**Chapter 9. General Design Requirements**

This chapter and the following two chapters of this manual relate to the design phase of FHWA funded Certified LPA projects:

* + Chapter 9, General Design Requirements
  + Chapter 10, Design Approval
  + Chapter 11, Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E)

In the sequence of project delivery, design occurs during project development, but prior to advertising for bids and award.
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1. OVERVIEW

The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) guidelines have been adopted as the design standard for

Resources:

- [Highway Design Manual](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Engineering/Pages/Hwy-Design-Manual.aspx)

FHWA funded projects on local national highway system (NHS) routes and projects on the LPA’s transportation system. Design standards for projects on the state highway system must

conform to the requirements detailed in ODOT’s current *Highway Design Manual*, other ODOT Manuals, and ODOT Technical Bulletins and Directives.

The approving agency identified for the various phases of work is indicated in the Approval Authority Matrix in Section D of this manual.

Different standards apply to the design of:

* + New construction / reconstruction projects;
  + Resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation projects; and
  + Low-volume local agency roadways (average daily traffic of less than 2000).

Each of these standards is defined in separate sections below. LPAs should determine which standards apply before beginning their project design.

For FHWA funded projects, current AASHTO standards apply based on the federal functional classification of the roadway. Additional classification information is available on ODOT’s *Federal Functional Classification* website.

Resources:

* [Federal Functional](mailto:OCRINFOREQUEST@odot.oregon.gov) [Classification](mailto:OCRINFOREQUEST@odot.oregon.gov)
* [Oregon Transportation](http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/SPECS/docs/publications/dbe_provisions.pdf) [and Growth Management](http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/SPECS/docs/publications/dbe_provisions.pdf)

Generally, context sensitive design concepts should be considered for all project designs. Additional information related to context sensitive design can be found at the [Oregon Transportation and Growth Management](http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/SPECS/docs/publications/dbe_provisions.pdf) website and in ODOT *Highway Design Manual* Part 200.

1. VALUE ENGINEERING

Value Engineering (VE), as stated in ODOT Policy Number DES 01-03, is a project analysis technique that often generates significant cost reduction; however, the primary focus is value improvement. VE will help ODOT and LPAs in the goals of providing cost-effective projects and procedures, and improved productivity and efficiency. Transportation agencies can use VE in all aspects of transportation such as design, traffic operations, construction, maintenance, specifications, standard drawings, and planning.

The VE methodology is a systematic approach used to identify opportunities for reductions in cost, schedule, and risk, while increasing lifecycle performance. FHWA states that VE is "the most effective technique for identifying and eliminating unnecessary costs and enhancing value".

All ODOT highway construction projects in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) shall be screened based upon established criteria to determine the need to conduct a formal Value Engineering Study.

As of January 1, 2020, ODOT has adopted value engineering guidance outlined in DES 01-03 for STIP projects. While LPAs are exempt from DES 01-03, they are required to meet the following FHWA regulations (23 CFR 627):

* + All projects with an estimated total cost of $50 million or more are required to have a Value Engineering Study regardless of funding source or NHS designation.
  + All federal aid funded bridge projects on the NHS with an estimated total cost of $40 million or more are required to have a Value Engineering Study (23 CFR 627).
  + VE analysis is not required for projects delivered using the design-build

method of construction (23 USR 106(e)(5)).

Regardless of project cost, ODOT encourages LPAs to consider performing VE for projects with one or more of the following attributes:

* + Projects that have significantly exceeded preliminary cost estimates
  + Projects with initial total cost estimates approaching and that may exceed federal thresholds through project development and construction
  + Projects with alternative solutions to documented problems
  + Major structures
  + Complex projects
  + Projects using critical or high-cost materials and procedures
  + Projects with multiple phases
  + Projects that include extensive or expensive environmental or geotechnical requirements

Resources:

[- ODOT Risk](https://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_700/oar_731/731_015.html) [Management, Value](https://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_700/oar_731/731_015.html) [Engineering, and](https://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_700/oar_731/731_015.html) [Constructability](https://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_700/oar_731/731_015.html) [Reviews](https://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_700/oar_731/731_015.html)

- [23 CFR 627](https://sos.oregon.gov/business/pages/find.aspx)

* + Projects with complex traffic staging
  + Projects being considered for alternative contracting methods
  + Projects of high cost

See ODOT Risk Management, Value Engineering, and Constructability Reviews webpage and 23 CFR 627 for additional VE information.

