	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS		
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
} - -	MULTI/TECH ENGINEERING SERVICES INC., an Oregon corporation,)	
7	Petitioner,) LUBA No. 99-049	
)	VS.)	
)		ORDER ON MOTION	
) TO SUBMIT AMICUS BRIEF	
)	JOSEPHINE COUNTY,		
,	Dagnandant		
ļ ;	Respondent. and		
, -)	and)	
7	STEVE DOOB, MARTIN SEYBOLD, NANCY		
}	KLAPATCH and MARGARET JORDAN)	
)			
)	Intervenors-Respondent.)	
	In accordance with OAD 661 010 0052	the City of Grants Pass moves to submit an	
•	in accordance with OAK 001-010-0032,	the City of Grants Pass moves to submit an	
}	amicus brief in this case. The matter on appea	al arises from property that is now under the	
Ļ	city's jurisdiction pursuant an urban growth management agreement between the city and		
	respondent Josephine County. The decision on	appeal predates the city's jurisdiction over	
)	the area.		
,	Petitioner opposes this motion, arguing	that the city's motion fails to sufficiently	
;	articulate reasons why review of the relevant issues would be significantly aided by the city's		
)	participation as amicus. OAR 661-010-0052.1		
)	The city's perspective as the local gov	vernment with jurisdiction over the subject	

¹OAR 661-010-0052 provides:

[&]quot;(1) A person * * * may appear as amicus only by permission of the board on written motion. The motion shall set forth the interest of the movant and state reasons why a review of relevant issues would be significantly aided by participation in the amicus. * * *"

1	property may be helpful in our analysis of the legal issues. Therefore, it is appropriate to
2	permit the filing of the amicus brief. 1000 Friends of Oregon v. Washington County, 17 Or
3	LUBA 671, 679 (1989).
4	The city's motion to appear as amicus is allowed.
5	DATED this 27th day of May, 1999.
6	
7	
8	Anne Corcoran Briggs
9	Board Member