#### **Exhibit S**

### Historic, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources

#### Yellow Rosebush Energy Center August 2024

Prepared for Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC

Prepared by





#### **Table of Contents**

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Analysis Area	1
3.0	Description of Cultural Resources Surveys Performed - OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)	2
3.1	Tribal Outreach	2
3.2	Methods - OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)(i)	3
3.	2.1 Records Review	3
3.	2.2 Field Surveys	4
3.3	Survey and Inventory Results – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)(ii)	5
4.0	Historic and Cultural Resources within the Analysis Area	11
4.1 001	Listed or Potential Resources for the National Register of Historic Places – OAR 345-0(1)(s)(A)	
4.2	Archaeological Objects and Sites on Private Lands – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(B)	11
4.3	Archaeological Sites on Public Lands – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(C)	12
5.0	Measures Designed to Prevent the Destruction of Historic, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)(iii)	12
6.0	Proposed Monitoring Plan – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(E)	13
7.0	Submittal Requirements	13
7.1	Submittal Requirements	13
7.2	Approval Standards	14
8.0	References	14
	List of Tables	
	S-1. Cultural Resources Identified in the Analysis Area	
	S-2. Submittal Requirements MatrixS-3. Approval Standards	
I able	o-o. approvai otaliuai us	14

#### **List of Figures**

- Figure S-1. Analysis Area
- Figure S-2. Survey Coverage of Direct Analysis Area
- Figure S-3. Resource Locations within Analysis Area (Confidential-provided under separate cover)

#### **List of Attachments**

Attachment S-1. Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation Report SHPO Submittal Receipt Attachment S-2. Draft Inadvertent Discovery Plan (IDP)

#### **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

AP Archaeological Permit

Applicant Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC

Burns Paiute Burns Paiute Tribe

Council Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council

CTUIR Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation

Facility Yellow Rosebush Energy Center

Grand Ronde Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of

Oregon

HPRCSIT Historic Properties of Religious and Cultural Significance

to Indian Tribes

HRA Historic Research Associates, Inc.

IDP Inadvertent Discovery Plan

LCIS Legislative Commission on Indian Services

NRHP National Register of Historic Places


OAR Oregon Administrative Rules

ORS Oregon Revised Statutes

SHPO State Historic Preservation Office

Siletz Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians

Warm Springs Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation



#### 1.0 Introduction

Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC (Applicant) seeks to develop the Yellow Rosebush Energy Center (Facility), a solar energy generation facility, battery energy storage system, and related or supporting facilities in Wasco and Sherman counties, Oregon.

Exhibit S provides an analysis of potential significant adverse impacts of the Facility to historic, cultural, and archaeological resources. This exhibit demonstrates that the Facility complies with the approval standards in Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 345-022-0090 and the submittal requirements in OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s) paragraphs (A) through (E). Specifically, OAR 345-022-0090 states that:

- (1) Except for facilities described in sections (2) and (3), to issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the construction and operation of the facility, taking into account mitigation, are not likely to result in significant adverse impacts to:
  - (a) Historic, cultural or archaeological resources that have been listed on, or would likely be listed on the National Register of Historic Places;
  - (b) For a facility on private land, archaeological objects, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(a), or archaeological sites, as defined in 358.905(1)(c); and
  - (c) For a facility on public land, archaeological sites, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(c).
- (2) The Council may issue a site certificate for a facility that would produce power from wind, solar or geothermal energy without making the findings described in section (1). However, the Council may apply the requirements of section (1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.
- (3) The Council may issue a site certificate for a special criteria facility under OAR 345-015-0310 without making the findings described in section (1). However, the Council may apply the requirements of section (1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.

This analysis utilizes the federal definition for archaeological sites (a resource 50 years or older), rather than the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office's (SHPO) state guidelines for such resources (abandoned for 75 years). The federal definition is more conservative and encompasses sites that would meet the state definition.

#### 2.0 Analysis Area

Pursuant to OAR 345-021-0010(1)(a) and (b) and as defined in the Project Order,<sup>1</sup> the analysis area for historic, cultural, and archaeological resources consists of a direct and indirect analysis area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Oregon Department of Energy, Project Order for Yellow Rosebush Energy Center (January 2024).

The direct analysis area is defined as the micrositing corridor within the Facility site boundary. The indirect analysis area includes the Facility site boundary and a 1-mile buffer for consideration of auditory and visual impacts to historic sites (i.e., historic buildings, structures, or objects) and Historic Properties of Religious and Cultural Significance to Indian Tribes (HPRCSITs). The analysis area is shown on Figure S-1. The entire direct analysis area is located on private land.

# 3.0 Description of Cultural Resources Surveys Performed - OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D) The significant potential impacts, if any, of the construction, operation and retirement of the proposed facility on the resources described in paragraphs (A), (B) and (C) and a plan for protection of those resources that includes at least the following:

A cultural resources survey for the proposed Facility was completed by Historic Research Associates, Inc. (HRA) in 2023 (Davis et al. 2023) (submitted to SHPO; see Attachment S-1). This included Tribal outreach by HRA, a records review, and field survey for archaeological and aboveground resources within the direct analysis area.

#### 3.1 Tribal Outreach

On July 11, 2023, the Applicant initiated discussion regarding the project with the Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon (Grand Ronde), Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation (Warm Springs), Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR), Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians (Siletz), and Burns Paiute Tribe (Burns Paiute). The Applicant sent letters to the Tribal chairs and cultural resources department representatives that provided a basic overview of the project; described methods and archaeological permitting for identifying historic, cultural, and archaeological resources that could be impacted by the project; sought input on resources that are important to the Tribes or other information that could contribute to improved project planning and design; and offered the opportunity to meet or discuss the project. The Applicant also submitted a letter to SHPO on that same date describing the initial Tribal outreach and inventory methods and seeking feedback or comments. The Applicant also followed up with each of the Tribes on August 28, 2023, to remind them of the original letter and provide an opportunity for comment prior to filing the project's Notice of Intent. The Applicant plans to continue outreach and communicating with the Tribes throughout the site certificate application process.

