

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

LAVONNE GRIFFIN-VALADE  
SECRETARY OF STATE

CHERYL MYERS  
DEPUTY SECRETARY OF STATE  
AND TRIBAL LIAISON



ARCHIVES DIVISION

STEPHANIE CLARK  
DIRECTOR

800 SUMMER STREET NE  
SALEM, OR 97310  
503-373-0701

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING**  
INCLUDING STATEMENT OF NEED & FISCAL IMPACT

CHAPTER 735  
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
DRIVER AND MOTOR VEHICLE SERVICES DIVISION

**FILED**

08/29/2024 3:29 PM  
ARCHIVES DIVISION  
SECRETARY OF STATE

FILING CAPTION: Accidents and Collisions; Reporting to DMV and Implementing Oregon Laws 2024, Chapter 63 (SB 1574)

LAST DAY AND TIME TO OFFER COMMENT TO AGENCY: 09/21/2024 5:00 PM

*The Agency requests public comment on whether other options should be considered for achieving the rule's substantive goals while reducing negative economic impact of the rule on business.*

*A public rulemaking hearing may be requested in writing by 10 or more people, or by a group with 10 or more members, within 21 days following the publication of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the Oregon Bulletin or 28 days from the date the Notice was sent to people on the agency mailing list, whichever is later. If sufficient hearing requests are received, the notice of the date and time of the rulemaking hearing must be published in the Oregon Bulletin at least 14 days before the hearing.*

CONTACT: Ty Yoder  
503-945-5256  
ty.m.yoder@odot.oregon.gov

DMV Program Services  
1905 Lana Avenue NE  
Salem, OR 97314

Filed By:  
Lauri Kunze  
Rules Coordinator

NEED FOR THE RULE(S)

DMV proposes to amend OAR 735-010-0110, 735-010-0220, 735-050-0010, 735-050-0055, 735-050-0070, 735-050-0080 and 735-064-0100 to change the term "accident" to "collision" in alignment with Oregon laws 2024, Chapter 63 (SB 1574). DMV is also amending OAR 735-050-0010 to amend the rule's definition of "party" to encompass all collisions that are reportable to DMV in accordance with Oregon laws 2024, Chapter 63.

DMV proposes to adopt OAR 735-050-0004 to clearly state that ODOT uses the terms "accident," "collision," and "crash" interchangeably in its rules, when using the terms in relation to the Oregon Vehicle Code, ORS chapters 801 to 826, unless context suggests otherwise. The three terms are used in DMV rules and this rule will ensure the public knows that ODOT presumes the terms generally have the same meaning.

DMV proposes to adopt OAR 735-050-0005 to clearly list the two methods a person may use to submit a collision report (also known as an "accident report") to DMV. This change will ensure the public knows the methods they can use to submit a collision report and will eliminate any confusion.

DOCUMENTS RELIED UPON, AND WHERE THEY ARE AVAILABLE

Enrolled Version of SB 1574 -

<https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/liz/2024R1/Downloads/MeasureDocument/SB1574/Enrolled>

STATEMENT IDENTIFYING HOW ADOPTION OF RULE(S) WILL AFFECT RACIAL EQUITY IN THIS STATE

Oregon laws 2024, Chapter 63 changed "accident" to "collision" in certain statutes and expanded the types of accidents that are reportable to DMV. DMV is aligning rule with the new law. DMV is also clarifying how a person may submit a

collision report to DMV and clarifying term usage. DMV does not believe any of these changes will affect racial equity in this state.

---

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT:

See statements below.

---

COST OF COMPLIANCE:

(1) Identify any state agencies, units of local government, and members of the public likely to be economically affected by the rule(s). (2) Effect on Small Businesses: (a) Estimate the number and type of small businesses subject to the rule(s); (b) Describe the expected reporting, recordkeeping and administrative activities and cost required to comply with the rule(s); (c) Estimate the cost of professional services, equipment supplies, labor and increased administration required to comply with the rule(s).

(1) There is no cost to comply with this rulemaking for any state agency, units of local government or members of the public.

(2)(a), (b) and (c): None

---

DESCRIBE HOW SMALL BUSINESSES WERE INVOLVED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THESE RULE(S):

DMV sent draft rules to 14 organizations representing small businesses. No responses were received.

---

WAS AN ADMINISTRATIVE RULE ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONSULTED? NO IF NOT, WHY NOT?