1. RESURFACING, RESTORATION, AND REHABILITATION PROJECTS (3R)

Resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation projects on routes under state jurisdiction shall be designed to ODOT 3R standards found in ODOT’s *Highway Design Manual*. These types of projects on other routes may be designed to ODOT 3R or AASHTO standards.

Care should be taken to ensure that the standards chosen are appropriate for the functional classification of the road in question.

Additional design guidance for 3R projects may be found in Transportation Research Board (TRB) Special Report 214. Additional information for 3R projects geared toward the urban environment may be found in NCHRP Report #876.

Preservation projects may include small portions of modernization activities as part of the project, such as affecting subgrade, re-basing, adding a turn lane or minor curve modifications. If these elements do not account for over 50 percent of the project length, the appropriate ODOT 3R standard is to be used. Otherwise, the project is treated as modernization and the appropriate ODOT 4R or new construction standard shall be used.

3R preservation projects may include small portions of modernization activities, such as affecting subgrade, re-basing, adding a turn lane or minor curve modification. As long as these elements do not account for over 50 percent of the project length, the appropriate 3R standard is to be used. Otherwise, the project is treated as modernization and the appropriate 4R/new standard shall be used. For additional information, refer to ODOT’s *Highway Design Manual*.

1. NEW CONSTRUCTION/RECONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (4R)

A reconstruction project is designed to meet the design criteria for new construction for the functional class. Reconstruction includes significant changes in cross section or shifts in both vertical and horizontal alignment.

Reconstruction may require acquisition of additional right of way and may include work usually associated with new construction, including but not limited to the design considerations outlined in items 1 through 15 in section F below. New construction/reconstruction projects on routes under state jurisdiction shall be designed to the 4R standards found in ODOT’s *Highway Design Manual*.

Projects on other routes shall be designed to the standards found in the current version of AASHTO’s *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*. AASHTO manuals can be purchased at the AASHTO bookstore website.

If it is not clear which standard should be used, contact the regional local agency liaison. It is important that the standards chosen are appropriate for the functional classification of the road in question.

1. DESIGN OF LOW-VOLUME LOCAL ROADS (ADT < 2000)

A low-volume road is a road that is functionally classified as a local road and has a design ADT of 2000 vehicles per day or less. Design standards for low-volume local agency roads, can be found in the AASHTO *Guidelines for Geometric Design of Low-Volume Local Roads* manual.

The AASHTO *Guidelines for Geometric Design of Low-*

Resources:

* [AASHTO Bookstore](https://www.oregon.gov/odot/Safety/Pages/Roadway.aspx?id=DS)
* [ODOT Engineering Guidance](https://www.oregon.gov/odot/engineering/pages/eng-guidance.aspx)

*Volume Local Roads* manual is intended for application in the design of new construction or improvements to existing very low-volume roads. These guidelines apply in both urban and rural areas.

The design guidelines enable designers to apply design criteria that are generally less restrictive than those used on higher-volume roads. The risk assessment upon which these guidelines are based shows that these less restrictive design criteria can be applied on low-volume roads without compromising safety.

AASHTO manuals can be purchased at the AASHTO bookstore website.

1. DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS
   1. **Roadside Inventory**

A Roadside Inventory (see ODOT’s *Highway Design Manual*) is an integral part of all projects and should include:

* + - Upgrading existing substandard roadway design elements
    - Improving existing operational features
    - Reducing the potential hazard of existing roadside features
    - Upgrading bridge safety features.
  1. **Roadway Geometrics**

Designs shall be based upon accepted engineering practices and the requirements listed in this manual.

* 1. **Pavement Determination**

To be eligible for federal funding, pavements shall be designed to provide a service life of 20 years for new or reconstructed pavements and 15 years for rehabilitated pavements. Any departure from these service life requirements will be considered a design deviation. See the Design Exceptions/Deviations Section below for additional details on the design exception process. Refer to ODOT’s Pavement Design Guide for additional information.

ODOT must approve all pavement designs for LPA projects on the State Highway System. The determination of pavement type is of major importance in the development of plans for any urban street and road paving improvement.

Resources:

* [Pavement Design Guide](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Business/OCR/Pages/Equal-Employment-Opportunity-Contractor-Compliance.aspx)
* [Bridge Design Manual](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Bridge/Pages/Bridge-Design-Manual.aspx)
* [Traffic Control Manuals](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Business/Procurement/DocsLPA/lpaAErequirements.pdf)
  1. **Structural Design**

Design procedures shall conform to AASHTO’s Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) methodology.

The AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications can be purchased at the AASHTO bookstore website. Bridge deck protection is required for all FHWA funded bridge construction. The recommended protective systems are outlined in *ODOT’s* [*Bridge Design Manual*](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Bridge/Pages/Bridge-Design-Manual.aspx).

* 1. **Traffic Control**

All traffic control devices on the State Highway system and on all public roads shall conform to the *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (MUTCD) and *Oregon Supplement to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.* (23 CFR 655.603; ORS 810.200)*.* In addition, when an LPA installs a traffic control device under interim

Resources:

* [ODOT MUTCD](https://sam.gov/content/home) page
* [ODOT Traffic Control Device](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Forms/2ODOT/2879.pdf) [Installation Report form](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Forms/2ODOT/2879.pdf)
* [FHWA Interim Approvals](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Business/OCR/Documents/MPR%20734-2879%2012%202015%20Carpenter%20In-House%20Trainee%20Template.pdf)

approval by FHWA, the LPA must inform the State Traffic Engineer’s office in order to maintain a comprehensive list of such installations. Once an interim approval device is installed, LPAs can report the installation through the Traffic Control Device Installation Report form on the ODOT Engineering website. A list of devices that require reporting is located on the ODOT MUTCD web page. Traffic control poles and foundations should be checked by a structural engineer or responsible manufacturer.

Critical required elements of temporary traffic control include safe bicycle, pedestrian, and ADA accommodations through or around work zones. These accommodations must be equal to or better than the existing level of accessibility prior to construction. Refer to Section 6D of the MUTCD for more information.

Additional guidance is also provided in ODOT’s Technical Services Directive TSB17-01(D) entitled *Temporary Pedestrian Accessible Route Plans Required for Work Zones*.

* 1. **Clear Zone**

Resources:

* [Bicycle and Pedestrian Design](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/GeoEnvironmental/Pages/index.aspx) [Guide](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/GeoEnvironmental/Pages/index.aspx)
* [Standard Drawings and](https://www.oregon.gov/odot/Engineering/Pages/MUTCD.aspx) [Standard Details](https://www.oregon.gov/odot/Engineering/Pages/MUTCD.aspx)
* [Bridge Design Manual](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Bridge/Pages/Bridge-Design-Manual.aspx)

The clear zone is the unobstructed, traversable area beyond the edge of the traveled way that allows an

errant driver to stop or regain control of a vehicle. See Part 400 of ODOT’s *Highway Design Manual* for further clear zone discussion.

Refer to the *AASHTO Roadside Design Guide* criteria

for establishing clear zone distances, discussions of roadside features, and data on roadside barriers. If the guidelines in the *Roadside Design Guide* are not followed, the deviation process outlined in the Design Exceptions/Deviations Section below shall be followed. The *AASHTO Roadside Design Guide* can be purchased at the AASHTO bookstore website.

* 1. **Vertical Clearance**

Refer to the appropriate section of AASHTO’s *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets* for the required vertical clearance for each functional classification of roadway. Vertical clearance on state highways must follow guidance and criteria established in the *ODOT*

*Highway Design Manual*.

As noted on page 7-13 of the *Bicycle and Pedestrian Design Guid*e (HDM Appendix L), the standard vertical clearance of structures above multi-use paths and sidewalks is ten feet and under some circumstances, a minimum of eight feet may be allowable with good horizontal and vertical clearance, so users approaching the structure can see through to the other end.

* 1. **Bridge Approach Railings**

Approach guardrail is required at all bridge ends and shall be made structurally continuous with the bridge railing.

Guardrail layouts have been developed for use when an intersecting roadway or private approach exists within the limit of the standard bridge approach guardrail.

See ODOT’s Standard Drawings and Standard Details website for additional information.

* 1. **Bridge Railings**

Only bridge rail designs that have been successfully crash tested (or their equivalents) shall be used on federally funded new construction or reconstruction projects. *ODOT’s Bridge Design Manual* contains guidelines and performance levels for bridge railing along with examples of bridge rail designs that have been crash tested.

* 1. **Illumination**

Consider roadway illumination for high activity pedestrian areas (bus stops, crosswalks, etc.), locations with a high number of night-time accidents, interchanges, etc. Low energy consumption designs should be considered as the maintenance and operation costs of illumination systems may exceed the installation costs. Lighting levels should be designed according to Illuminating Engineering Society Standards. Light poles and foundations should be checked by a structural engineer or responsible manufacturer.