HRA also communicated with the Tribes' cultural resources department representatives regarding the field inventory and archaeological permitting. On June 2, 2023, HRA notified Warm Springs and CTUIR via email and voice mail of the planned pedestrian survey fieldwork and offered to staff a paid field technician position for each of the Tribes and/or host a field visit or monitor the fieldwork. HRA followed up with an email on June 30 to Warm Springs with field contact

information. HRA then sought and obtained Archaeological Permit (AP)-3738 from the SHPO in order to delineate archaeological resources with shovel probes. SHPO consults with Tribes when issuing archaeological permits. On August 28, 2023, HRA notified Grand Ronde, Warm Springs, CTUIR, Siletz, and Burns Paiute of the planned shovel probe fieldwork to be conducted under AP-3738 and offered to host a field visit and/or the opportunity to monitor HRA's work or provide a paid field technician position. Finally, on September 28, 2023, HRA emailed the Tribes to notify them the fieldwork was complete and to seek feedback.

Warm Springs, Grand Ronde, and CTUIR have responded to the outreach and/or regarding the archaeological permit. On August 28, 2023, Warm Springs Chair Jonathan Smith responded to the Applicant's email (sent that day) acknowledging receipt of the letter in July and stating that he would check on the status of his team's review. On August 29, 2023, Grand Ronde responded to HRA's email about when they would like to be notified of identified resources under AP-3738. On October 13, 2023, CTUIR responded via email to ODOE's request for comments on the Notice of Intent, stating that the project is outside the CTUIR's area of interest and that they defer to Warm Springs; CTUIR also previously let the Applicant and HRA know in response to earlier emails that they will defer to Warm Springs on the project. As such, unless otherwise requested, the Applicant and HRA do not intend to further communicate with CTUIR about the project other than for outstanding tasks that are mandated under the SHPO permit (i.e., providing 30 days to review artifacts prior to curation and providing a copy of the final report). The Applicant and HRA will continue to communicate with the other Tribes.

#### 3.2 Methods - OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)(i)

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)(i) A description of any discovery measures, such as surveys, inventories, and limited subsurface testing work, recommended by the State Historic Preservation Officer or the National Park Service of the U.S. Department of Interior for the purpose of locating, identifying and assessing the significance of resources listed in paragraphs (A), (B) and (C);

Methods for the cultural resources survey are presented in Davis et al. 2023.<sup>2</sup> The information below is summarized from that report. The survey followed the guidelines of SHPO, including the archaeological field guidelines (SHPO 2013), the updated 2023 archaeological field guidelines placeholder (SHPO 2023), and the historic resource guidelines (SHPO 2011).

#### 3.2.1 Records Review

HRA archaeologists conducted research using the SHPO's Oregon Archaeological Records Remote Access (OARRA) geographic information systems (GIS) database to identify previous cultural resources surveys and previously recorded archaeological resources near the Facility boundary. Additionally, HRA architectural historians conducted research using the Oregon Historic Sites Database (OHSD) and Wasco County tax assessor website to identify previously recorded

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pages 13-32.

architectural resources or potential resources within 1 mile of the Facility site boundary to address the indirect analysis area. HRA also reviewed historic aerial photographs and maps of the Facility site boundary (Davis et al. 2023<sup>3</sup>).

The records review indicated that 14 previous cultural resource studies have been performed within the overall analysis area. Of the 14 surveys, 12 were conducted within or adjacent to the Facility site boundary, many of which are associated with previous utility projects. Regardless of previous survey coverage, the Applicant requested that HRA survey the entirety of the micrositing corridor that equates to the direct analysis area.

In total, 39 archaeological resources had been previously recorded within the overall analysis area. Of these resources, 16 are within the Facility site boundary and include 2 precontact archaeological resources, 13 historic-period archaeological resources, and 1 stacked rock feature of undetermined age. There are two built environment sites adjacent to the Facility site boundary: BPA's John Day–Grizzly Nos. 1 and 2 Transmission Lines. Background research also indicated that there are 4 unrecorded historic architectural resources within the Facility site boundary and 12 potential historic architectural resources that are of a yet-undetermined age outside of the Facility site boundary, but within the indirect analysis area. (Those outside the Facility site boundary will be confirmed and addressed in a forthcoming built environment reconnaissance survey.)

#### 3.2.2 Field Surveys

HRA conducted a "non-collection" cultural resources survey of the entire direct analysis area (Figure S-2; Davis et al. 2023). A reconnaissance survey for built environment resources in the indirect analysis area outside the Facility site boundary is forthcoming and will be completed prior to construction.

During pedestrian survey, the survey personnel walked and observed the ground, spread out in a line at 20-meter intervals (i.e., transects). Shovel probes were also excavated around the boundaries of archaeological sites and isolated finds to ensure that subsurface deposits did not extend farther horizontally than the surface artifacts.

HRA gathered background research to identify architectural resources within the Facility site boundary that are 45 years of age or older. Though 50 years of age is generally considered the age threshold required for assessment of eligibility for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), surveying resources 45 years or older provides a buffer for passage of time during project planning and implementation. During the forthcoming built environment resource survey, HRA will record historic buildings and structures within the viewshed within 1 mile of the Facility. Only resources that are visible from the public right-of-way will be able to be addressed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 2.4.

