State Health Assessment Steering Committee

November 18th, 2024

Committee Members: Taw "TJ" Foltz, Alisha Overstreet, Annie Valtierra-Sanchez, Todd Jeter, Christine Kan Lee, Dean Sidelinger, Emily Mosites, Hannah Ririck, Jackie Leung, Julia Brown, Sadie Siders, Patricia Haller, Sokho Eath, Cheryl Carter

OHA Staff: Jameela Norton, Sara Beaudrault, Rose Harding, Juanita Heimann

MetGroup: Kirsten Gunst, Debra Clark

- Welcome and Introductions
- Agenda items
 - Group Agreements
 - Relationship building opportunity
 - SHA and SHIP Milestone and Updates
 - State Population Health Indicator Discussion
- Group Agreements- Shared and Confirmed
- Relationship Building- Small group break out session and folks reported back.

• Milestones and Upcoming Work- Sara

- OHA Staff shared timeline information about the SHA and SHIP (State Health Assessment and State Health Improvement Plan) cycle.
- Staff reviewed the SHA STEERING COMMITTEE Roadmap and timeline, which includes
 2025 milestones for feedback and SHA publishing
- As the SHA is completed, priorities will shift to developing the SHIP for 2025-2029, with themes / issues addressed by the Steering Committee informing the SHIP priorities
- The State Population Health Indicators (SPHI) can also be used to track progress on SHIP priorities
- HTO also provides a foundation for developing the 2025-29 SHIP

State Population Health Indicators- Rose

- November 12th Meeting Recap:
 - Discussed purpose of SPHIs
 - o Reviewed visual that shows how upstream factors affect health outcomes
 - Reviewed preliminary list of health topics and SPHIs
- SPHIs allow the Steering Committee and OHA to tell the story of health in Oregon, with data that's updated yearly.
 - Changes were made to reflect conversation on 11/12

- Changes included: maternal / infant mortality, language related to behavioral and mental health frame
- State Population Health Indicator Selection considerations
 - o Indicators presented in the context of influences of social determinants of health
 - Strength-based framing
 - Avoid deficit-based framing when possible
 - o Prevention or upstream alignment
 - Populations impacted
 - Culturally specific, regional, disability, age and more
 - Impact on the lives of Oregonians
 - Data quality
 - Reliability, representative, meaning making
 - o Future outlook
 - Data updates, methods stability
 - o Alignment with OHA / PHD priorities
 - Healthier Together Oregon, OHA strategic plan, PHAB accountability metrics
 - Examples of other public issues
 - o How well do these highlight broader public health issues?

SPHI Conversation:

Members asked questions and expressed concerns about

- 1. Reliability of survey data
- 2. Rationale for including multiple indicators to explain one health issue
- 3. OHA's ability to act on recommendations provided by the Steering Committee
- Confirmed that steering committee wants to include SPHIs for; Mammograms and Cervical Cancer Screening for Cancer Screening, Binge Drinking and Alcohol-related Deaths for Alcohol use, and expressed interest in youth data related to drug or opioid related deaths.
- Committee member raised issues with how the SPHIs are defined in the context of Tribes inside
 of Oregon (e.g.; marijuana use is legal in the state of Oregon but that does not mean it's legal on
 reservations), and expressed frustration that group is being asked to grow / shrink list of SPHIs
 while not having clear lines from where this steering committee's efforts lead towards change in
 the SHA and SHIP.
 - OHA staff provided context and shared that focusing on most representative health indicators may ensure focus of resources; list had been truncated from over 200 into what was presented to steering committee after distilling this list through conversations.

• Participant raised concern that without the context of "why" questions are asked and which datasets are investigated, it is hard to determine which indicators to look into (i.e. "why are we looking into marijuana use in adults as opposed to youth").

OHA staff facilitated conversation about SPHIs and suggested that we keep the list as proposed as of 11/18 with all subtopic areas. No objections raised by the committee.

Public Comment

• "Thank you for good and meaningful conversation, it's nice to hear people that be not on all the same page not on the same page, because where there's greatest agreement there's greatest risk."

Meeting Concluded