

CCO NAME: **Trillium Community Health Plan SW Region**  
 REPORTING PERIOD: **1/1/2023 - 12/31/2023**

Enter the per-member-per-month (PMPM) dollar amount you paid clinics participating in the Patient Centered Primary Care Home (PCPCH) program. If the PMPMs vary for a given tier, you may enter a range. Otherwise, enter a single dollar amount. In the "Average PMPM" column, enter the average PMPM payment for each tier, weighted by enrollment. If you paid one "Tier 1" clinic \$9.50 PMPM and another "Tier 1" clinic \$10.00 PMPM, and the first clinic had three times the number of members attributed as compared to the second clinic, then the average weighted PMPM would be \$9.625. ( $\$9.50 \times 0.75 + \$10.00 \times 0.25 = \$9.625$ ). The weighting may be calculated using number of members or number of member months.

**Evaluation criteria for this worksheet:** Response required for each highlighted cell, even if there are no current clinics in your service area at that tier level. Non-response in a highlighted cell will not be approved.

PCPCH Tier	Number of contracted clinics	PMPM dollar amount or range	Average PMPM dollar amount	If a PMPM range (rather than a fixed dollar amount) is provided in column C, please explain.	If applicable, note any deviations and rationale from required payment per tier (e.g. no payments to tier 1 clinics because there are none in CCO service area).
Tier 1 clinics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	None in service area
Tier 2 clinics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	None in service area
Tier 3 clinics	5				
Tier 4 clinics	16				
Tier 5 clinics	10				

CCO NAME: **Trillium Community Health Plan SW Region**  
 REPORTING PERIOD: **1/1/2023 - 12/31/2023**

**Evaluation criteria for this worksheet:** Response required for each highlighted cell. Non-response in a highlighted cell will not be approved.

Brief description of the five largest models, defined by dollars spent and VBPs implemented (e.g. condition-specific (asthma) population-based payment)	Most advanced LAN category in the VBP model (4 > 3 > 2C) Note: For models listed at a LAN category 3B or higher, please list the risk sharing rate.	Percentage of payments made through this model at the highest indicated LAN category	Additional LAN categories within arrangement	Total dollars involved in this arrangement	Quality metric(s)	Brief description of providers & services involved	Please describe if and how these models take into account: - racial and ethnic disparities; & - individuals with complex health care needs
Example: Shared risk arrangement with hospital-based maternity providers	3B (Risk Sharing Rate: 30%)	90%	1 (FFS)	\$3,543,231	Timeliness of Prenatal and Postnatal Care	A hospital participates in a shared risk arrangement where the CCO will make a retrospective payment to the hospital if the actual spending on the hospital's attributed maternity/obstetric population is less than expected spending and the hospital performs well on specific performance measures; or the hospital will make a payment to the CCO if actual spending is more than expected spending.	Inadequate postpartum care can contribute to persistent racial and ethnic disparities in maternal and infant health outcomes.
Model 1: Risk sharing inclusive of upside and downside, individual risk pools (professional, institutional, pharmacy) with customized risk sharing based on provider's performance, blend of capitation and fee for service reimbursement with quality incentives.	4B	15%	1, 2C, 3B	\$10,156,697	HEDIS measures and participation in CCO Quality Metrics	Integrated Primary Care and Behavioral Health clinics inclusive of Pediatric, Family Medicine and Adult populations. Provider is at risk for their total population and all services.	Hotspotter (population health reporting); TCHP analyzes claims data monthly to determine under and over utilization trends related to specific member populations (ex: Race). Data is broken down into the following areas: PCP visits, Specialist visits, Mental Health, Substance Use Disorders, Emergency Room, Inpatient Stays, Non ER Medical Transport and Pharmacy. Model is inclusive of Provider's total population including complex members.
Total Cost of Care (TCoC): Risk sharing inclusive of upside and downside, capitation payments including a withhold for downside risk, and opportunity for quality incentives.	4B	72%	1, 2C, 3B	\$5,719,322	CCO Quality Metrics	Integrated Primary Care and Behavioral Health clinics inclusive of Pediatric, Family Medicine and Adult populations. Provider is at risk for their total population and all services.	Hotspotter (population health reporting); TCHP analyzes claims data monthly to determine under and over utilization trends related to specific member populations (ex: Race). Data is broken down into the following areas: PCP visits, Specialist visits, Mental Health, Substance Use Disorders, Emergency Room, Inpatient Stays, Non ER Medical Transport and Pharmacy. Model is inclusive of Provider's total population including complex members.
PCP capitation payments including a withhold for downside risk, withhold is earned through quality metric performance.	4B	39%	1, 2C, 3B	\$6,007,973	Subset of CCO Quality Metrics	Integrated Primary Care and Behavioral Health clinics inclusive of Pediatric, Family Medicine and Adult populations.	Hotspotter (population health reporting); TCHP analyzes claims data monthly to determine under and over utilization trends related to specific member populations (ex: Race). Data is broken down into the following areas: PCP visits, Specialist visits, Mental Health, Substance Use Disorders, Emergency Room, Inpatient Stays, Non ER Medical Transport and Pharmacy. Model is inclusive of Provider's total population including complex members.
Complex Case Management Fee including a withhold for downside risk, sharing in surplus and quality metrics.	4B	85%	2C	\$490,507	HEDIS Measures	Population based PMPM for complex members, at risk for all members receiving the PMPM.	Cohort of complex members with a minimum of 5 chronic Medical and 1 BH conditions.
Dental PMPM capitation and quality improvement withhold (at risk)	3B	8%	2C	\$11,962,721	CCO Quality Metrics	Dental providers for total population and all services	Hotspotter (population health reporting); TCHP analyzes claims data monthly to determine under and over utilization trends related to specific member populations (ex: Race). Data is broken down into the following areas: PCP visits, Specialist visits, Mental Health, Substance Use Disorders, Emergency Room, Inpatient Stays, Non ER Medical Transport and Pharmacy. Model is inclusive of Provider's total population including complex members.