#### 3.3 Survey and Inventory Results - OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)(ii)

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)(ii) The results of the discovery measures described in subparagraph (i), together with an explanation by the applicant of any variations from the survey, inventory, or testing recommended;

The resources recorded in the direct analysis area as well as those resources identified through the records review and to be addressed by the forthcoming built environment reconnaissance of the analysis area are summarized in Table S-1.

Surveys conducted within the direct analysis area have identified a total of 90 cultural resources (Figure S-3; Davis et al. 2023). This includes 64 archaeological sites, 2 historic built environment sites, 2 sites with both archaeological and historic built environment components, and 22 isolates. It should be noted that 4 of the archaeological sites consist of agricultural field clearing rock pile complexes, where the individual piles range in number from 47 to 243. A total of 51 of the resources in the direct analysis area (27 archaeological sites, 1 archaeological/built environment site, 2 built environment sites, and 21 isolates) have been recommended not eligible for listing on the NRHP. The remaining 39 resources in the direct analysis area (38 archaeological sites and 1 isolate) have been left unevaluated for NRHP-listing. (Note: one of the unevaluated archaeological sites includes a historic built environment component that has been evaluated as not eligible. For management purposes, the entirety of the site is treated here as unevaluated.)

An additional 14 built environment and potential historic built environment sites have been identified based on the records review as within the indirect analysis area and will be addressed in the forthcoming built environment reconnaissance survey. Two of these (BPA transmission lines) have been previously determined eligible for listing on the NRHP.





Table S-1. Cultural Resources Identified in the Analysis Area

Resource ID	Time Period	Description	NRHP Eligibility Recommendation (HRA)	Management Recommendation (HRA)	Analysis Area	Applicable Siting Standard	Intersects Facility Design Footprint (Yes/No)?	Nearest Distance to Facility Design (meters)	Nearest Distance to Facility Design (feet)
Archaeological Sites									
35WS455	Pre-Contact	Lithic Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	105	344
35WS782	Historic	Homestead	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	32	105
3696-A1	Historic	Rock Pile Complex	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-A2	Historic	Rock Pile Complex	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-A3	Historic	Rock Pile Complex	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-A4	Historic	Rock Pile Complex	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-E100	Historic	Homestead	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	11	37
3696-E103	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	No	57	188
3696-E110	Pre-Contact	Lithic Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (30 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	29	96
3696-E125	Historic	Debris Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	14	45
3696-E127	Historic	Debris Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (30 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	31	100
3696-E130	Pre-Contact	Stacked Rock Feature	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	16	52
3696-E18	Pre-Contact	Lithic Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (30 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	241	790
3696-E24	Pre-Contact	Stacked Rock Feature	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	17	54
3696-E27	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-E30	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	No	36	119
3696-E32	Pre-Contact	Lithic Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	17	57
3696-E41	Historic	Debris Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	51	167
3696-E42	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-E5		Collapsed Building Remains (likely an agricultural outbuilding)	Not Eligible			В	Yes		0
3696-E7	Historic	Debris Scatter	Unevaluated	No further work needed.  Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct Direct	A, B	No	47	153
3696-E73	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.		В	No	9	30
3696-E86	Historic Pre-Contact	Lithic Scatter	Unevaluated		Direct Direct	A, B	No	309	1,014
3070-E00	FIE-Contact		Ollevaluateu	Avoidance (30 meters)	Direct	A, D	INU	309	1,014
3696-E87	Pre-Contact	Lithic Scatter & Stacked Rock Feature	Unevaluated	Avoidance (30 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	523	1,715
3696-E89	Pre-Contact	Lithic Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (30 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	715	2,347
3696-E90	Pre-Contact	Lithic Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (30 meters)		A, B	No	841	2,759
		Structural Remains & Debris			Direct				
3696-E93	Historic	Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (30 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	306	1,005
3696-J112	Pre-Contact	Stacked Rock Feature	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	12	38
3696-J118	Pre-Contact	Lithic Scatter Historic Debris Scatter & Pre-	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	564	1,850
3696-J129	Multicomponent	Contact Lithic Artifact	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	10	34
3696-J13	Historic	Debris Scatter	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3070 )13	THISCOTTE	Fence Jacks and Farm	THOSE EMIGRORE	No further work needed.	Биссс	В	103		
3696-J133	Historic	Equipment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-J66	Historic	Debris Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	13	43
3696-J76	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-J89	Historic	Debris Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	10	34
3696-J93	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	No	34	111
3696-S113	Pre-Contact	Stacked Rock Feature	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	130	426
3696-S114	Historic	Structural Remains	Unevaluated	Avoidance (30 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	103	337
3696-S123	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-S131	Pre-Contact	Lithic Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	40	133
0070 0101	i i c Goillact	mane scatter	Onevaluateu	11voluance (10 ineters)	DITCCL	A, B	No	10	35

