

Financing

Checklist for Recycling Council program plan review

Subcommittee members: Sonya Carlson, Scott Keller, Laura Leebrick, Celeste Meiffren-Swango, Joan Popowics, Alando Simpson

DEQ staffer: Nicole Portley

Focal plan section: Financing (base fees, graduated fees, alternative membership fee structure, adequacy of financing)

| Required or Guidance Plan Component | Statute or Rule Citation | Plan Section(s) | Summary of DEQ Recommendations That Were Outstanding After Draft 2 | Order Recommended by ORSAC to Meet Requirements |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Description of how the prospective PRO will establish, calculate and charge membership fees to member producers, including | ORS 459A.875(2)(a)(E) | Financing (besides Product Speciation subsection), pg 190- 223 Appendix E, Itemized Budgets by Program Year, pg E49-54 Appendix G, Detailed Fee-Setting Methodology, pg G1-G14 | Recommendations pertaining to specific requirements follow below. | |
| the schedule of membership fees (base rates), accompanied by rationales for: | ORS 459A.875(2)(h) | Draft Base Fee Schedule Ranges, pg 197-203 | Update the fee schedule to indicate the base fee amounts for all 60 material categories. | |
| How the schedule ensures that higher fees are charged for non- recyclables than for recyclables on a weighted- ton average basis; and | ORS 459A.884(3)(a) | • Meeting the Statutory Requirement, pg 206- 208 | Apply the discretionary state-adjustment factor to the updated fee schedule. | |

| Required or Guidance Plan Component | Statute or Rule Citation | Plan Section(s) | Summary of DEQ Recommendations That Were Outstanding After Draft 2 | Order Recommended by ORSAC to Meet Requirements |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| How the schedule ensures, to the extent possible, that materials do not cross- subsidize one another. | ORS 459A.884(3)(b) | Appendix G, Detailed Fee-Setting Methodology, pg G1- G14 Development of the Base Fee Algorithm, pg 193-196 | DEQ's recommendations pertaining to Appendix G are confidential. | |
| the algorithms by which fees will be calculated | ORS 459A.875(2)(a)(E) | | | |
| any producer fee incentives other than graduated fee adjustments that will be offered; | n/a | Producer Fee Incentives Other than Graduated Fee Adjustments, pg 205 | No outstanding recommendations. | |
| Graduated fee algorithm and methods, including | ORS 459A.875(2)(a)(E)- (F) and ORS 459A.884(4) | Graduated Fee Algorithm and Methods, pg 209-220 | Include additional detail on Bonus B (e.g. bonus magnitude and cap amount, business and eligibility rules, etc) so that an equally detailed vision for the implementation of Bonus B as for Bonus A is presented. Move the start date for Bonus B one year earlier, to the 2027 fee year. Add language or a table laying out the rationales for various key design decisions and how they are aligned with the overall goal of | |
| the algorithm for the graduated fee approach, indicating the criteria and magnitude of modulation; | ORS 459A.884(4) and ORS 459A.875(2)(a)(E) | The Algorithm and Accompanying Descriptive Text for the Proposed Graduated Fee Structure, pg 209- | delivering continual impact reduction. | |
| Inclusion of both penalties and rewards in the approach to graduated fees | ORS 459A.884(4) | 217 | | |

| Required or Guidance Plan Component | Statute or Rule Citation | Plan Section(s) | Summary of DEQ Recommendations That Were Outstanding After Draft 2 | Order Recommended by ORSAC to Meet Requirements |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Accompanying descriptive text explaining how the algorithm will deliver continual reductions in the environmental and human health impacts of covered products | ORS 459A.884(4) & ORS 459A.875(2)(a)(F) | | | |
| a description of the factors taken into consideration in development of the approach, and how their incorporation contributes to continual incentivization and disincentivizing of producer choices that actually correlate to meaningful environmental benefit. The following five factors must have been considered according to statute: | ORS 459A.875(2)(a)(F) and ORS 459A.884(4)(a)-(e) | Consideration of Other Ecomodulation Factors, pg 218-220 | No outstanding recommendations. | |
| The post-consumer content of the material, if the use of post- consumer content in the covered product is not prohibited by federal law | | Post-Consumer Content of the Material, pg 153- 218 | | |
| The product-to- package ratio The producer's choice of material; | - | Product-to-Package Ratio, pg 218 Producer's Choice of Material, pg 219 | | |

| Required or Guidance Plan Component | Statute or Rule Citation | Plan Section(s) | Summary of DEQ Recommendations That Were Outstanding After Draft 2 | Order Recommended by ORSAC to Meet Requirements |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Life cycle environmental impacts, as demonstrated by an evaluation performed in accordance with ORS 459A.944; and | | Life Cycle Environmental Impacts, pg 219 | | |
| The recycling rate of the material relative to the recycling rate of other covered products. | | The recycling rate of the material relative to the recycling rate of other covered materials, pg 219-220 | | |
| a description of how the PRO will maintain financial solvency (specifically, how loss of revenue due to ecomodulation rewards will be paid for). | ORS 459A.875(2)(a)(E) | Funding the Bonuses, pg 215-216 | Add supporting rationales explaining why the proposed approaches for where to draw bonus funding from are best for delivering continual incentivization of impact reduction, while also respecting the principle that cross-subsidization be limited in fee-setting. | |
| Alternative membership fee structure proposal (if applicable): Per ORS 459A.884(5), the PRO could propose an alternative fee structure that does not adhere to the requirements of ORS 459A.884(2)-(4) but still delivers cost-proportional product differentiation and incentivizes less impactful producer behavior. A proposal of an alternative membership fee structure could explain | ORS 459A.875(2)(a)(E) and ORS 459A.884(5) | Alternative Membership Fee Structure (if Applicable), pg 221 | N/A (CAA did not to propose an alternative membership fee structure). | |
| How it will ensure that products don't cross- subsidize each other. | ORS 459A.884(5) | | | |

| Required or Guidance Plan Component | Statute or Rule Citation | Plan Section(s) | Summary of DEQ Recommendations That Were Outstanding After Draft 2 | Order Recommended by ORSAC to Meet Requirements |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| How it will incentivize less impactful producer behavior. | ORS 459A.884(5) | | | |
| How it will not incentivize non-recyclable materials, which DEQ views as an undesirable outcome (although an alternative membership fee structure would not be strictly held to charging more on average for non-recyclables than for recyclables, i.e., the requirement imposed by ORS 459A.884(3)). | n/a | | | |
| Inclusion in the fees approach of uniform membership fees for members that had a gross revenue of less than \$10 million for the organization's most recent fiscal year, or sold in or into Oregon less than five metric tons of covered products for use in this state in the most recent calendar year. | ORS 459A.884(6) | Flat Fees, pg 203-204 | Update the fee amounts to reflect updated program cost projections. | |
| Adequacy of financing: this subsection could contain: | ORS 459A.875(2)(i) | Adequacy of Financing, pg 222-223 | