be eligible to reapply for an Oregon
4
    Substitute Teaching License after a period of one year from the
5
    date of this Order. If Mr. Derrick reapplies, he shall:
              Read the application carefully, answer all questions
7
         1)
    truthfully, and provide all necessary documents to support and
8
    clarify answers on the application. However, he will not be
    required to provide court records on the convictions cited
10
11
   herein;
              Document that he has complied with the terms of his
12
         2)
    probation for the DUII conviction; and
13
              Document that he has made restitution payments to
         3)
14
   Allstate Insurance Company as scheduled.
15
         At the time of reapplication, Mr. Derrick shall have the
16
    burden of proof to present evidence of fitness to serve as an
17
    educator specific to the following Rules:
                                                584-20-020(1), 584-20-
18
    040(4)(c), and 584-20-040(5)(c).
19
20
                                   ORDER
         The Commission upholds the denial of reinstatement of Mr.
21
    Derrick's Oregon Substitute Teaching License for falsification of
22
23
    ///
24
    ///
25
    ///
    ///
26
```

PAGE 5 - FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, OPINION AND PROPOSED ORDER

1	statements on the Application Form and for convictions of
2	Theft II and DUII.
3	DATED this $\frac{29}{\text{M}}$ day of $\frac{\sqrt{\text{amay}}}{\sqrt{\text{amay}}}$, 199 $\frac{7}{2}$.
4	
5	TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION
6	
7	By: David M. Myton, Executive Secretary
8	David M. Myton, Executive Secretary
9	NOTICE: YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY BE OBTAINED BY FILING FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW WITHIN
10	60 DAYS OF THE SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ORS 183.482 THROUGH THE OREGO
11	COURT OF APPEALS.
12	KMG0362.PLE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I served the foregoing Order by mailing a true copy thereof, certified by me as such, by U.S. first class mail, and U.S. Certified Mail, with Return Receipt Requested addressed to:

DAVID C. DERRICK 67 SW FIFTH STREET ONTARIO OR 97914

Dated this $\frac{29}{2}$ day of

Sy: <u>Alexent</u> Charlene A. Smith

Secretary to the Commission