Resource ID	Time Period	Description	NRHP Eligibility Recommendation (HRA)	Management Recommendation (HRA)	Analysis Area	Applicable Siting Standard	Intersects Facility Design Footprint (Yes/No)?	Nearest Distance to Facility Design (meters)	Nearest Distance to Facility Design (feet)
3696-S15	Historic	Debris Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	197	646
3696-S159	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-S16	Historic	Homestead	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	43	140
3696-S168	Historic	Farm Equipment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-S17	Historic	Debris Scatter (5 artifacts)	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	No	139	455
3696-S170	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-S171	Historic	Debris Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	11	35
3696-S18	Historic	Rock Wall	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	No	125	409
3696-S186	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	No	70	228
3696-S3	Historic	Well & Debris Scatter	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	30	97
3696-S40	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-S47	Historic	Earthen Berms/Dams	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	No	38	124
3696-S55	Pre-Contact	Stacked Rock Feature	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	11	36
3696-S58	Historic	Well	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	No	25	81
3696-S59	Historic	Farm Equipment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
		Historic Debris Scatter & Pre-							
3696-S67	Multicomponent	Contact Lithic Artifact	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	38	124
3696-S68	Pre-Contact	Stacked Rock Feature	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	39	127
3696-S69	Pre-Contact	Stacked Rock Feature	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	63	206
3696-S70	Pre-Contact	Stacked Rock Feature	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	11	36
3696-S71	Pre-Contact	Stacked Rock Feature	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	14	45
3696-S83	Historic	Structural Remains	Unevaluated	Avoidance (10 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	55	181
3696-S84	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
3696-S86	Historic	Fence Jacks	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
<b>Built Environment Sites</b>									
90800 Wilson Road	Historic	Agricultural Complex	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
91171 Bakeoven Road	Historic	Agricultural Complex	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	No	108	353
Ashe-Marion No. 2 Transmission Line	Historic	Transmission Line	Unevaluated	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	129	424
Buckley-Grizzly No. 1 Transmission Line	Historic	Transmission Line	Unevaluated	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	9	31
Buckley-Marion No. 1 Transmission Line	Historic	Transmission Line	Unevaluated	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	142	465
John Day-Grizzly No. 1 Transmission Line	Historic	Transmission Line	Eligible	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	86	281
John Day-Grizzly No. 2 Transmission Line	Historic	Transmission Line	Eligible	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	40	131
Jones Canyon-Santiam No. 1 Transmission Line	Historic	Transmission Line	Unevaluated	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	156	510
Slatt-Buckley No. 1 Transmission Line	Historic	Transmission Line	Unevaluated	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	98	321
Potential Built Environm	ent Sites								
89881 Bakeoven Road	Historic	Building	Unevaluated	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	747	2,450
90380 Bakeoven Market Road	Historic	Building	Unevaluated	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	595	1,953
90440 Bakeoven Market Road	Historic	Building	Unevaluated	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	611	2,004

Resource ID	Time Period	Description	NRHP Eligibility Recommendation (HRA)	Management Recommendation (HRA)	Analysis Area	Applicable Siting Standard	Intersects Facility Design Footprint (Yes/No)?	Nearest Distance to Facility Design (meters)	Nearest Distance to Facility Design (feet)
				To be determined in built					
90530 Bakeoven Road	Historic	Building	Unevaluated	environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	626	2,053
90703-91443 Hinton	*** .	D 1111		To be determined in built	T 11 .			4.005	2.207
Road	Historic	Building	Unevaluated	environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	1,035	3,397
92775 Hinton Road	Historia	Building	Unevaluated	To be determined in built	Indinact	A	No	1.225	4,019
92775 HIIIton Road	Historic	Building	Unevaruated	environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	NO	1,225	4,019
No address found	Historic	Building	Unevaluated	To be determined in built environment reconnaissance.	Indirect	A	No	909	2,983
No addi ess iodila	HISTOLIC	Dunuing	Ollevaluateu	environment reconnaissance.	munect	A	NU	909	2,903
Archaeological & Built En	ivironment Sites								
3696-E31	Historic	Homestead & Building	Unevaluated (Archaeological Component)/Not Eligible (Built Environment Component)	Avoidance (30 meters)	Direct	А, В	No	151	495
3696-S9 and Brick	THISCOTIC	Homesteau & Building	Environment component)	Avoidance (50 meters)	Direct	А, Б	NO	131	493
Structure	Historic	Brick Structure and Debris	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	В	Yes	0	0
Isolates	111000110	211011 001 4014110 4114 200110	1100 21.8.000	7.0 74. 0.07 110.04	2.1000	1 2	100	, ,	
3696-E111i	Historic	Farm Equipment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-E116i	Historic	Farm Equipment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-E1i	Historic	Farm Equipment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-E28i	Historic	Farm Equipment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-E2i	Historic	Farm Equipment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-E3i	Historic	Farm Equipment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-E44i	Historic	Glass Bottle	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-E48i	Pre-Contact	Flake	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	No	47	153
3696-E49i	Historic	Metal Gear	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	No	54	178
3696-E64i	Historic	Metal Can	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-E88i	Pre-Contact	Lithic Artifact	Unevaluated	Avoidance (30 meters)	Direct	A, B	No	662	2,170
3696-E8i	Historic	Glass Bottle	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-J110i	Historic	Glass Liner	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	No	363	1,193
3696-J16i	Historic	Glass Bottle	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-J24i	Historic	Glass Fragment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-J7i	Pre-Contact	Flake	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-J82i	Historic	Glass Bottle	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-S104i	Pre-Contact	Flake	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-S125i	Pre-Contact	Flake	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-S134i	Historic	Metal Can	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-S145i	Historic	Farm Equipment	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	Yes	0	0
3696-S180i	Historic	Glass Bottle	Not Eligible	No further work needed.	Direct	None	No	331	1,085



#### 4.0 Historic and Cultural Resources within the Analysis Area

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s) Information about historic, cultural and archaeological resources. Information concerning the location of archaeological sites or objects may be exempt from public disclosure under ORS 192.345(11). The applicant must submit such information separately, clearly marked as "confidential," and shall request that the Department and the Council keep the information confidential to the extent permitted by law. The applicant must include information in Exhibit S or in confidential submissions providing evidence to support a finding by the Council as required by OAR 345-022-0090, including:

## 4.1 Listed or Potential Resources for the National Register of Historic Places - OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(A)

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(A) Historic and cultural resources within the analysis area that have been listed, or would likely be eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places;

There are no NRHP-listed cultural resources within the analysis area; however, there are 46 cultural resources that are potentially eligible for listing on the NRHP (Table S-1). These resources include 37 archaeological sites, 1 isolate, 5 built environment sites, and 1 site with both archaeological and built environment components that have been left unevaluated and are considered potentially eligible for NRHP listing. Additionally, there are 2 previously recorded built environment sites (transmission lines) that have been determined NRHP-eligible. The remaining 7 cultural resources are potential historic built environment sites identified through the records review of the indirect analysis area. A reconnaissance of known and potential historic built environment resources in the indirect analysis area is forthcoming and may alter the NRHP eligibility status of these resources.

