Slide Number	Slide Notes
1	This training session addresses scoring calibration for writing work samples as part of the local performance assessment requirements. All of the resources, including training materials, can be located in a centralized folder online using the tiny url link or by visiting the ODE English language arts assessment webpage. https://tinyurl.com/3ywbd23v
2	 The training goals address the following topics: Review the guidelines for administering Writing Work Samples for the purpose of the Local Performance Assessment requirements. Build background and identify language within the ODE Official and Student Language Writing Scoring Guide. Collaboratively score a writing work sample to build a common understanding of proficiency.
3	Note: Teachers should be partnered at the beginning of greeting attendees and placed in tables or groups with like-peers. Depending upon group make-up, you may need to change categories. It is recommended when working with multiple grade level to group teachers by those bands to collaboratively work with peers on the writing scoring guides and work sample calibration activity. Allow SPED teachers flexibility in choosing the group they work most closely with in supporting students. Coaches should be placed with the grade level content band that caters to their strength to contribute to the accuracy of calibration
4	On this slide, we provide an opportunity to review collective group norms to ground the professional development. Facilitators can add specific language and norms adopted by the school district if they are not included in the following list.
5	During this portion, educators will Review the guidelines for administering Writing Work Samples for the purpose of the Local Performance Assessment requirements.
6	As a reminder In 2021, the Oregon Legislative Assembly passed SB 744, which directs the Oregon Department of Education to review state requirements for high school diploma options, as well as to review state requirements related to demonstrations of proficiency in Essential Skills. Allowing for this review, students will <u>not</u> be required to show proficiency in Essential Skills as a condition of receiving a high school diploma during the 2021-2022, 2022-2023, and 2023-2024 school years. Note: SB 744 applies only to the suspension of the assessment of Essential Skills requirements for receiving a Regular or Modified Diploma and does not suspend the local performance assessment requirements for students in grades 3 through 8 and at least once in high school.
7	The Local Performance Assessment must evaluate the application of students' knowledge and skills and districts must annually administer a Local Performance Assessment for students in grades 3 through 8 and at least once in high school in the following skill areas: <i>Mathematics, Scientific Inquiry, Speaking, and Writing.</i> Once again, for this presentation we will be focusing on the writing LPA requirements.

	Most importantly and indicated in the box in the bottom right of this slide, LPAs should emphasize building activities, exercises, or problems that get at higher depth of knowledge and cognitive complexity rather than just recall or demonstrate.
	This is one reason why the Interim ELA Performance Tasks are viewed as a potential option for districts and schools because they are designed to engage students in both DOK 3 or higher and align to the full complexity of the standards as they were written by bundling multiple standards into the anchor standards or learning targets.
8	Local Performance Assessments should align to the 2019 Oregon English Language Arts and Literacy Standards. On this slide we can see the three writing text types and purposes which include opinion/argumentative, informational/expository, and narrative writing
9	When administering a local performance assessment within the classroom, educators must ensure the assessment is standardized. The assessment maybe a common activity or an activity in which the scoring guide is consistent. The scoring guide makes the performance assessment standardized even if the task content is different. Districts are encouraged, though not required, to use official state scoring guides to score local performance assessments.
	Work Samples, which are performance assessments, are one way that districts can satisfy the Local Performance Assessment requirement.
10	We will stop here for a moment to check if there are any questions before preceding to address any local concerns.
11	In this section, we will address our second goal as we collectively build background knowledge and identify language within the ODE Official and Student Language Writing Scoring Guide.
12	In this activity, we would like to gauge how comfortable educators are feeling about the different scoring guides and your scoring accuracy. If in person this can be done within in the room or if presenting online this can be completed using a chat waterfall, or an online survey or poll. Please take a moment to read through the descriptors and select which description reflects your position. Row boat – just beginning, slow going, lots of work Motor boat – moving a little faster and navigating from place to place Speed boat – moving quickly through the landscape Yacht – can travel long distances with ease, amenities make it easy to handle various conditions
13	Oregon has two different scoring guides posted on the ODE website. Official Writing Scoring Guides – refers to the updated scoring guides aligned to the language within the Common Core State Standards for implementation beginning Fall 2017. Note that there are two versions of the Official Scoring Guide to be used depending on writing purpose: one for narrative writing (personal or fictional narratives) and the other for explanatory or argumentative writing.

