1		BEFORE THE		
2	TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION			
3	STATE OF OREGON			
4				
5				
6	In the Matter of the) FINDINGS OF FACT,		
7	Teaching License of) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,		
8	James Richard Richardi	OPINION AND ORDER		
9) OF SUSPENSION		
10				
11				
12		FINAL ORDER		
13				
14		998, the Teacher Standards and Practices		
15	Commission adopts the attached proposed order to suspend the Oregon			
16	Teaching License for one year from the date of this Order.			
17	15 th			
18	DATED THIS/3	day of July, 1998.		
19				
20	TEACHER	STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION		
21	\wedge	Λ		
22	<i>(</i>)	· ///)		
23		and Myto		
24	By	il IV as a Director		
25	Dav	rid V. Myton, Executive Director		
26	NOTICE. VOLLADE ENTITLED	TO A JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER.		
27		BTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW		
28		SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW		
29 30		SIONS OF ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON		
31	COURT OF APPEALS.	NODEN TO 100.402 TO THE OREGON		
32	COURT OF AFFEALS.			
33				
22				

```
1
                             STATE OF OREGON
               TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION
2
3
   In the Matter of the
                                  PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT,
                                  CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, OPINION AND
   Teaching License of
4
   James Richard Richardi
                                  ORDER
5
6
7
        On January 28, 1998 the Teacher Standards and Practices
   Commission (the "Commission") issued a Notice of Opportunity to
8
   James Richard Richardi (Richardi) proposing to suspend, revoke or
9
   impose other discipline under ORS 342.177. On January 30, 1998,
10
   Richardi requested a hearing, and a hearing was held on April 28
11
12
   and 29, 1998, in Salem, Oregon before a panel of three
   commissioners; Susan Wilcoxen, Chair, Martin Morris and Patrick
13
            Richardi was represented by Sean A. Lyell, Attorney and
14
   Pullam.
   the Commission was represented by Assistant Attorney General Gary
15
16
   Cordy.
17
        Prior to the commencement of the hearing Assistant Attorney
   General Cordy amended the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to
18
   delete the word "ownership" and to substitute the words
19
20
    "possession/use/control" in the first paragraph of the
21
   allegations. In addition reference to OAR 584-20-034(3)(a) was
   corrected to OAR 584-20-035(3)(a). Counsel for Richardi did not
22
23
   object to these amendments.
        The hearing was conducted as a contested case hearing and
24
25
   was tape recorded.
26
   ///
```

PAGE 1 - PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, OPINION AND ORDER

1

The panel heard testimony from Richardi and Douglas County 1 Deputy District Attorney William Marshall (Marshall). 2 following exhibits were received into evidence: 3 Commission Exhibits: 4 Indictment for Tampering With a Witness and Perjury 5 S1 6 dated May 21, 1996. District Attorney Information for Obstructing 7 S2 Governmental Judicial Administration dated November 18, 8 9 1997. Incident Report, Douglas County Sheriff's Office by 10 S3 Officer Ben Kempke Dated June 12, 1996. 11 Transcript of Tape Recording of Phone Call Between Bret 12 S4 Wilson and James Richardi, May 3, 1996 ≈ 10:45 p.m. 13 Transcript of Tape Recording of Phone Call Between Bret 14 S5 Wilson and James Richardi, May 3, 1996 ≈ 9:15 p.m. 15 Investigation Report of Susan Nisbet. 16 S6 Transcript of Tape Recording of Phone Call Between Bret 17 S7 Wilson and Michelle Ellis, May 3, 1996. 18 Licensee Exhibits: 19 Not receive due to objection. 20 L1 Plea Statement and Order of James R. Richardi. 21 L2 Judgment dated November 19, 1997. 22 L3 Douglas County Community Corrections Adult & Probation 23 L4 Courtesy Referral dated 3-17-98 (reflecting that James 24 R. Richardi completed his Community Service). 25 26 ///

1	L 5	Lane County Division of Adult Corrections Community	
2		Service Program Agency Certification Form dated 3-17-98	
3		(reflecting completion of Community Service and	
4		excellent performance evaluation).	
5	L6	Incident Report - Douglas County Sheriff's Office, by	
6		Officer Ben Kempke, dated 5-13-96 (6 pages).	
7	L7	Receipt from S-M Enterprises, 1375 River Road, Eugene	
8		OR 97404, (503) 688-8700 (reflecting purchase of four	
9		guns, serial numbers 243088, AB 3844, 078610, and	
10		D082933, from James Richardi for \$650 on April 4,	
11		1998).	
12		RULINGS	
13	Counsel for Teacher Standards and Practices Commission		
14	(TSPC) made a motion in limine to restrict Richardi from		
15	introducing any evidence to dispute the factual allegations		
16	contained in the criminal complaint to which he was convicted.		
17	TSPC argued that as a matter of law Richardi could not dispute		
18	the charges contained in the criminal complaint for which he was		
19	convicted on November 19, 1997.		
20	Richardi argued that he should not be precluded from		
21	disputing the facts contained in the criminal complaint because		
22	he entered a plea of no contest and did not plead guilty.		
23	The panel ruled that Richardi could not offer evidence		
24	disputing the factual allegations contained in the criminal		
25	complaint. The panel reasoned that a plea of no contest is a		
26	conviction under ORS 135 345 and a certified record of the		

