

## **Research Stage 1 Problem Statement**

Number 26-35 – "Synthesis report on design and materials for walking and biking facilities"

**1.** Concisely describe the **transportation issue** (including problems, improvements, or untested solutions) that Oregon needs to research.

In order to meet ODOT's SAP goals for complete walking and biking facilities and the new standards in the Highway Design Manual, ODOT will need to build many more miles shared use paths across the state this project will evaluate the costs and benefits of utilizing different materials and standards to help reduce the initial construction and on-going maintenance costs, reduce greenhouse-gas emissions from construction and usage, and improve the safety and comfort for vulnerable roadway users (while also reducing VMT from mode shift). Some different construction materials to consider are higher recycled asphalt content (potential reduced cost and GHG emissions from construction), permeable pavements (potential reduced cost and winter maintenance due to increased melting and increased safety for users), non-paved surfaces for a portion adjacent to a narrower ADA path (potential reduced construction cost, reduced winter maintenance cost, reduced GHG emissions, potential increased comfort for rural trails), and different surface treatments to help delineate space (increased comfort and safety for people walking and rolling, potential reduced maintenance in making paths look less like roadways). This could also include evaluating other design practices such as considering shading paths with trees or covering them with solar panels (to reduce thermal cracking, winter maintenance, mitigate GHG emissions, and increase the safety and comfort for people walking and rolling), best practices for preventing motor vehicle intrusion, signage and striping for separation of users, and considering charging for electric wheelchairs or e-bikes to increase usage. The project will also need to ensure that these treatments are ADA compliant and functional for people with visual and mobility impairments.

2. What final product or information needs to be produced to enable this research to be implemented?

This research will lead to changes in the HDM which will reduce the cost of building and maintaining shared use paths and make shared use path usage more comfortable and obvious to all users. The outputs required will be an environmental screening of the various shared use path construction materials, a cost benefit analysis of the construction/maintenance costs and other impacts, surveys on how these impact various user groups (especially different abilities and mobility devices), and creation of a toolkit of options.

**3.** (Optional) Are there any individuals in Oregon who will be instrumental to the success of implementing any solution that is identified by this research? If so, please list them below.

| Name | Title | Email | Phone |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
|      |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |

4. Decision making lenses

Please complete the following three sections. Your answers to these questions will be applied on a programmatic basis to support agency decisions. Answering yes to the questions below is not required. Resolving a narrowly focused technical research problem may meet agency needs without answering yes to any of the following questions. The ODOT Research Section will seek a balanced portfolio some projects will answer yes to one of the three categories below (e.g. climate, equity, and/ or safety) and other projects in a different category.

We are looking for an overall program balance and no one project is expected to balance all categories. Generally, a research problem statement is expected to be able to answer yes with clear and verifiable information in only one of the three categories below, some projects may be able to answer yes in two or even three categories. Some projects (i.e. needs focused on specific elements of infrastructure design), may have no yes answers but may still be high value research need.

## Climate

Oregon recognizes the climate crisis and makes systemic changes to reduce emissions caused by travel. Every mile driven in Oregon is powered by a clean source of fuel. We seek research that supports construction and maintenance operations are carbon neutral and investments in mobility that support travel by low and no emission modes. While every research project may not result in a reduction in emissions, transportation investments overall support emission reductions to achieve state goals. Oregon envisions a transportation system that is resilient in the face of seismic and climate events and impacts to the degradation of the natural environment are reduced. Our vision includes a transportation infrastructure is built in a way that avoids impacts on key habitat and results in better environmental conditions for wildlife and native vegetation. For definitions and details please review the equity vision, goals, and objectives of the ODOT Strategic Action Plan and Oregon Transportation Plan.

| <del>-</del> | ation issue identified as a need in Qu<br>surement, or monitoring of transport                        | uestion 1 develop, or validate<br>tation generated greenhouse gasses |
|--------------|---|--|
| □Yes         | ⊠No   | □Unsure  |
| <del>-</del> | cus of this <b>transportation issue</b> ide<br>alysis to transportation infrastructure                | •  |
| ⊠Yes         | □No   | □Unsure  |
|              | oortation issue include developmer<br>to establish potential reductions in §                          | · ·  |
| ⊠Yes         | □No   | □Unsure  |
|              | tion issue in question 1 study or suphicle travel or support transition to each or alternative fuels? | •  |
| ⊠Yes         | □No   | □Unsure  |

| □No  |  |
|--|--|
|  | □Unsure  |
| <b>on issue</b> in question 1 lead to wo<br>e and native vegetation ?  | rk that may result in better   |
| □No  | □Unsure  |
| climate questions above or can p<br>nformation:  | provide alternative details related to   |
| nned or that need to be constructhe construction and maintenan struction and operational emissi  | npacted by this work as ODOT has ted to meet SAP goals and reducing ce of these paths will help ODOT on reductions, these shared-use lking and rolling, leading to mode-climate goals.   |
|  |  |
| plem statements. It is a goal of the plan of the statements, recognizing the unmet mobility rved. Create an equitable and transtructure that builds public trust". | capacities are equity dimensions or the OTP to "Improve access to safe an eeds of people who have been apparent engagement and apparent essearch may have the gransportation topics to ensure the eals. For definitions and details  |
|  |  |
| cified as a need in Question 1 spe   | cifically focused on transportation  |
| cified as a need in Question 1 spe<br>□No  | cifically focused on transportation  ☐ Unsure  |
| □No  |  |
| □No<br>focused on transportation equit   | □Unsure  |
| □No<br>focused on transportation equit<br>the research project?<br>□No   | □Unsure  y, will the primary topic be assessed □Unsure  ly to directly involve participation   |
|  | nformation:  ocus of this proposal, is heavily in need or that need to be construct the construction and maintenan struction and operational emissinfortable facilities for people walkeled, further helping meet ODOT and impacts relating to communitations also clearly explain in what explements are the unmet mobility exed. Create an equitable and tractructure that builds public trust as is consistent with our equity go |

4d Is the intended final product or information expected to support ODOT's equity efforts (Including but not limited to supporting one of the equity related objectives of the ODOT's Strategic Action Plan or Oregon Transportation Plan)?

| ⊠Yes  | □No  | ⊔Unsure   |
|---|--|---|
| 4e If you answered yes to any of equity, please provide additional  | the equity questions above or can provi<br>information:  | ide alternative details related to                                |
| highway system, to make the sys<br>where it was not historically prov<br>disadvantaged populations and          | rulnerable road users, which have histostem safer and more comfortable and to rided. These facilities are frequently relidisabled users and we will want to incluy affected by any potential changes.              | o provide access in locations<br>ied on by transportation         |
| Safety  |  |   |
| of crashes or other causes of tra-<br>severity of injury (including preve<br>details please review the equity v | e interventions and countermeasures to<br>nsportation-related injury or death; or r<br>ention of death) after a crash or other in<br>vision, goals, and objectives of the ODC<br>n and Oregon Transportation Plan. | may include measures to reduce jurious event. For definitions and |
| 4m. Will solving the <b>transportati</b> transportation workers or the tra                                      | ion issue in question 1 support improviveling public?  | ing <b>safety culture</b> for either                              |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure   |
| 4n. Will the solving the <b>transport communities</b> ?   | <b>tation issue</b> support improving safety t   | hrough <b>healthy and livable</b>                                 |
| ⊠Yes  | □No  | □Unsure   |
| 4o. Will solving the transportation technologies?   | on issue support improving safety throu  | ugh using <b>best available</b>                                   |
| ⊠Yes  | □No  | □Unsure   |
| 4p. Will solving the <b>transportation</b> ?  | on issue support improving safety throu  | ugh <b>communication and</b>                                      |
| □Yes  | ⊠No  | □Unsure   |
| 4q. Will the solving the <b>transpor</b>  | tation issue support improving safety t  | through investing strategically?                                  |
| ⊠Yes  | $\square$ No   | □Unsure   |
| 4r. If you answered yes to any of safety, please provide additional   | the safety questions above or can provi<br>information:  | ide alternative details related to                                |
| reducing the construction and m<br>paths more quickly. This research  | are a critical component of healthy and<br>naintenance costs of these paths will er<br>h will help ODOT use the best available<br>ty for the walking and biking users of the                                       | nable ODOT to construct more technology for path construction     |

standard devised for vehicles. Developing different standards and practices will hopefully lead to a lower

cost, safer, and more comfortable facilities that also better meet our climate goals.

## 5. Other comments:

## **6.** Corresponding Submitter's Contact Information:

| Name:        | Chris Cheng                       |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Title:       | Active Transportation Liaison, R4 |
| Affiliation: | ODOT                              |
| Telephone:   | 541.408.1387                      |
| Email:       | chris.cheng@odot.oregon.gov       |

This form is not a grant application or contract document.