## **LEVELS OF COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**

STANCE TOWARDS COMMUNITY					
IGNORE	INFORM	CONSULT	INVOLVE	COLLABORATE	DEFER
		INTENTION & UNINTEND	DED IMPACT TO CONSIDI	ER	
Protecting School, District, Regional Interests Unintended Impact to Consider: Marginalizing Communities	Keeping Communities Updated Unintended Impact to Consider: Placating & Underestimating Community Wisdom	Receiving Community Input Unintended Impact to Consider: Tokenizing & Gatekeeping Community Engagement	Meaningfully Engaging Community Voice Unintended Impact to Consider: Community Voice is Not Heard	Collaborating and Sharing Power with Communities Unintended Impact to Consider: Collaborative Process Derailed by Power Dynamics & Lack of Relational Trust	Communities Drive and Own the Work  Unintended Impact to Consider: Sovereignty and Core Agreements are Not Honored
		COMMUNITY EN	GAGEMENT GOALS		
Deny access to decision-making processes	Provide students, families & community with relevant information for them to support district, school or regional goals	Gather input from students, families & community without including them in decision-making	Ensure students, families & community needs and assets are integrated into applicant process & planning	Ensure student, family & community capacity play a leadership role in implementation of decisions	Foster lasting educational equity through community-driven schools that are culturally rooted and responsive to whole and sovereign people and communities
		EXAMPLE ENGA	GEMENT METHODS		
<ul> <li>Closed door meeting</li> <li>Misinformation</li> <li>Systematic effort to avoid engagement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fact sheets</li> <li>Open houses</li> <li>Presentations</li> <li>Billboards or school electronic boards</li> <li>Videos</li> <li>Social media posts</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Input sessions</li> <li>Focus groups</li> <li>Empathy interviews</li> <li>Surveys</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Collaboration or engagement with community organizing and community voices</li> <li>House meetings</li> <li>Interactive workshops &amp; forums with accessibility and safety considerations</li> <li>Student &amp; Parent/Family Advisory Committees</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MOUs with         Community-based         organizations</li> <li>Leadership         Development</li> <li>Resources &amp;         funding allocated         for community         organizing</li> <li>Collaborative         design and         facilitation of         community         forums to ensure         voice, safety &amp;         accessibility</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Community-driven planning</li> <li>Student or Parent/Family led community forums to assess challenges and develop solutions</li> <li>Consensus building</li> <li>Participatory Action Research and community-driven initiatives</li> <li>Participatory budgeting</li> <li>Community schools</li> </ul>
KEY EXAMPLE STRATEGY TO CONSIDER FOR ENGAGEMENT METHODS					
Establish who the community is composed of	Language Accessibility	Hospitality and reciprocity practices	Staff training on anti-racist equity and culturally sustaining and responsive practice	Deeper strategy and visioning work with local community-based organizations (e.g. nonprofits, churches, etc)	Allocating integrated strategies/activities for community-driven projects and use
	HOW COM	IMUNITY ENGAGEMENT	COULD EXIST IN INTEGR	RATED PLAN	
al student groups of acknowledged and/or subsumed in another category (e.g. students of color lumped into students experiencing poverty)  Associated Challenge: Students of color continue to be invisibilized by a system of White Supremacy	Communities informed that integrated planning is occurring but not engaged for input  Associated Challenge: Community needs continue to be invisible because they are merely informed, not solicited for input	Data (including disaggregated data) are used as primary resource to inform strategies/activities  Associated Challenge: Communities are only engaged once in the process of plan creation and follow-through is limited	Tribal Consultation and engagement is central to the development of integrated plans  Associated Challenge: Community (and tribal) voice is taken but not actually incorporated	Partnerships with community-based organizations, organizers, employers, and other agencies  Associated Challenge: Partnerships are created but not honored through continual reciprocity and shared work	Task forces and committees with decision-making power composed of community members, target focal groups, and staff  Associated Challenge: Decision-making is rhetorically granted but in practice not deferred and shared with community

by a system of White Supremacy