All resources protected by OAR 345-021-0010(1)(A) in the direct analysis area are avoided by the Facility design. The resources will be flagged during construction to ensure avoidance by a minimum distance of 10 meters. As a result, the Facility is not expected to result in significant impacts to resources that are listed or would likely be eligible for listing on the NRHP.

# 4.2 Archaeological Objects and Sites on Private Lands – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(B)

 $OAR\ 345-021-0010(1)(s)(B)$  For private lands, archaeological objects, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(a), and archaeological sites, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(c), within the analysis area;

There are a total of 66 archaeological sites (two of which include a built environment component) and 22 isolates that have been identified on private lands within the direct analysis area (Table S-1).

With the exception of one isolate that has been left unevaluated for NRHP eligibility (3696-E88i), all of the isolates have been recommended as not eligible for listing on the NRHP. Those isolates recommended as not eligible do not meet the definition of an archaeological object (the material remain does not have archaeological significance) and are not protected under OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(B). The one isolate, 3696-E88i, unevaluated for NRHP eligibility is considered an archaeological object protected by this siting standard. This object is avoided by the Facility components by 6,627 meters (21,742 feet) and will not be impacted by the Facility. To ensure the archaeological object is not impacted during construction, a 30-meter buffer around the object will be flagged as an avoidance area. As a result, the Facility is not expected to result in significant impacts to archaeological objects on private land.

Of the archaeological sites in the direct analysis area, 28 have been recommended as not eligible for listing on the NRHP and 38 have been left unevaluated and are treated as potentially eligible for listing on the NRHP. Impacts to those sites that are not eligible for the NRHP are not considered significant as the sites have already been "mitigated" through their recordation and documentation in Davis et al. (2023). Impacts to archaeological sites that are unevaluated are as described above for OAR345-021-0010(1)(A). All are avoided by the Facility design. The resources will be flagged during construction to ensure avoidance by a minimum distance of 10 meters. As a result, the Facility is not expected to result in significant impacts to archaeological sites on private lands.

#### 4.3 Archaeological Sites on Public Lands - OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(C)

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(C) For public lands, archaeological sites, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(c), within the analysis area;

There are no public lands within the Facility site boundary. There will therefore be no impact under OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(C).

# 5.0 Measures Designed to Prevent the Destruction of Historic, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)(iii)

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(D)(iii) A list of measures to prevent destruction of the resources identified during surveys, inventories and subsurface testing referred to in subparagraph (i) or discovered during construction; and

All NRHP-eligible and unevaluated cultural resources will be physically avoided by Facility design by a minimum of 10 meters. Unevaluated and NRHP-eligible cultural resources within the direct analysis area will be flagged for avoidance during construction. Avoidance buffers will be based upon the recommendations made in Davis et al. (2023) and listed in Table S-1.

The Applicant will continue to seek a final design that continues to allow for avoidance of unevaluated and NRHP-eligible resources, while remaining within the direct analysis area. If any

NRHP-eligible or unevaluated resources cannot be avoided by the final design, they will be mitigated in consultation with ODOE, SHPO, and Tribes to reduce impact significance to the extent practicable.

A preliminary draft Inadvertent Discovery Plan (IDP) is included and provided as Attachment S-2. This draft will be revised in consultation with ODOE, SHPO, and Tribes prior to construction.

#### 6.0 Proposed Monitoring Plan - OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(E)

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s)(E) The applicant's proposed monitoring program, if any, for impacts to historic, cultural and archaeological resources during construction and operation of the proposed facility.

The potential for additional buried archaeological resources is assessed as low (Davis et al. 2023<sup>4</sup>); therefore, archaeological monitoring conditions have not been established for the Facility. General environmental monitoring for Facility construction will ensure avoidance flagging around applicable cultural resources are established and maintained.

#### 7.0 Submittal Requirements

#### 7.1 Submittal Requirements

**Table S-2. Submittal Requirements Matrix** 

Requirement	Location
OAR 345-021-0010(1)(s) Information about historic, cultural and archaeological resources. Information concerning the location of archaeological sites or objects may be exempt from public disclosure under ORS 192.345(11). The applicant must submit such information separately, clearly marked as "confidential," and shall request that the Department and the Council keep the information confidential to the extent permitted by law. The applicant must include information in Exhibit S or in confidential submissions providing evidence to support a finding by the Council as required by OAR 345-022-0090, including:	Section 4.0
(A) Historic and cultural resources within the analysis area that have been listed, or would likely be eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places;	Section 4.1
(B) For private lands, archaeological objects, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(a), and archaeological sites, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(c), within the analysis area;	Section 4.2
(C) For public lands, archaeological sites, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(c), within the analysis area;	Section 4.3
(D) The significant potential impacts, if any, of the construction, operation and retirement of the proposed facility on the resources described in paragraphs (A), (B) and (C) and a plan for protection of those resources that includes at least the following:	Section 3.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Page 30.

