1 2 3	BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON	
4 5 6 7 8	In the Matter of the DEFAULT ORDER OF Educator License of DEFAULT ORDER OF REVOCATION JASON A. VANDERMARK-TINGLE)	
9	On February 4, 2022, the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission	
10	(Commission) issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Jason A. VanDermark-	
11	Tingle, (VanDermark) in which the Commission charged him with Gross Neglect of	
12	Duty and /or Gross Unfitness. The Notice was sent via U.S. First Class Mail and U.S.	
13	Certified Mail Receipt 7021 0350 0000 8183 8251 to the address on file with the	
14	Commission. The Notice designated the Commission file as the record for purposes of	
15	proving a prima facie case. The Certified Mail receipt was returned to the Commission	
16	signed. The regular first class mail was not returned to the Commission, and assumed	
17	delivered. The Notice of Opportunity of Hearing, dated February 4, 2022, and signed by	
18	Anthony Rosilez, Executive Director, stated:	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	"IF A REQUEST FOR HEARING IS NOT RECEIVED WITHIN THIS 21-DAY PERIOD, YOUR RIGHT TO A HEARING SHALL BE CONSIDERED WAIVED UNLESS YOUR FAILURE TO REQUEST A HEARING WAS BEYOND YOUR REASONABLE CONTROL. IF YOU DO NOT REQUEST A HEARING, WITHDRAW YOUR REQUEST FOR HEARING, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT A HEARING, OR NOTIFY THE COMMISSION THAT YOU WILL NOT APPEAR AT HEARING, THE COMMISSION WILL ADOPT AN ORDER OF DEFAULT WHICH MAY INCLUDE THE REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF YOUR LICENSE OR OTHER DISCIPLINE."	
29	As of June 6, 2022 TSPC received no response from VanDermark. The	
30	Commission, therefore, finds VanDermark to be in default and enters the following	
31	findings of fact, conclusions of law, and final order, based on the files and records of the	
32	Commission concerning this matter.	
33	FINDING OF FACT	
34	1. The Commission has licensed VanDermark since February 12, 2018.	
35	VanDermark currently holds a Preliminary Teaching License, with an	
36	endorsement in Social Studies (PK-12), valid from November 12, 2019, through	
37	October 17, 2023. During all relevant times, VanDermark was employed by the	
38	Winston-Dillard School District (WDSD).	

2

4

2. On October 13, 2021, the Commission received a School District Misconduct form alleging misconduct by VanDermark, an educator in the WDSD. The report alleged that VanDermark inappropriately touched a student's leg at an event

outside of school.

5

6

29

30

31

32

3. The WDSD conducted an investigation into this matter. According to WDSD and 7 8 Winston Police Department (WPD) records, on September 8, 2021, School 9 Resource Officer Van Atta responded to Douglas High School (DHS) to investigate a report of sexual abuse of a student. It was reported that the abuse 10 occurred in January of 2021 and was reported by the mother of the victim to 11 WDSD staff. The victim was a minor-aged female, KC, who was 16 years old at the 12 time of the incident. Per the WPD report, in January of 2021, KC and her older 13 brother and were hanging out at VanDermark's home watching an MMA fight. 14 VanDermark provided KC and her brother alcoholic White Claw Hard Seltzers to 15 drink while they were at his home. KC's brother called his girlfriend to come get 16 the group of you to go get food. While going to get food, VanDermark was seated 17 in the rear of the car with KC. On the drive, VanDermark reached over with his 18 right hand and rubbed KC's left inner thigh. KC moved away from VanDermark 19 and stared out the window. KC, her brother, and his girlfriend proceeded to KC's 20 home, where she immediately went to bed. VanDermark also stayed at the 21 residence, and at some point during the night, while KC was asleep, VanDermark 22 crawled into bed and rubbed his hand on her inner right thigh. KC reported that 23 she quickly got up and exited the bedroom as quickly as she could. In the 24 morning it was reported that VanDermark apologized to KC, KC's brother, and 25 her mother, acknowledging what he had done and blaming his actions on being 26 drunk. KC's mother reported the matter in September of 2021 when she was 27 asked by district staff why KC wanted to transfer out of VanDermark's class. 28

When she recounted the incident to school officials, police were contacted, and

upon reviewing the WDSD investigative summary report. No criminal charges

were pursued due to KC and her family requesting no prosecution.

an investigation was initiated. VanDermark resigned his position with the WDSD

1	4. On January 11, 2022, Commission investigative staff spoke with VanDermark via	
2	telephone. During that call VanDermark reported that he had made a career	
3	change and had no intention of interviewing with the Commission or	
4	participating in a Commission investigation.	
5		
6	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
7	The conduct described above constitutes gross neglect of duty in violation of ORS	
8	342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(1)	
9	(Recognize the worth and dignity of all persons and respect for each individual), OAR	
10	584-020-0010(5) (Use professional judgment), OAR 584-020-0025(2)(e) (Using	
11	district lawful and reasonable rules and regulations); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(0) as it	
12	incorporates OAR 584-020-0035(1)(b) (Refrain from exploiting professional	
13	relationships with any student for personal gain, or in support of persons or issues),	
14	OAR 584-020-0035(1)(c)(A) (Not demonstrating or expressing professionally	
15	inappropriate interest in a student's personal life), and OAR 584-020-0035(1)(c)(D)	
16	(Honoring appropriate adult boundaries with students in conduct and conversations	
17	at all times).	
18		
19	The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based	
20	upon ORS 342.175.	
21	FINAL ORDER	
22	The Commission hereby revokes VanDermark's teaching license for a period of	
23	one (1) year.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 16 th day of June, 2022.	
25	TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION	
	14 20-1	
	Anthony of Rosiles	
26 27	By:	
28	Di. Initiony Robiton, Incomité Director	
29		
30		

NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS

1	l
1)

- 3 YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY
- 4 BE OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM THE
- 5 SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF
- 6 ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.