	Student Language Scoring Guides – refers to both a 6^{th} – 8^{th} detailed version and 3^{rd} – 5^{th} & 6^{th} – 8^{th} condensed versions aligned to the Official Writing Scoring Guides
	Please note In 2019, ODE adopted the Position Statement guidance from the National Council of Teachers of English, "Statement on Gender and Language" October 25, 2018 and intentional use of gender-neutral language is permissible in student work and should not impact a student's convention trait score.
14	Before launching into the next slides, please take a moment to internally reflect on these questions as it applies to the scoring guides and student work.
15	As previously stated ODE posts two different scoring guides on the website. The Official Writing Scoring guide is one option available for scoring writing work samples. It is primarily used at the high school level but can be used at other grades as determined to be appropriate by the educator. The Official Writing Scoring guide is based on the full complexity of the standards.
	The other scoring guides posted include student language scoring guides. These scoring guides are based on the Official Writing Scoring Guide, but have been developed to reflect appropriate grade level academic language and as you'll notice the higher and lower ends of the 6-point scale on the student language versions have been combined. Additionally we have made these available by the grade bands described in the previous slides.
	A common question is can high school educators use the $6-8$ student language-scoring guides. Since the suspension of Essential Skills is in place this type of decision should be answered by the district's requirements for scoring LPAs in high school.
16	As a reminder to the Informative / Explanatory & Opinion/Argumentative scoring guides, Use of Sources has been added as a plus one trait. This change also aligns to the align to the Social Science Analysis Standards. The social science analysis scoring guides posted on the website provides a deeper analysis into those social science analysis standards.
	As briefly mentioned in the prior slides, the official writing scoring guide is based on a 6-point scale. Score point four describes the minimum grade level proficiency expectations for students. The score point four applies to all grade levels including 3 rd grade and up.
17	The list provides a quick list of the 6 traits included plus the use of sources previously mentioned for the opinion/argumentative and informational/expository scoring guide. In a few moments participants will engage in an activity to become more familiar with the specific language included within each scoring assertion, and in the meantime in the coming slides we provide a quick overview or theme of each writing trait.
18	Now we will look the writing trait themes.
19	Ideas and Content focuses on main ideas and supporting details

LOCAL PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT WORK SAMPLE SCORING CALIBRATION FACILITATOR NOTES

20	The major themes of organization are order, structure and sequencing, but also include introduction and concluding transitions
21	Voice is inclusion of how the author engages the reader and provides their own expressive interest in the topic.
22	Word choice is about how the author uses not only a variety of vocabulary in the writing, but also includes accuracy of both descriptive and content or academic language based on the topic
23	Sentence fluency addresses the flow of the paper and how the writer uses a variety of sentence patterns and length
24	And conventions includes many of the language standards surrounding grammar and use within the context of writing.
25	As we mentioned earlier there is a plus one trait for opinion/argumentative and informational/expository, use of sources. The theme aligns to not only the use of multiple sources back the writer's accuracy in using the sources in providing supporting details or facts.
26	 Activity: Highlighting Key Feature: In teams of two or more divide up the 6 writing traits to scan. 1. Starting at score level four: highlight, underline, or circle key descriptive words that describe "look for" of student evidence in writing. 2. Repeat this process for score level five and three. Discussion: What were some key specifics or language you saw either between scoring assertions within a trait or something that was new learning?
27	In the next few slides, we will review some the different adjectives and phrases used for a score point value of four minimum proficiency, three approaching proficiency expectations of the standards, and five above the minimum proficiency of the standards.
28	Within Ideas and Content, the focus is on details being easy to understand and adequate details provided. In looking at core point three details may be too vague, general or inaccurate, and at score point five details are specific to the content and provide an additional layer of interest and knowledge
29	Organization is focused on clarity and where details are situated in an order that makes the author's message clear. At score point three their might be evidence of organization and an attempt to sequence information, but it is underdeveloped or details are situated in a way that they seem to repeat the same points. At score level five the details are organized in a clear manner and the flow of the details does not detract from the author's message and some of the author's plot or points are strengthened by the way they are organized within the writing piece.
30	Voice is often associated with word choice and focuses on the author's purpose behind the writing. The author is asked to write in a manner that is engaging to the reader, and therefore students at a score point four are asked to use expressive language that invites the reader into the writing piece. At a score level, three the author may attempt to invite the reader into the story but the message is