Requirement	Location
(i) A description of any discovery measures, such as surveys, inventories, and limited subsurface testing work, recommended by the State Historic Preservation Officer or the National Park Service of the U.S. Department of Interior for the purpose of locating, identifying and assessing the significance of resources listed in paragraphs (A), (B) and (C);	Section 3.2
(ii) The results of the discovery measures described in subparagraph (i), together with an explanation by the applicant of any variations from the survey, inventory, or testing recommended;	Section 3.3
(iii) A list of measures to prevent destruction of the resources identified during surveys, inventories and subsurface testing referred to in subparagraph (i) or discovered during construction; and	Section 5.0
(E) The applicant's proposed monitoring program, if any, for impacts to historic, cultural and archaeological resources during construction and operation of the proposed facility.	Section 6.0

#### 7.2 Approval Standards


**Table S-3. Approval Standards** 


Requirement	Location
OAR 345-022-0090 Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Resources	-
(1) Except for facilities described in sections (2) and (3), to issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the construction and operation of the facility, taking into account mitigation, are not likely to result in significant adverse impacts to:	•
(a) Historic, cultural or archaeological resources that have been listed on, or would likely be listed on the National Register of Historic Places;	Section 4.1
(b) For a facility on private land, archaeological objects, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(a), or archaeological sites, as defined in 358.905(1)(c); and	Section 4.2
(c) For a facility on public land, archaeological sites, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(c).	Section 4.3
(2) The Council may issue a site certificate for a facility that would produce power from wind, solar or geothermal energy without making the findings described in section (1). However, the Council may apply the requirements of section (1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.	-
(3) The Council may issue a site certificate for a special criteria facility under OAR 345-015-0310 without making the findings described in section (1). However, the Council may apply the requirements of section (1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.	-

#### 8.0 References


Davis, S. J., J. Gonzalez Tepetla, K. Freeman, J. Tuck, E. Ryan, L. Provost, and E. K. Ragsdale. 2023. DRAFT *Cultural Resources Investigations for the Yellow Rosebush Energy Facility, Wasco* 


- *County, Oregon*. December 2023. Historic Research Associates, Inc., Portland, Oregon. Submitted to Tetra Tech and Savion, LLC. SHPO Case #23-1821.
- SHPO (Oregon State Historic Preservation Office). 2011. Guidelines for Historic Resource Survey in Oregon. Electronic document, https://www.oregon.gov/oprd/OH/Documents/guidelines\_for\_historic\_resource\_surveys.p df, accessed December 2023.
- SHPO. 2013. Guidelines for Conducting Field Archaeology in Oregon. Electronic document, https://www.oregon.gov/oprd/OH/Documents/FieldGuidelines.pdf, accessed December 2023.
- SHPO. 2023. Updating the Archaeological Field Guidelines Placeholder. Electronic document, https://www.oregon.gov/oprd/OH/Documents/FieldGuidelines.pdf, accessed December 2023.







Note that Figure S-3 (Resource Locations within Analysis Area) is CONFIDENTIAL and provided under separate cover.







# Attachment S-1: Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation Report SHPO Submittal Receipt



From: <u>CLEARANCE ORSHPO \* OPRD</u>

To: <u>Emily Ragsdale</u>
Subject: RE: FTP Folder

**Date:** Friday, July 26, 2024 1:42:26 PM

Attachments: image001.png image002.png

**RESOURCE/106 REVIEW** 

THIS E-MAIL CONFIRMS RECEIPT OF AN ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION FOR AN HISTORIC

THIS E-MAIL DOES NOT REPRESENT CONCLUSION OF THE REVIEW/106 CONSULTATION.....

We received a clearance submission on your above referenced project. Thank you.

The assigned SHPO Case Number is 23-1821. Refer to this case number on all future correspondence or submitting any change to the scope of work for review using the provided SHPO case number. Please retain this email for your records.

If the SHPO chooses to not respond within 30 calendar days from receipt of this submittal your responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 as amended, Oregon Revised Statute 358.653, local permitting process, and/or other similar request are complete and the project may proceed as described in the submitted scope of work. The 30-day SHPO response period for this project ends after <u>08/24/2024</u>.

Federal and state laws protecting cultural resources, local permitting requirements; and necessary consultation with Native American Indian Tribes for federal, state and local government projects still apply.

See https://www.oregon.gov/oprd/OH/Pages/lawsrules.aspx.

Do not respond to this email.

From: Emily Ragsdale <eragsdale@hrassoc.com>

**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2024 1:08 PM

**To:** TSOLAKOS Dylan \* OPRD < Dylan. Tsolakos@oprd.oregon.gov>

Subject: RE: FTP Folder

Thank you Dylan. I have uploaded the following documents for the Yellow Rosebush Energy Facility Project: SHPO submittal form, spatial data, and report.



#### Emily K. Ragsdale (she/her)

Principal Archaeologist | Vice President, CRM Division

HISTORICAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC.

1825 SE 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Portland, OR 97214 c 503.956.5673 | eragsdale@hrassoc.com

www.hrassoc.com

#### **FOUNDED IN 1974, WOMEN OWNED SINCE 2018**

This message (and any attachments) may contain privileged and confidential information and is meant only for the use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not that person, forwarding, disclosure, dissemination, copying, distribution or taking of any action in reliance upon the content is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please delete it and advise the sender at 503.956.5673. Thank you.

From: TSOLAKOS Dylan \* OPRD < <a href="mailto:Dylan.Tsolakos@oprd.oregon.gov">Dylan.Tsolakos@oprd.oregon.gov</a>>

Sent: Friday, July 26, 2024 9:09 AM

To: Emily Ragsdale < eragsdale@hrassoc.com >

Subject: FTP Folder

#### Emily,

I created your FTP folder.

There will be 2 emails to follow, one with the link and a second with a password. A couple of reminders.

- A. Upload all docs "as if" submitting to the Clearance email account including all review docs (Submittal Form, Cover Letter, Report and etc.)
- B. Reply to this email after upload so I know the docs are ready for retrieval and to initiate a received date. The ftp site does not notify me when there is activity so you must reply to this email for me to be notified.

