

1 3. Investigation determined that between February 2014, and June 2016, Wieweck engaged in a
2 pattern of behavior rising to a level of gross neglect of duty. Wieweck's conduct was
3 documented in a series of corrective actions, directives and warnings. These included, but
4 may not be limited to: leaving alcohol unsecured in the classroom refrigerator; leaving food
5 cooking unattended resulting in burnt food, damaged equipment and smoke; failure to
6 follow policy and procedures related to managing student funds; making purchases and
7 seeking reimbursements; maintaining unsanitary conditions in the culinary classroom areas;
8 contacting students via personal cell –phone / text messages; accidentally exposing students to
9 inappropriate images on his personal cell phone; and using inappropriate language around
10 students. On June 16, 2016, Wieweck resigned his employment with SKSD.

11 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

12
13 Wieweck's conduct described in sections two (2) and three (3) above, constitutes gross
14 neglect of duty in violation of ORS 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR
15 584-020-0010(5) (*Use professional judgment*), OAR 584-020-0025(2)(b) (*Using and maintaining*
16 *district property, equipment, and materials appropriately*), OAR 584-020-0025(2)(d) (*Using*
17 *district and school business and financial procedures*), OAR 584-020-0025(2)(e) (*Using district*
18 *lawful and reasonable rules and regulations*); and (OAR 584-020-0040(4)(o) as is incorporates
19 OAR 584-020-0035(1)(c)(D) (*Honoring appropriate adult boundaries with students in conduct*
20 *and conversation at all times*).

21
22 The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon ORS 342.175.

23
24
25 **FINAL ORDER**

26
27 The Commission hereby suspends James Wieweck's right to apply for licensure for a period
28 of sixty (60) days, to begin upon the date this order is signed and in effect.

29
30 IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 9th day of August, 2019.

31 TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION

32 By: Anthony J. Rosilez

33 Dr. Anthony Rosilez, Executive Director

NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS

1
2
3 YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY BE
4 OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM THE SERVICE OF
5 THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ORS 183.482 TO
6 THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.