* 1. **Pedestrian Facilities**

Refer to Part 800 and Appendix L in the *ODOT Highway Design Manual* for design, traffic control and illumination

Resources:

* [Pedestrian and Bicycle Program](https://dfr.oregon.gov/help/complaints-licenses/Pages/check-license.aspx)
* [Americans with Disabilities Act](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Business/Procurement/Pages/LPA.aspx)
* [Engineering for Accessibility](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Engineering/Documents_RoadwayEng/HDM_L-Bike-Ped-Guide.pdf)
* [Standard Drawings and Details](https://www.oregon.gov/odot/Engineering/Pages/MUTCD.aspx)

standards, best practice recommendations, guidance, and information on pedestrian accommodation.

Sidewalks should be incorporated into reconstruction projects as required by law and into other projects where appropriate.

Refer to the Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan to ensure that projects conform to statewide goals, policies, and strategies for accommodating pedestrians. See also ODOT’s Pedestrian and Bicycle Program website for information related to bicycle facility laws, standards, funding, contacts, etc. for design and traffic control standards, best practices, guidance, and information on pedestrian accommodation.

* 1. **Bicycle Facilities**

Refer to Part 900 and Appendix L in the *ODOT Highway Design Manual* for design, traffic control, and illumination standards, best practice recommendations, guidance, and information on accommodating people on bicycle.

Bikeways should be incorporated into reconstruction projects as required by law and into projects where appropriate.

Refer to the Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan to ensure that projects conform to statewide goals, policies, and strategies for accommodating bicyclists. See also ODOT’s Pedestrian and Bicycle website for information related to bicycle facility laws, standards, funding, contacts, etc.

* 1. **Accessibility Features**

Every service or facility provided in a project must be accessible and usable to people with disabilities in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). For example, where sidewalks are provided, the sidewalks, curb ramps, and driveways shall meet the accessibility requirements of the ADA.

Refer to ODOT’s Engineering for Accessibility website for information about curb ramps, traffic signals, parking, accommodation in work zones, crosswalks and for ADA requirements.

Reference ODOT’s Standard Drawings for additional guidance.

For projects on or along state highways, ODOT’s Curb Ramp Process policy and procedures for the design and construction of sidewalks and ramps shall be followed, including the use of all ODOT forms. For projects on a local facility, ODOT’s process must be followed unless the LPA has ODOT-approved ADA design, design exception, and inspection processes in place.

* 1. **Drainage and Hydraulic Design**

Refer to the ODOT Hydraulics Manual or other ODOT approved manuals.

* 1. **Construction Specifications**

Unless otherwise agreed upon, all federally funded certified LPA projects shall be constructed in conformance with the current edition of the Oregon Standard Specifications for Construction as modified by the LPA and approved by ODOT.

Resources:

* [ODOT Curb Ramp Process](https://ecfr.io/Title-23/cfr627_main)
* [Hydraulics Manual](https://bookstore.transportation.org/category_item.aspx)
* [Oregon Standard Specifications for](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Business/Pages/Standard_Specifications.aspx) [Construction](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Business/Pages/Standard_Specifications.aspx)
* [Design Exception Request](https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Construction/Documents/pavement_design_guide.pdf)

Refer to Section B and Section C, Chapter 11 of this manual for additional information.

1. DESIGN EXCEPTIONS/DEVIATIONS

Certified LPAs are required to have a documented design exception process and shall document project design exceptions and the reasons for deviation from standards. Each documented design exception should include a description of the problem, its proposed solution, and any other information that may be helpful as a future reference.

For ADA-related design exceptions, the design exception should describe how it has ensured adherence to ADA standards to the maximum extent feasible.

LPAs are authorized to design projects to the standards referenced in this chapter, following the warrants and qualifying statements given. In the event all minimum recommendations cannot be incorporated into the design, the LPA shall obtain exception/deviation approval as applicable.

* + Design exceptions shall be documented and approved by the appropriate authority prior to the LPA’s completion of PS&E documents. Approval of any design exception is a prerequisite for PS&E approval.
  + Roadway design exceptions for work on a local facility are processed and approved by the LPA.
  + Design exceptions for work on or along the state highway system requires approval from ODOT of a Design Exception Request. To obtain ODOT approval, the LPA must submit a completed ODOT Design Exception Request form and supporting documentation to ODOT’s Regional Local Agency Liaison for processing. For more information, see *ODOT Highway Design Manual* Sections 1003.5, 1004 and 1006.1.
  + All bridge design deviations must be approved by ODOT (and FHWA if necessary) if the bridge is on the National Bridge Inventory (NBI). For locally-owned bridges not on the NBI, the LPA has approval authority.

For additional information, see the Approval Authority Matrix in Section D Resources and Section C, Chapter 11 of this manual.