Any questions, let me know.




**Dylan Ray Tsolakos** | Oregon Heritage Compliance Bureau Assistant

Oregon Heritage, Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (503) 689-6619

725 Summer St NE, Suite C, Salem, Oregon 97301

Visit us at OregonHeritage.Org | Like us on Facebook | Read our Blog | Join our E-Newsletter

# Attachment S-2: Draft Inadvertent Discovery Plan (IDP)



# ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HUMAN REMAINS INADVERTENT DISCOVERY PLAN (IDP)

Yellow Rosebush Energy Center Savion, LLC; Christopher Powers (Project Manager) August 2024 SHPO case number 23-1821

This document outlines procedures and protocols to be followed if archaeological objects or features, or human remains are encountered in the course of work. These procedures are intended for circumstances where there is not an expectation or anticipation of encountering cultural resources or human remains. **This is not a replacement for due diligence, robust project design, and consultation with appropriate Native American Tribes.** Prior to undertaking project work, an assessment of the likelihood for disturbance to cultural resources and tribal heritage should be completed. All personnel will be briefed on all procedures and reporting structures before the start of any work.

#### CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT

- A. Procedures for archaeological features and materials
- B. Procedures for human remains, burials, funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony
- C. Roles and responsibilities
- D. Contact information
- E. Confidentiality statement
- F. Procedure flow chart
- G. Visual reference guide for archaeology and tribal heritage items

## A. <u>Procedures for Inadvertent Discovery of Archaeological Features and Materials</u>

(DOES **NOT** INCLUDE HUMAN REMAINS, BURIALS, FUNERARY OBJECTS, OBJECTS OF CULTURAL PATRIMONY, OR SPIRITUAL OBJECTS)

It is expected that ALL artifacts, features, structural elements, and other cultural items that are identified will be reported to required project, agency, and Tribal contacts, and accounted for as soon as possible. It is understood that there will be a single project point of contact to coordinate with the project archaeologist, SHPO, LCIS, and appropriate Native American Tribes.

**Step 1. Stop work** (immediately after discovery)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Appropriate Native American Tribes will be identified by LCIS

If any person believes that they hav stop immediately.	'e located an archae	ological object <sup>2</sup> or	site <sup>3</sup> , all work must
Discovery made in field(	(date/time)	(initials of disc	coverer)
Step 2. Secure and protect the area ( Establish a minimum 30 meter/10 find(s). Exclude all vehicle traffic ar may continue outside of the area of qualified archaeologist. <sup>4</sup>	0-foot area of protend non-essential foo	ection, or more as i ot traffic. Non-grou	nd-disturbing work
Buffer established	(time)	(initials of per	son responsible)
<b>Step 3. Notify</b> (within first hour after de Notify the project manager, agency not an archaeologist on-site, or on roregon Qualified Archaeologist (what find.	official (if applicabl	ect, the project ma	anager will contact an
Project Manager contacted Agency Official contacted Project Archaeologist contacted	(time) (time) (time)	(initial	s of contactor) s of contactor) s of contactor)
Step 4. Identify and Follow Guidance If the archaeologist determines the item or feature, OR if no qualified at discovery, the State Historic Prese Tribes must be contacted, and the Tribes, and project and agency pers continue at the site. If the discovery you may continue work. This determinance and agency official.	find is an archaeolorchaeologist can be ervation Office (SHeir guidance must be sonnel will determing is determined to n	ogical feature or obcomment of the contacted within the contacted within the contacted within the contacted of the consultation of the contacted of the contact	oject, or other cultural the first hour of riate Native American Native American how or if work may al or a cultural item,
SHPO contacted	(tim	ne)	(initials of contactor)
2 "Archaeological object" means an object t	hat is at least 75 year	s old (or 50 years if:	there is a federal nevus)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Archaeological object" means an object that is at least 75 years old (or 50 years if there is a federal nexus), is part of the physical record of an indigenous or other culture found in the state or waters of the state, and is material remains of past human life or activity that are of archaeological significance including, but not limited to, monuments, symbols, tools, facilities, technological by-products and dietary by-products (ORS 358.905).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Archaeological site" means a geographic locality in Oregon that contains archaeological objects and the contextual associations of the archaeological objects with each other or biotic or geological remains or deposits (ORS 358.905).

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Ground-disturbing work on different landforms distant from the find and outside of the buffer may continue.

Appro	priate Native Americ	an Tribes <sup>5</sup>	(time)		(initials of contactor)
((INCLUDA AND SPIRA It is expect patrimony understock	TUAL OBJECTS) ted that ALL potentia	BURIALS, FUNERA al human remains, l vill be reported and single project poir	RY OBJECTS, OBJI burials, funerary d accounted for w nt of contact to co	objects, or crithin 3 hour	rs of discovery.6 It is
Step 1: St	<b>op work</b> (immediate	ly after discovery)			vill stop immediately.
-	ıman remains, regardl	•			eated with dignity and
Secure buffer treate	cure and protect the e and protect the area , or more as necessar d as confidential and horized foot traffic fr	of inadvertent dis y. The location and shared on a <b>need</b> (	covery with a mi	nimum of 1	•
distur the ap (LCIS) any in	propriate Native Am	l leave them in place erican Tribes and ( pose of identificati find on social medi	ce. <b>Do not take p</b> Oregon Legislativo on. <b>Do not speal</b>	hotograph e Commissi k to the me	s unless approved by on on Indian Services dia or public or post
Buffer	established	(time)	(init	ials of perso	on responsible)
Step 3. No	otify (within first hou	r after discovery)	- see contact list l	below (sect	ion D)
1. Pr	oject Manager		(tim	e) _	(initials)
2. Ag	ency Official		(tim	e) _	(initials)

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  ALL Tribes designated by LCIS must be notified. Contacting one or some of the Tribes does not fulfill the obligation to notify.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Modifications to reporting timelines can be made in consultation with SHPO and Tribes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Bone may be fragmented, weathered, or otherwise modified to make it difficult to identify, so when in doubt, stop work and call it in.

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  Ground-disturbing work on different landforms distant from the find and outside of the buffer may continue.

3.	Oregon State Police DO NOT CALL 911	(time)		(initiais)
4.	State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)	(time)		(initials)
5.	Commission on Indian Services (LCIS)	(time)		(initials)
6.	Appropriate Native American Tribes <sup>10</sup>		(time)	
	(initials)			
	Name of Tribe(s) Contacted and Individual(s	s):		

#### **Step 4. Follow guidance** (timeline variable, may be up to several days)

If the site is determined not to be a crime scene by the Oregon State Police, **do not move anything!** The remains will continue to be *secured in place* along with any associated funerary objects, and protected from weather, water runoff, and shielded from view. Follow all guidance provided by OSP, LCIS, SHPO, and appropriate Native American Tribes.

Continue to maintain the work stoppage within the buffer until a plan is developed and carried out between the Oregon State Police, SHPO, LCIS, and appropriate Native American Tribes and you are directed in writing by the project manager that work may proceed.

#### C. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Person Responsible	Responsibility
[Name TBD]: [555-555-5555]	Notify the Savion Project Manager
[Name TBD]: [555-555-5555]	Notify the Energy Facility Siting Council Agency Official
[Name TBD]: [555-5555]	Notify the Contracted Archaeologist (Historical Research Associates, Inc.)  Notify the State Agencies (OSP, LCIS, SHPO)
	Notify the Native American Tribes identified by LCIS
[Name TBD]: [555-555-5555]	Enforce the work stoppage and buffer

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> OSP will be responsible for contacting the county or state medical examiner's office as appropriate. <sup>10</sup> ALL Tribes designated by LCIS must be notified. Contacting one or some of the Tribes does not fulfill the obligation to notify.

#### D. CONTACT INFORMATION 11

Agency	Position/Contact	Contact
Agency	r osition/ contact	Information
Savion Project Manager	Christopher Powers, Senior Director, Permitting & Environmental	760-522-7563
Energy Facility Siting Council Agency Official	Kathleen Sloan, Senior Siting Analyst	971-701-4913
Contracted Archaeologist (Historical Research Associates, Inc.)	Emily Ragsdale, Principal Archaeologist	503-956-5673
Legislative Commission on Indian Services	Primary Contact: Dr. Elissa Bullion, State Physical Anthropologist	971-707-1372
(LCIS)	Secondary Contact: LCIS Office	503-986-1067
Oregon State Police	Primary Contact: Sgt. Ryan Tague	541-576-4393
(OSP)	Secondary Contact: Dispatch	503-731-3030
State Historic	[SHPO archaeologist who reviewed submission TBD]	[555-555-5555]
Preservation Office (SHPO)	Primary Contact: John Pouley, State Archaeologist	503-480-9164
(SIII 0)	Secondary Contact: Jamie French, Asst. State Archaeologist	503-979-7580
	Bobby Brunoe or Mars Galloway, Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon	541-553-2026, 541-553-3583
	Teara Farrow Ferman, Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation	541-276-3447
Native American Tribes	Diane Teeman, Burns Paiute Tribe	541-413-9910
	Briece Edwards, Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde	503-879-2084
	Buddy Lane, Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians	541-444-8230


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> \*\*\*Contact information should be regularly updated for all individuals. Up to date contacts for LCIS, OSP, SHPO, and Native American Tribes can be found on the LCIS cultural resources page: <a href="Commission on Indian Services archaeology">Commission on Indian Services archaeology</a> (oregonlegislature.gov)

#### E. CONFIDENTIALITY

Yellow Rosebush Energy Center Project and employees shall make their best efforts, in accordance with federal and state law, to ensure that its personnel and contractors keep the discovery confidential. The media, or any third-party member or members of the public are not to be contacted or have information regarding the discovery, and any public or media inquiry is to be reported to Energy Facility Siting Council. Photos shall not be taken except for when authorized by LCIS, SHPO, and Native American Tribes for identification purposes, and no photos will be circulated publicly or on social media. Prior to any release, the responsible agencies and Tribes shall concur on the amount of information, if any, to be released to the public.

To protect fragile, vulnerable, or threatened sites, the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (Section 304 [16 U.S.C. 470s-3]), and Oregon State law (ORS 192.345(11)) establishes that the location of archaeological sites, both on land and underwater, shall be confidential.

#### F. PROCEDURE FLOW CHART



#### G. Visual Reference Guide for Archaeology in Oregon (Modify based on region/context)

#### Lithics and stone tools



Figure 1. Stone flakes



Figure 2. Stone projectile points



Figure 3. Ground stone tools: (left) pestle, (right) net weights,

#### Basketry/Cordage




Figure 4. Open diagonal twine basket fragments from Fort Rock Cave (UOMNCH).



Figure 5. Three-strand braid, sagebrush bark from Paisley Caves (UOMNCH).

#### Shell Middens



Figure 6.



Figure 7. Dentalium shell beads (UOMNCH).



Figure 8. Glass trade beads, Upper Columbia River (UOMNCH).

#### Fish Weirs



Figure 9. Wooden fish weir (Scott Byram, 2010)




Figure 10. Stone fish weir (Brown and Brown, 2009)



Figure 11. Example of peeled pine.



Figure 12. Arborglyph on aspen tree



Figure 13. Historical glass



Figure 14. Historical metal artifacts