conviction, which was provided to the panel * * * "shall be conclusive evidence of a conviction * * * " ORS 342.175(6). 2 Furthermore, TSPC counsel noted that the Commission had previously decided in the Boltak case, issued March 18, 1998, that an educator could not attempt to impeach a criminal 5 6 conviction. Lastly, TSPC asserted, and Richardi did not dispute, that 7 issue preclusion should apply under Oregon appellate analysis 8 including State Farm Fire and Casualty Co. v. Sallak, 140 Or App 9 89 (1996) and State v. Woodard, 121 Or App 483 (1993). 10 Numerous rulings on objections during the hearing were based 11 on this ruling on the motion in limine. These evidentiary 12 rulings included exclusion of an exhibit offered by Richardi 13 prepared by a private investigator and exclusion of testimony by that investigator because the evidence sought to be offered fell 15 within the panel's ruling on the motion in limine. 16 Richardi objected to exhibits S4 and S5 on the basis of 17 relevance. The panel determined the exhibits were relevant and 18 19 they were admitted. During closing argument counsel for Richardi made reference 20 to a transcript not in evidence. Counsel for TSPC asked the 21 panel to permit receipt of the transcript and the document was 22 admitted as exhibit S-7. 23 24 /// 111 25 26 ///

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 2 1. Richardi was employed in the Eugene School District 4-J
- 3 from 1972 until 1997 and held a valid teaching licensed during
- 4 that time.

1

- 5 2. On May 3, 1996, Douglas County deputies served a search
- 6 warrant at the residence of Bretley Wilson (Wilson) in Roseburg,
- 7 Oregon. Deputies discovered six firearms and ammunition in
- 8 Wilson's residence and seized those items pursuant to the
- 9 warrant.
- 10 3. Wilson was a convicted felon and by law was prohibited
- 11 from possessing firearms. Richardi and Wilson were friends.
- 12 4. Wilson was arrested and incarcerated in Douglas County
- 13 Jail on May 3, 1996, and charged with felony possession of
- 14 firearms.
- 15 5. Wilson told officer Kempke (Kempke) that some of the
- 16 firearms were owned by Richardi. Richardi later confirmed to
- 17 Kempke ownership of four of the firearms.
- 18 6. At approximately 9:00 p.m., on May 3, Wilson spoke to
- 19 Richardi by phone from the jail. The conversation was secretly
- 20 recorded by jail staff. (Ex S5) In that conversation Richardi
- 21 and Wilson agree to make false statements regarding
- 22 possession/use/control of the firearms. The false statements
- 23 were to be used for the purpose of providing a defense to the
- 24 felony charges against Wilson. Wilson asked and Richardi agreed
- 25 to talk to Michelle Ellis (Ellis) to tell her of the plan to make
- 26 false statements and to have her participate in the falsehoods.

- 7. At approximately 10:30 p.m. Wilson and Richardi spoke
- 2 again by phone and this conversation was also secretly recorded.
- 3 (Ex S4) In this conversation Richardi tells Wilson he had talked
- 4 to Ellis.
- 5 8. On May 9, Kempke interviewed Richardi and Richardi made
- 6 false statements to Kempke. Richardi falsely stated that Ellis
- 7 brought the four guns to Wilson's residence and that the four
- 8 guns were delivered to Wilson's residence shortly before the
- 9 police searched Wilson's residence. Richardi also falsely stated
- 10 to Kempke that he didn't know anything about two pistols that
- 11 were seized during the search. In fact Richardi had previously
- 12 seen those pistols at Wilson's residence.
- 9. On May 14, 1996, Richardi and Ellis appeared before a
- 14 Douglas County grand jury. Ellis testified before Richardi and
- 15 said that she had transported the four firearms owned by Richardi
- 16 from Eugene to Wilson's Roseburg residence on the morning of
- 17 May 3, 1996.
- 18 10. After testifying before the grand jury, Ellis was told
- 19 by police and Marshall that there was reason to believe that she
- 20 had given false testimony. Ellis was given an opportunity to
- 21 return to the grand jury and recant her false statements. Ellis
- 22 then testified that she had not transported the firearms to
- 23 Wilson on May 3rd and that she had agreed with Richardi and
- 24 Wilson to make the false statements regarding transporting the
- 25 firearms to Wilson on the morning of May 3.
- 26 ///