DMV is not making any substantial changes. This rulemaking only aligns the rules with the new law, clarifies term usage and clarifies how a person may submit a collision report. The reporting requirements did not change as a result of this rulemaking.

---

RULES PROPOSED:

735-010-0110, 735-010-0220, 735-050-0004, 735-050-0005, 735-050-0010, 735-050-0055, 735-050-0070, 735-050-0080, 735-064-0100

AMEND: 735-010-0110

RULE SUMMARY: Amended the term "accident" to "collision."

CHANGES TO RULE:

735-010-0110

Customer Record for Persons

(1) DMV shall maintain, as part of a customer record, information identifying a person including, but not limited to, the name, residence address and customer number. DMV shall maintain only one customer record per person, except as provided in this section. DMV may have more than one customer record for an individual, if, for example:¶

(a) Information the applicant provided when conducting business with DMV is insufficient to either locate an existing customer record for that individual or confirm the applicant is the same individual covered by an existing customer record; or¶

(b) Vehicle records existing prior to DMV's establishment of customer records contain insufficient information to connect that vehicle record to an individual's driving record or driver license, driver permit or identification card record.¶

(2) If an individual has no existing customer record or DMV is unable to locate a driving record, DMV shall create a customer record and shall assign the individual a customer number when the individual conducts business with DMV or when Oregon law requires the Department of Transportation to maintain a record. If the individual has been issued an Oregon driver license, driver permit or identification card, DMV shall use the number assigned to that document as the customer number.¶

(3) In accordance with ORS 802.200(9) DMV shall maintain a driving record on:¶

- (a) Every person who is granted driving privileges under a driver license, driver permit, or a statutory grant of driving privileges under ORS 807.020;¶
- (b) Every person whose driving privileges have been suspended, revoked, or canceled under the Oregon Vehicle Code;¶
- (c) Every person who has filed an ~~an accident~~ collision report under ORS 811.725 or 811.730; and¶
- (d) Every person who is required to provide future responsibility filings under ORS 806.200, 806.220, 806.230, or 806.240.¶
- (4) DMV shall record on the non-employment driving record a conviction for any:¶
  - (a) Traffic crime;¶
  - (b) A crime that involves the operation of a motor vehicle if that offense results in the suspension or revocation of driving privileges;¶
  - (c) A traffic violation that leads to a suspension of driving privileges; and¶
  - (d) An offense described in OAR 735-064-0220.¶
- (5) DMV shall record on the employment driving record any conviction described in section (4) of this rule, unless otherwise prohibited by ORS 802.200.¶
- (6) In accordance with ORS 802.200(9)(e), at the time a person is issued a commercial driving privilege in Oregon, the record received by DMV from another jurisdiction shall become part of the person's driving record in this state. Any convictions from the other jurisdiction's driving record will be transferred to the person's Oregon driving record using the AAMVAnet Code Dictionary (ACD) code.  
Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 184.619, 802.010, 802.200  
Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 802.200, 802.260, 803.220, 807.050, 807.420, 807.560, 821.080

AMEND: 735-010-0220

RULE SUMMARY: Amended "Accident" to "Collision."

CHANGES TO RULE:

735-010-0220

Requests for Personal Information Resulting from the Unsafe Operation of a Motor Vehicle ¶

(1) To qualify to receive personal information under ORS 802.179(17), a person must submit a copy of one of the following reports, or if the person does not have a copy, information that enables DMV to locate such report if it has been filed with DMV. The report must contain information showing that the person was physically injured or that property they own was damaged as the result of the unsafe operation of a vehicle:¶

(a) A police crash report;¶

(b) A police incident report; or¶

(c) An Oregon Traffic ~~Accident~~Collision and Insurance Report filed with DMV under ORS 811.720.¶

(2) Except as otherwise provided by rule or law, a person who qualifies for personal information under section (1) of this rule shall be eligible to obtain:¶

(a) Personal information about any owner, driver or occupant of a vehicle involved in the unsafe operation and identified from the police crash report, the police incident report or the Oregon Traffic ~~Accident~~Collision and Insurance Report provided to DMV; and¶

(b) Personal information about any witness to the unsafe operation listed on the police crash report, the police incident report or the Oregon Traffic ~~Accident~~Collision and Insurance Report provided to DMV.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS ~~184.616, 184.619, 192.449, 192.324, 802.010~~, 802.179, 802.183

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 802.179

ADOPT: 735-050-0004

RULE SUMMARY: DMV proposes to adopt this rule to clearly state that ODOT uses the terms "accident," "collision," and "crash" interchangeably in its rules, when using the terms in relation to the Oregon Vehicle Code, ORS chapters 801 to 826, unless context suggests otherwise.

