

#### **Oregon Department of Environmental Quality**

# 2024 Section 319 Nonpoint Source Implementation Grant

### **Section D: Proposal Scoring Form**

	npoint Source projects must support efforts to protect and restore waters of the state from sources of nonpoint source pollution. NPS projects set meet at least one of following criteria to be considered for funding (See RFP Section A-2):
	Project addresses a Watershed Based Plan priority; or
_	Project meets the WBP waiver by supporting watershed plan development or capacity building in disadvantaged communities. Projects may include implementation of community demonstration projects to address known sources of NPS impairment

NPS Project proposals that meet eligibility criteria above will be evaluated within the following areas:

	Key Questions to Consider	Strengths	Concerns
Proposal Clarity	<ul> <li>How will project implement a clearly defined and appropriate method to address the problem?</li> <li>Does application have clearly stated objectives and describe how these objectives will be met?</li> <li>Is project ready to be implemented and/or has a reasonable implementation timeline?</li> </ul>		
Technical Soundness	<ul> <li>How does project protect and/or restore waters of the state from sources of nonpoint source pollution?</li> <li>Does the project address TMDL, source water protection, GWMA effort or protect outstanding resource waters or unlisted waterbodies?</li> <li>How will project address sources of NPS pollution rather than the symptoms?</li> <li>How will the project achieve measurable results?</li> <li>How does the project emphasize environmental justice and engagement with DACs?</li> <li>How does the project address an identified WBP priority?</li> <li>If the answer to (f) is not applicable, how does the project support watershed plan development or capacity building in DACs?</li> </ul>		
Applicant	<ul> <li>Does the applicant have the organizational capacity to implement the proposed project?</li> <li>Is the applicant qualified to implement the project and/or has experience with similar work?</li> <li>Will appropriate partners be engaged in the project and are partner roles clearly explained?</li> </ul>		
Cost Effectiveness	Do the costs align with work necessary to accomplish the project?		

**Translation or other formats** 



Please score each project based on strengths and concerns identified in the questions above.	Somewhat (1 point)	Considerable (2 points)	Exceptional (3 points)
<ul> <li>Criteria</li> <li>Addresses an identified DEQ WBP priority or meets the WBP waiver by supporting watershed plan development or capacity building in DACs</li> <li>Defines environmental outcomes</li> <li>Partners with appropriate interested parties</li> </ul>			
Certainty of Success  Based on the organizational capacity of the applicant & the likelihood the project will achieve measurable results in a reasonable timeline			
Benefit to Water Quality Benefit to TMDLs, source water, GWMAs or protect outstanding resource waters or unlisted waterbodies			
Environmental Justice Project emphasis on environmental justice and engagement with identified DACs			
Subtotals			

#### **TOTAL SCORE =**

## **Final Project Recommendations**

Project Name	Fund (y/n)	Ranking	Conditions

### **Non-discrimination statement**

DEQ does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, disability, age or sex in administration of its programs or activities. Visit DEQ's <a href="Civil Rights and Environmental Justice page">Civil Rights and Environmental Justice page</a>.