	inconsistent to hold the reader captivated. Of course, at a score level five the author is expressive and writes with a voice that captivates the reader regardless of the topic.
31	As mentioned in the last trait, word choice is key is helping the author to be expressive, however, word choice also touches on the writer's accuracy in using content specific language as described in the language standards. Additional, it builds on the diversity of vocabulary used within the author's writing. Therefore, we see the use of variety and the difference between content and academic language to be the biggest distinguishing factor across the proficiency scoring levels.
32	Though Sentence fluency can often be confused with conventions, they primary focus is one the use of short simple sentences in combination with compound sentences and prepositional phrases that add to the student's control of expressive writing. The example of reading a student response aloud is often the best gauge and the writing reads smoothly like a meandering stream versus choppy like rapids in a river. Though some parts maybe easy to read, if the fluency of the writing causes the reader to pause or be compelled to reread a section, it is most likely a score level three rather than a score level four or higher.
33	Conventions address grammar in the most traditional sense: spelling, capitalization, or ending and internal punctuation. A key factor to remember with spelling is that a single spelling mistake should not be compounded every time it is misspelled, unless the misspelling is different than the previous attempt. Of significant importance is taking a closer look at score level five, which does state "little need for editing" is acceptable. Therefore, even a student who has some editing needed they may still be using a high level of grammatical use: internal punctuation that deems them a level five rather than a level four. The bigger difference between a level four and three is when grammatical mistakes are numerous enough to distract the reader from the author's intended writing purpose.
34	Finally, use of sources connects to a student's writing style that incorporates reading and social science analysis standards within the context of the writing standards. Does the author use information from multiple sources to convey their opinion or argument or to enhance the informational/ expository writing piece? Are they performing at a level three where summarizing or paraphrasing information is missing and they are only copying the text, or are they performing at a level five where they are accurately using multiple sources and specific information to strengthen their writing? In some cross content writing pieces, educators may wish to use both the writing scoring guide and the social science analysis scoring guides to capture multiple standards in one activity.
35	In addition to the highlighted scoring guide you just created, we have created a Writing Scoring Guide Adjective document that might be helpful to use within instruction and share with students. The workshop facilitator will either post a link to these documents or share this document with you now as we transition to our next goal. Link to document: https://tinyurl.com/2p8eryem
36	In this final section, we will address Goal 3: Collaboratively score a writing work sample to build a common understanding of proficiency.

40	used and cited in the justifications and commentary. There might be circumstances where you have identified evidence from multiple soring level descriptors and you will be asked to determine a score based on the preponderance of evidence or the greater weight of the evidence to decide in favor of one side or the other.
39	The scoring justifications and commentary that are used are from a group of teachers based on their calibration levels at the time. Please be aware you might not be I full agreement with the scores and you will be asked to look beyond the score and read the narrative evidence that was
	The scoring justifications and commentary that are used are from a group of teachers based on their calibration levels at the time. Please be aware you might not be I full agreement with the scores and you will be asked to look beyond the score and read the narrative evidence that was used
	This is just one small activity and with time, teams can create their own library of anchor papers to use for future calibration sessions. The protocols shared and presented can be used for multiple content areas with other anchor papers.
	The purpose of the anchor papers that will be shared with you is to provide examples of student work that exemplify the attributes of a certain score level and that serve as a standard against which other papers or performances can be judged.
38	Now that you are familiar with the task, we will begin the process of working together on scoring calibration.
	If you are the facilitator, you may wish to pause the presentation or video now. Share link or documents: https://tinyurl.com/4phvekym
37	For elementary educators, we have provided 3 rd and 5 th grade anchor papers, our recommendation is for our 4 th grade educators to use the 5 th grade anchor papers as they provide a forward looking perspective of student writing expectations. Middle school educators will be looking at a sample set from 7 th grade and high school educators will be looking at a sample set from 11 th grade
	In this activity, we will share the different tasks and sources provided to students. We will provide approximately 7 – 8 minutes to read the task and sources so that you are familiar with the context shared with students. We will pause her for a moment. When participants are ready, we will continue by navigating to the next slide.
	While the Standards delineate specific expectations in reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language, each standard need <u>not</u> be a separate focus for instruction and assessment. <u>Often</u> , several standards can be (bundled) addressed by a single rich task (learning target) such as a performance task.
	Prior to starting the calibration process, we will become familiar with the task associated with the provided anchor papers.