CHANGES TO RULE:

735-050-0004

Definitions

For purposes of implementing various provisions of the Oregon Vehicle Code, ORS chapters 801 to 826, referring to an event involving a vehicle, the terms "accident," "collision," and "crash" have the same meaning, unless context suggests otherwise.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 184.619, 802.010

Statutes/Other Implemented: 802.220, 802.240, 811.700, 811.705, 811.710, 811.720, 811.725, 811.730, 811.735, 811.740, 811.745, 811.748, 811.750

ADOPT: 735-050-0005

RULE SUMMARY: DMV proposes to adopt this rule to clearly list the two methods a person may use to submit a collision report (also known as an "accident report") to DMV.

CHANGES TO RULE:

735-050-0005

Collision Reporting to DMV

A person required to submit a collision report under ORS 811.720 must complete and submit either of the following reports to DMV:

1) An Oregon Traffic Collision and Insurance Report (DMV form 735-32); or

2) A DMV2U eCollision Report located on DMV's website (<https://dmv2u.oregon.gov/eServices/>).

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 184.619, 802.010, 811.725

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 811.720, 811.725

AMEND: 735-050-0010

RULE SUMMARY: DMV proposes to amend this rule to change the term "accident" to "collision" in alignment with Oregon laws 2024, Chapter 63 (SB 1574).

CHANGES TO RULE:

735-050-0010

Proof that an ~~Accident~~ Collision is Not Reportable ¶

(1) ORS 811.720 establishes when an ~~accident~~ collision must be reported to the Driver and Motor Vehicle Services Division of the Department of Transportation (DMV). If one person reports an ~~accident~~ collision and provides information that another party must report the ~~accident~~ collision, the second party may dispute the circumstances of the ~~accident~~ collision and the requirement to report to DMV. DMV must suspend driving privileges if a party involved in an ~~accident~~ collision fails to file an ~~accident~~ collision report when required.¶

(2) If DMV issues a suspension notice resulting from information that a party involved in an ~~accident~~ collision has failed to file an ~~accident~~ collision report as required, the party may provide proof to the DMV Accident Reporting Unit that the ~~accident~~ collision is not reportable. Proof of vehicle damage or injury must apply only to the party's vehicle or its occupants. Acceptable proof includes, but is not limited to, the following:¶

(a) A repair bill or estimate from an automotive repair business or statement from an insurance company responsible for paying the damage claim, showing damage to the party's vehicle is less than \$2500;¶

(b) A repair bill or estimate, statement from an insurance company responsible for paying the damage claim or a statement from the owner of the property, showing damage to property other than a vehicle involved in the ~~accident~~ collision is less than \$2500;¶

(c) The party's sworn statement that ~~his or her~~ their vehicle was not towed from the ~~accident~~ collision scene. The statement must be notarized; or¶

(d) The party's sworn statement or a statement from the insurance company responsible for the damage claim that no person in the party's vehicle was injured as a result of the ~~accident~~ collision. The party's statement must be notarized.¶

(3) After reviewing the information provided, DMV will send a notice to the party stating whether or not an ~~accident~~ collision report must be filed with DMV.¶

(4) If DMV determines that the ~~accident~~ collision was not reportable for the party, DMV will stop or rescind any proposed suspension of the party's driving privileges.¶

(5) If DMV determines that the ~~accident~~ collision was not reportable for any party involved, DMV will remove the ~~accident~~ collision from the driving record of each party.¶

(6) For purposes of this rule, "party" means the driver or owner of a vehicle involved in an ~~acciden~~ collision ~~caused by the motion of a vehicle or its load that occurs~~ caused by the motion of a vehicle or its load that occurs on any highway or upon premises open to the public, or any premises adjacent to a highway or premises open to the public.

Statutory/Other Authority: ~~ORS 184.616~~, 184.619, 802.010

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 809.417, 811.720, 811.725, 811.730

AMEND: 735-050-0055

RULE SUMMARY: DMV proposes to amend this rule to change the term "accident" to "collision" in alignment with Oregon laws 2024, Chapter 63 (SB 1574).