- 1 11. Richardi testified before the grand jury and was
- 2 unaware of what Ellis had said. Richardi falsely testified that
- 3 Ellis had brought the four guns to Roseburg in her car on May 3;
- 4 that he had not talked to Wilson or Ellis about what to tell
- 5 police about the guns; and that he did not speak to Wilson about
- 6 the guns while Wilson was in custody.
- 7 12. A two count indictment was filed against Richardi on
- 8 May 22, 1996. Count one was Tampering with a witness, (ORS
- 9 162.285) and Count two was Perjury (ORS 162.065).
- 10 13. On May 28, 1996, Richardi was arrested at school on a
- 11 felony arrest warrant. Richardi's arrest was known by students
- 12 and co-workers and was reported in the press.
- 13 14. Wilson was convicted of Felon in Possession of
- 14 Firearms.
- 15. As a result of a plea bargain, Richardi agreed to plead
- 16 to Obstructing Governmental or Judicial Administration (ORS
- 17 162.235), a misdemeanor, on the condition the two felony charges
- 18 be dismissed.
- 19 16. The misdemeanor contained the allegation that Richardi
- 20 unlawfully and intentionally obstructed and hindered
- 21 administration of law by means of obstacles, to-wit: "* * * by
- 22 attempting to induce Michelle Ellis, to offer false testimony in
- 23 an official proceeding and by making a false sworn statement
- 24 regarding a material issue in a grand jury proceeding while
- 25 knowing the statement to be false, * * *." The panel concludes
- 26 Richardi engaged in the conduct described in the complaint.

- 1 17. On November 19, 1997, Richardi entered a no contest 2 plea and was found guilty. A judgment was entered on the same
- 3 date and Richardi was sentenced to perform 100 hours of community
- 4 service and to pay a fine of \$500. Richardi performed both
- 5 conditions of the sentence.
- 6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
- 7 Richardi's misconduct bears a demonstrable relationship to
- 8 his fitness to serve as an educator:
- 9 1. Richardi violated OAR 584-20-035(3)(a) and engaged in
- 10 gross neglect of duty when he lied to a police officer on May 9,
- 11 1996, with reference to the possession/use/control of firearms.
- 12 2. Richardi violated OAR 584-20-035(3)(a) and engaged in
- 13 gross neglect of duty when he gave false sworn testimony on May
- 14 14, 1996, to a Douglas County grand jury regarding
- 15 possession/use/control of firearms.
- 3. Richardi violated OAR 584-20-040(5)(c) and OAR 584-20-
- 17 040(5)(e) and engaged in gross unfitness when he criminally
- 18 attempted to induce Ellis to offer false testimony in an official
- 19 proceeding and when he made a false sworn statement to a grand
- 20 jury and when he was found guilty by plea to a charge of
- 21 obstructing Governmental or Judicial Administration (ORS 162.235)
- 22 on November 19, 1997.
- 23 OPINION
- 24 Richardi would have the panel conclude that he merely
- 25 "fudged" a little bit when making a single false statement
- 26 regarding

- 1 Wilson's possession of firearms. The commission concludes
- 2 Richardi's misconduct is much greater than the telling of a
- 3 "white" lie.
- 4 First, Richardi agreed with a convicted felon to provide
- 5 false statements for the purpose of giving Wilson a defense to
- 6 felony charges.
- 7 Second, the scheme to make false statements also involved
- 8 another individual, whereby Richardi attempted to induce Ellis to
- 9 participate in the deceptive scheme.
- 10 Third, the false statements were not spontaneous. Rather
- 11 they were premeditated and deliberate. Richardi agreed on May 3
- 12 to offer false statements and carried it out on May 9 in his
- 13 conversation with Office Kempke, and again on May 14 when he
- 14 testified at the grand jury.
- 15 Fourth, Richardi lied to a police officer which the panel
- 16 considers significant.
- 17 Fifth, and most importantly, Richardi lied under oath in a
- 18 grand jury proceeding.
- 19 Richardi argued that he is being punished twice for the same
- 20 offense. In effect he argues that TSPC has charged him with
- 21 gross unfitness and gross neglect of duty for the same acts.
- 22 Such is not the case. Richardi engaged in criminal conduct and
- 23 thereby violated OAR 584-20-040(5) when he lied to the grand jury
- 24 and attempted to induce Ellis to offer false testimony in an
- 25 official proceeding and was convicted of a crime. Richardi
- 26 ///

engaged in unethical conduct when he lied to the police and grand		
jury even if he had not been criminally prosecuted. Thus, for		
example, if Richardi had testified falsely before the grand jury,		
but had utilized the opportunity under ORS 162.105 to retract hi		
false statement, his conduct would not constitute a violation of		
OAR 584-20-040(5) but would remain a violation of OAR 584-20-		
035(3)(a).		
Equally important, even if the commission dismissed the		
OAR 584-20-035 charge that is based upon the misconduct that		
resulted in the criminal conviction, the sanction imposed would		
be the same. The commission concludes that lies to a police		
officer and engaging in criminal acts demonstrates serious gross		
neglect and unfitness and warrants a substantial sanction.		
ORDER		
After considering the factors under OAR 584-20-045 the		
commission concludes James Richard Richardi shall be suspended		
for one year commencing on the date the final order is effective		
Richardi will thereafter be eligible for reinstatement upon vali		
application and upon submitting evidence satisfactory to the		
Commission of good moral character pursuant to ORS 342.175(3).		
DATED this 15 day of May 1998.		
Teacher Standards and Practices Commission		
Daniel Unyto		
By: David V. Myton, Executive Director		

GMC:ras/JGG11D8B.W51

26