	In the coming slides, we will briefly walk through the scoring calibration process. Our focus will be on opinion/argumentative and informational/expository to allow us to practice the plus one use of sources trait.
41	Now that you have had a chance to become familiar with the task, you will now read through the student samples. As you read through the anchor papers identify evidence related to each trait while reading the student writing response and refer to the key words you identified and writing trait themes this part of the task will take approximately 5-7 minutes per paper to read, however we will read and discuss one paper at a time.
42	After reading through the student response and using your highlighted scoring guides and key vocabulary document, identify pieces of evidence in student essays while looking at the preponderance of evidence to determine a score. As we transition the Step 3, we will discuss recording your scores.
43	The facilitator will ask you to determine your score and write it down of a sticky note or other piece of paper, however, it is important to not yet share scores during this part to avoid placing an impartial bias on others in your group who are determining their scores. At this time approximately 10-12 minutes should have passed from first starting the reading process, identify evidence, and recording your score.
44	Teams will share out their scores within their group and a designated recorder will chart the team's scores. Consensus may not be 100% agreement. Sometimes it is okay to agree to disagree. After scores have been shared for each trait, take a moment to briefly discuss any traits where there is wide variety. In the example chart presented on this slide, the first four traits seem to be in agreement that the student scored proficient or higher. However, the team is in wider disagreement in the last 3 traits and may choose these traits to focus on and discuss the evidence and try to come to a consensus. Therefore, each participant should be prepared to support scores using both language from the scoring guide and evidence from the student writing. The facilitator will allow the group 5 – 7 minutes to discuss and will share the justifications and commentary in a moment.
45	The scores, justifications, and commentary are a snapshot of time from a group of educators who were calibrated together. The should provide not only the trait scores but should also be supported with the evidence from that group that completed the justifications. This is a moment to look at your scores from each trait and compare not only the score, but the evidence cited to justify the score provided in the justification and commentary papers. We will provide about 3 – 5 minutes for self-reflection before moving on to another student sample.

LOCAL PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT WORK SAMPLE SCORING CALIBRATION FACILITATOR NOTES

46	We will pause here to clarify any questions before beginning the process and in a moment, we will post the complete steps on the next slide.
47	Again we have posted the steps including approximate time for each step to help both the facilitator and participants to monitor the activity. If there is time permitting, we would recommend elementary educators to take a look at the other sample task and papers from the opposing grade you previously discussed. For instance 3 rd grade educators might gain additional insight be looking at the 5 th grade provided task and reading at least the 5 th grade sample A student response and scoring justification and commentary. Equally 4 th and 5 th grade teachers may benefit in looking at the 3 rd grade materials.
48	The intended goal of this professional development topic was to put the numerous puzzle pieces together and strengthen our collective understanding of the local performance assessment requirements, the different published ODE scoring guide resources, and taking a moment to look and discuss some sample anchor papers to calibrate our understanding of the scoring process and how evidence is used to determine and support trait scores. In addition to this resource, ODE makes other resources available to educators. These resources can provide additional insight into assessment practices that support instructional practices. Contact information for English language arts and other content areas is also available to educators by visiting the ODE website.