**Required implementation of care delivery areas by January 2024:** Refer to Value-based Payment Technical Guide for CCOs at <https://www.oregon.gov/oha/HPA/dsi-tc/Documents/VBP-Technical-Guide-for-CCOs.pdf> for more information on requirements.

**Evaluation criteria for this worksheet:** Response required for each highlighted cell. If questions on rows 18 and 20 are not applicable, write N/A.

CCO NAME:	Trillium Community Health Plan SW Region
Describe Care Delivery Area (CDA) <b>Note:</b> a VBP may encompass two CDAs concurrently. If your CCO has taken this approach, list both CDAs; no more than two CDAs can be combined to meet the CDA requirement.	Behavioral Health
LAN category (most advanced category)	4B
Briefly describe the payment arrangement and the types of providers and members in the arrangement (e.g. pediatricians and asthmatic children)	Complex Case Management Fee including a withhold for downside risk, sharing in surplus and quality metrics. Population based PMPM for complex members, at risk for all members receiving the PMPM.
If applicable, describe how this CDA serves populations with complex care needs or those who are at risk for health disparities	Cohort of complex members with a minimum of 5 chronic Medical and 1 BH conditions.
Total dollars paid	490,506
Total unduplicated members served by the providers	374
If applicable, maximum potential provider gain in dollars (i.e., maximum potential quality incentive payment)	109,004
If applicable, maximum potential provider loss in dollars (e.g. maximum potential risk in a capitated payment)	54,502

List the quality metrics used in this payment arrangement using the table provide in below. A least one quality component is needed to meet requirement:

Metric	Metric steward (e.g. HPQMC, NQF, etc.)	Briefly describe how CCO assesses quality (e.g. measure against national benchmark, compare to providers' previous performance, etc.)	Describe providers' performance (e.g. quality metric score increased from 8 to 10)
EHR Measure: Cigarette Smoking Prevalence <sup>1</sup>	CCO Metrics	OHA Benchmark	33.7%
EHR Measure: Depression Screening and Follow-Up Plan <sup>2</sup>	CCO Metrics	OHA Benchmark	89.4%
EHR Measure: Diabetes HbA1c Poor Control <sup>1</sup>	CCO Metrics	OHA Benchmark	18.4%
EHR Measure: Drug and Alcohol Screening (SBIRT) <sup>2</sup>	CCO Metrics	OHA Benchmark	84.6%
NCQA Measure: Oral Evaluation for Adults with Diabetes	NCQA	National benchmark	37.5%
NCQA Measure: Statin Therapy for Patients with Diabetes	NCQA	National benchmark	81.5%
NCQA Measure: Eye Exam for Patients with Diabetes	NCQA	National benchmark	84.6%
NCQA Measure: Statin Therapy for Patients with Cardiovascular Disease	NCQA	National benchmark	87.8%
NCQA Measure: Controlling High Blood Pressure	NCQA	National benchmark	80.6%



**Required implementation of care delivery areas by January 2024:** Refer to Value-based Payment Technical Guide for CCOs at <https://www.oregon.gov/oha/HPA/dsi-tc/Documents/VBP-Technical-Guide-for-CCOs.pdf> for more information on requirements.

**Evaluation criteria for this worksheet:** Response required for each highlighted cell. If questions on rows 18 and 20 are not applicable, write N/A.

CCO NAME:	Trillium Community Health Plan SW Region
Describe Care Delivery Area (CDA) <b>Note:</b> a VBP may encompass two CDAs concurrently. If your CCO has taken this approach, list both CDAs; no more than two CDAs can be combined to meet the CDA requirement.	Children's Health
LAN category (most advanced category)	2C
Briefly describe the payment arrangement and the types of providers and members in the arrangement (e.g. pediatricians and asthmatic children)	Quality Incentives
If applicable, describe how this CDA serves populations with complex care needs or those who are at risk for health disparities	
Total dollars paid	\$1,222,791.83
Total unduplicated members served by the providers	3,564
If applicable, maximum potential provider gain in dollars (i.e., maximum potential quality incentive payment)	\$1,222,791.83
If applicable, maximum potential provider loss in dollars (e.g. maximum potential risk in a capitated payment)	-

List the quality metrics used in this payment arrangement using the table provide in below. A least one quality component is needed to meet requirement:

Metric	Metric steward (e.g. HPQMC, NQF, etc.)	Briefly describe how CCO assesses quality (e.g. measure against national benchmark, compare to providers' previous performance, etc.)	Describe providers' performance (e.g. quality metric score increased from 8 to 10)
Adolescent Immunizations	CCO Metrics	OHA Benchmark	26.34%
Childhood Immunization Status	CCO Metrics	OHA Benchmark	52.25%
Assessments for Children in DHS Custody	CCO Metrics	OHA Benchmark	91.05%
Preventive Dental or Oral Service Utilization Ages	CCO Metrics	OHA Benchmark	48.34%
Preventive Dental or Oral Service Utilization Ages	CCO Metrics	OHA Benchmark	54.09%
Well-Child Visits Ages 3-6	CCO Metrics	OHA Benchmark	52.56%