CHANGES TO RULE:

735-050-0055

Proof of Compliance with Financial Responsibility Requirements ¶¶

(1) This rule specifies the information that constitutes satisfactory proof of compliance with financial responsibility requirements for the purposes of vehicle registration renewal under ORS 803.460 and ~~accident~~collision reporting under ORS 811.725.¶¶

(2) For the purposes of ORS 803.460 and ORS 811.725, DMV shall accept any one the following as proof of compliance with financial responsibility requirements:¶¶

(a) The name of the insurer issuing policy and the policy number, the insurance producer's binder number, or any other number that identifies the policy.¶¶

(b) A valid certificate of self-insurance as established in ORS 806.130.¶¶

(c) Information that a motor vehicle liability insurance policy has been issued for a vehicle applying for renewal of registration, submitted by insurers under ORS 742.580, 806.195, and OAR 735-050-0131, unless DMV has reason to believe that the information is incorrect, outdated, or the policy has been cancelled or not renewed.¶¶

(3) Nothing in this rule shall prevent DMV:¶¶

(a) From requiring an applicant for vehicle registration renewal to provide proof of compliance with financial responsibility requirements, as set forth in ORS 803.460(1); and¶¶

(b) From using any information submitted by insurers under ORS 742.580, 806.195 and OAR 735-050-0131, to verify the accuracy of any proof of compliance with financial responsibility requirements submitted by the owner of a vehicle, or for any other purpose related to enforcement of compliance with financial responsibility requirements.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 184.619, 802.010, 803.460, 806.180

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 803.370, 803.460, 806.180, 811.725



AMEND: 735-050-0070

RULE SUMMARY: DMV proposes to amend this rule to change the term "accident" to "collision" in alignment with Oregon laws 2024, Chapter 63 (SB 1574).

CHANGES TO RULE:

735-050-0070

Suspensions for Uninsured Accidents ¶¶

(1) The Driver and Motor Vehicle Services Division of the Department of Transportation (DMV) will suspend the driving privileges and right to apply for driving privileges of any person if the person is involved in any motor vehicle accident at any time when DMV determines the person has been driving uninsured.¶

(2) DMV will determine that a person was the driver of a vehicle involved in an accident if DMV receives a report to that effect from the police, an insurance carrier or insurance producer (agent), or any other person involved in the accident.¶

(3) If the accident must be reported to DMV, DMV will determine that a person was involved in an accident while driving uninsured if:¶

(a) The person does not respond to DMV's request for an ~~accident~~ collision report;¶

(b) The person does not respond to DMV's request for both the name of the insurance carrier and the policy number that covered the person's operation of the vehicle at the time of the accident; or¶

(c) The insurance carrier the person stated he or she was insured with denies coverage for the accident.¶

(4) DMV will grant a pre-suspension hearing under ORS 809.440(1), upon timely request, to any person whose driving privileges are suspended as described in section (1) of this rule. The suspension will not take effect pending the outcome of the hearing and DMV will impose the suspension if the administrative law judge affirms the suspension following the hearing.¶

(5) Once a suspension described in section (1) of this rule takes effect, DMV will rescind the suspension if the person supplies the name of an insurance carrier and policy number that covered the person's operation of the vehicle at the time of the accident.¶

(6) DMV will again suspend the driving privileges if the suspension was rescinded under section (5) and the insurance carrier subsequently denies coverage for the accident. The person will be eligible for full reinstatement of driving privileges one year from the new suspension date.¶

EXCEPTION: DMV shall subtract time served under the original uninsured accident suspension from the one-year suspension period.

Statutory/Other Authority: ~~ORS 184.616~~, 184.619, 802.010, 809.417

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 809.417

AMEND: 735-050-0080

RULE SUMMARY: DMV proposes to amend this rule to change the term "accident" to "collision" in alignment with Oregon laws 2024, Chapter 63 (SB 1574).

