



MEETING SUMMARY

TPR MODELING AND ANALYSIS GUIDES UPDATE

TAC MEETING #3

JANUARY 29, 2024; 1:00 PM – 3:00 PM

VIRTUAL MEETING - TEAMS

1. PROJECT TEAM INTRODUCTIONS/ AGENDA OVERVIEW

1:00

- Introductions
 - ODOT PMT: Zachary Horowitz, Tara Weidner, Peter Schuytema
 - Consultant Team: Garth Appanaitis, Susan Wright, Molly McCormick, Jeff Frkonja
 - TAC: Kelly Clarke, Neelam Dorman, Jennifer Farberly, Jessica Zdeb, Christi McDaniel-Wilson, Chris Melson, Cody Meyer, Sara Peters, Steve Kelley, Jody Trendler, Kevin Young, Theresa Conley
- Garth Appanaitis (DKS) presented an overview of the meeting purpose, where we are in the process, and other context.

2. OVERVIEW OF TM#9 PERFORMANCE MEASURE TOOLBOX EVALUATION

1:05

- Susie Wright and Molly McCormick presented an overview of TM9.
 - Context of summary: to provide options that support local agency decisions and selections
 - What has been updated since the prior meeting
 - Measures advanced to TM10 (for additional guidance)
- Cody Meyer - Was curious about grouping and putting the VMT into the automobile bundle. Thinks that since it would have non-auto mitigating factors, would make sense to move it to the travel options focused group.
 - Susie - will give it some thought with PMT
- Neelam Dorman - For the increasing transportation options, how is the accessibility measured?
 - Molly - Getting refined in TM10, but there are several options with Travel demand models and other tools.
- Neelam - Dorman understanding that not all the jurisdictions will have the significant staff resources, are there some other would be easier to answer.
- Jeff Frkonja - Can you touch on the "priority corridor" terminology?
 - Susie - this would be defined through the process. One model was application in ODOT's Bike and Ped plan. Can demonstrate progress towards completeness on a certain part of the system.
- Jessica Zdeb - First three measures mention equity, but not clear in the list how that would be impacted.

- Molly - The general idea would be that some of these measures could be applied with an equity lens to consider areas with transportation disadvantaged communities.
- Zachary Horowitz - The equity guidance has more focus on the TSP guidelines.
- Theresa Conley - TSP Guidelines is covering how to do an equity analysis. How to use an equity map as an additional layer. This is a good update to guide how analytical measures can supplement this.
- Susie - many of the measures you could look at by areas to determine if you have disparities.
- Jessica - Maybe there is an opportunity for an overarching resource or guidance to meet an equity objective, rather than at the individual measure level so that it could be applied regardless of what measures are applied for the community.
- Jessica - The system completeness is assuming the bias that anything planned is a good idea and is not terribly forward looking.

3. OVERVIEW OF TM#10 PERFORMANCE MEASURE/STANDARD GUIDANCE 1:30

- Susie Wright presented an overview of TM10
 - Memo content and general guidance
 - Content provided for each measure/standard
 - Sample of standards documentation
- Steve Kelly - Didn't see any guidance on weighting vs pass/fail for some of the measures? Some of them like data availability and staff availability are pretty critical and can limit the ability to be able to perform the measures.
 - Susie - Balancing this with trying to not be prescriptive but provide some good options and guidance.
 - Cody - Local government thought process might be around housing. Should be as clear and objective as possible. Can this be relatable to residential development? A City may not be the one taking on the measure - it may be a developer.
- Steve Kelly - as an unincorporated county, there is always an issue dealing with consistency among requirements. Have tried to avoid someone annexing or choosing not to annex based on the rules that are in place. The rules and how the development review processes are conducted come to front of mind. Maybe consider if a neighbor or a county is doing something, consider other resources and techniques. There are also locations where the City is different on each side of the road.
- Jessica Zdeb - What are the teeth? Say a standard is set and a project does not meet the standard, why does it matter and what is the outcome?
 - Susie - a lot will depend on how it is implemented into the development code.
 - Cody - It might be helpful for a Toolkit to provide an example of the mitigating measures would be for each of the measures.
- Zachary Horowitz - some of the development aspects of 210 have been put on pause. But there are elements of 215 related to system planning that are still relevant.
 - Jessica - the application for the TSP is more clear than the development application.
- Theresa Conley - maybe as part of the TSP guidelines, you might identify the types of outcomes and impacts on the system would be due to the various standards.
- Zachary - application of standards based on various agency application (like urban arterial) would the performance standard be required to be adopted by OTC under OHP?

- Steve - also thinking about the intended audience. There is planning commissioners and concerned/interested citizens. There is a role for the technical side trying to determine what to recommend, and the other public audience understanding what we are trying to measure.

4. OVERVIEW OMSC ENGAGEMENT AND CFEC MODELING FRAMEWORK

2:30

- Garth Appanaitis presented the key elements of CFEC Modeling Framework and provided an overview of the CFA case studies.
- Cody - are we looking at the CFA in isolation?
 - The modeling would focus on how to best incorporate the CFA into the model, but the rest of the City and model are would also be included.
- Theresa - what were the key difference modeling Milwaukie and Ashland?
 - Different prior planning processes
 - Kevin - interesting cities to choose. The 2040 growth concept was adopted some time ago.
- Kevin - DLCD will be funding some market study efforts for local agencies

5. NEXT STEPS / ADJOURN

2:55

- TM10 completion and review
- Update guidance documents