CHANGES TO RULE:

735-050-0080

Financial Responsibility Verification Program ¶¶

- (1) DMV randomly selects motor vehicles for financial responsibility verification. The total number randomly selected each year will not exceed ten percent of the motor vehicles registered in Oregon that are subject to verification.¶¶
- (2) In addition to randomly selecting motor vehicles under section (1) of this rule, DMV may select persons for verification of financial responsibility requirements if:¶¶
- (a) A vehicle is registered to a person who has been convicted of violating ORS 806.010;¶¶
  - (b) A vehicle is registered to a person who has submitted certifications of compliance with financial responsibility requirements that have previously been found to be incorrect; or¶¶
  - (c) DMV has reasonable grounds to believe that the person is in violation of financial responsibility requirements. DMV's determination of reasonable grounds is based on one or more of the following:¶¶
    - (A) Written statements from police, insurance carriers, insurance producers (agents), and the public;¶¶
    - (B) Any admission to DMV by the owner of an Oregon-registered vehicle that the vehicle is not insured;¶¶
    - (C) Receipt by DMV of any record from another jurisdiction that the owner of any Oregon-registered vehicle was convicted of driving uninsured, regardless of the vehicle driven at the time of offense;¶¶
    - (D) Receipt by DMV of any record from another jurisdiction indicating that an Oregon-registered vehicle was driven without insurance, regardless of who was driving the vehicle;¶¶
    - (E) Statements made during an administrative hearing by a driver or owner of a vehicle that the Oregon-registered vehicle was driven while uninsured;¶¶
    - (F) No record of submission from an insurance carrier, pursuant to ORS 742.580, 806.195 and OAR 735-050-0131, that a motor vehicle liability insurance policy has been issued; or¶¶
    - (G) No record of submission of proof of compliance with financial responsibility requirements following a reportable ~~accident~~collision pursuant to ORS 811.725.¶¶
- (3) DMV will use a written statement as identified in section (2)(c)(A) of this rule as a basis for a financial responsibility notice of verification only if the person making the statement does all of the following:¶¶
- (a) Signs and dates the request;¶¶
  - (b) Identifies the vehicle that the person believes is being operated in violation of financial responsibility requirements; and¶¶
  - (c) Explains why the person believes the vehicle is being operated in violation of financial responsibility requirements and includes facts that would cause a reasonable person to believe the vehicle is being operated in violation of financial responsibility requirements.¶¶
- (4) DMV will send all registered owners of a selected motor vehicle a notice of verification that the selected vehicle was insured on a specified date. The request for verification will explain that within 30 days of the date DMV sends the notice of verification, at least one registered owner must respond to DMV's notice of verification by:¶¶
- (a) Certifying that, as of the date specified in the notice, the selected vehicle was in compliance with financial responsibility requirements as described in ORS 806.060 and 806.080; or¶¶
  - (b) Filing an SR-22 insurance certificate.¶¶
- (5) DMV will suspend the driving privileges or right to apply for driving privileges under ORS 806.220(1)(a) and 809.415(3)(a) of any person who fails to make a future responsibility filing by failing to provide sufficient proof of compliance with financial responsibility requirements. Failure to provide sufficient proof of compliance includes but is not limited to:¶¶
- (a) Failing to respond to DMV's notice requesting verification within 30 days of the date DMV sends the notice, as required by section (4) of this rule;¶¶
  - (b) Responding to DMV's notice of verification in a manner leading DMV to determine the vehicle was not in compliance with financial responsibility requirements as of the date of the notice of verification; or¶¶
  - (c) Falsely certifying proof of compliance with financial responsibility requirements.¶¶
- (6) DMV will withdraw the notice of proposed suspension of all registered owners of the selected vehicle upon receipt of proof of compliance from any of the registered owners.¶¶
- (7) DMV will forward proof of compliance submitted by a registered owner to the listed insurer for the purpose of requesting verification that the proof of compliance provided is correct.¶¶

- (8) If the information provided by the person is not correct, the insurer must respond to DMV's request to verify the registered owner's proof of compliance within 30 days of the date DMV sends the request to the insurer.¶
- (9) DMV will issue a Notice of Suspension to all registered owners of the vehicle upon DMV's receipt of notice from an insurer demonstrating that the proof of compliance with financial responsibility requirements under ORS 806.150 and 811.725 is not correct.¶
- (10) A person who is initially denied coverage by an insurer may provide DMV with additional proof of compliance in the form of an official statement, written on the insurance company's letterhead and signed by an authorized employee of that insurer, indicating the vehicle was covered as of the date of the verification request.¶
- (11) A person who fails to make a future responsibility filing after failing verification or who falsely certifies compliance with financial responsibility requirements is entitled to a pre-suspension hearing in accordance with ORS 809.440(1) and 809.415. If no pre-suspension hearing is held, a person may be entitled to a post-imposition hearing in accordance with ~~OAR 735-050-009~~ ORS 809.450.¶
- (12) DMV may withdraw an SR-22 requirement for a person who responds to DMV's request for verification in a manner leading DMV to determine the selected vehicle was not in compliance with financial responsibility requirements as of the date of the notice of verification, or who was denied coverage by an insurance company, if DMV determines that there is sufficient reason for the vehicle not to be covered by insurance on the date specified on the request. Sufficient reason includes, but is not limited to:¶
- (a) The vehicle is covered by a valid liability policy but the policy only covers the vehicle for a specified period or is limited based on mileage;¶
  - (b) The vehicle was removed from an insurance policy during a period of extended storage; or¶
  - (c) The vehicle is not operable for an extended period that includes the date specified on the request for verification.¶
- (13) DMV's Withdrawal of an SR-22 requirement under a condition described in section (12) of this rule must be supported by:¶
- (a) Documents from the insurance carrier indicated in the owner's response to DMV's request for verification;¶
  - (b) A signed, written statement on the insurance company's letterhead from an employee of the insurance carrier described in the owner's response to DMV's request for verification;¶
  - (c) Documents, including pictures of the vehicle or receipts from an auto-part store or mechanic, which demonstrate the vehicle was undergoing repairs; or¶
  - (d) Other documents that DMV determines affirm the owner's assertion that the owner's vehicle meets one of the circumstances described in section (12) of this rule.
- Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 184.619, 802.010, 806.150, 806.230, 806.245, 809.415  
Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 806.050, 806.150, 806.170, 806.220, 809.440, 809.450

AMEND: 735-064-0100

RULE SUMMARY: DMV proposes to amend this rule to change the term "accident" to "collision" in alignment with Oregon laws 2024, Chapter 63 (SB 1574).

CHANGES TO RULE:

735-064-0100

Hardship Permit Restrictions ¶¶

- (1) The driving privileges of a person issued a hardship permit is restricted to do all of the following:¶¶
- (a) The person must not drive outside the hardship permit driving restrictions;¶¶
  - (b) The person must not be convicted of or forfeit bail for more than one traffic offense listed in ORS 809.600(2)(b) (including city traffic offenses and similar offenses under federal or state law) within any 12-month period. See OAR 735-064-0220 for a list of offenses and statutory references;¶¶
  - (c) The person must not be convicted of or forfeit bail for an offense as specified in ORS 809.600(1)(a) through (g). These offenses are: murder, manslaughter, criminally negligent homicide, assault, recklessly endangering another person, menacing, or criminal mischief resulting from the operation of a motor vehicle; reckless driving, driving while under the influence of intoxicants, failure to perform the duties of a driver involved in an ~~accident~~ or collision, criminal driving while suspended or revoked, fleeing or attempting to elude a police officer, aggravated vehicular homicide or aggravated driving while suspended or revoked;¶¶
  - (d) The person must not use intoxicants and drive;¶¶
  - (e) The person must not refuse to submit to a chemical breath test, blood test or urine test;¶¶
  - (f) The person must not be convicted of or forfeit bail for an offense under ORS 811.170 or 811.481; or¶¶
  - (g) The person must not falsify any information appearing on the Hardship Application.¶¶
- (2) The person required to have an IID must not violate any of the following conditions:¶¶
- (a) Drive any vehicle which does not have an IID installed unless the person is exempted by statute and administrative rule or the use of the specific vehicle is excepted by statute and administrative rule;¶¶
  - (b) Drive a vehicle owned or leased by the person's employer without an IID unless the person is carrying a copy of an employer's exception letter, Employer IID Exception form or medical exemption letter in his or her possession;¶¶
  - (c) Tamper with the IID; or¶¶
  - (d) Solicit another person to blow into the IID.¶¶
- (3) Evidence that a restriction or condition has been violated includes, but is not limited to the following:¶¶
- (a) Police reports;¶¶
  - (b) ~~Accident~~Collision reports;¶¶
  - (c) Written reports from family members or the general public;¶¶
  - (d) A written report which indicates the person has driven outside the hardship permit restrictions;¶¶
  - (e) A written report which indicates the person has been driving after using intoxicants;¶¶
  - (f) A written report from a police officer that indicates the person has refused the chemical breath test, blood test or urine test following an arrest for driving under the influence of intoxicants;¶¶
  - (g) A report from a police officer;¶¶
  - (h) A court conviction; and¶¶
  - (i) A written report from an IID provider that the person has tampered with the IID installed in his or her vehicle.
- Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 184.619, 802.010, 807.240  
Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 807.240, 813.100, 813.602, 813.606, 813.610, 813.614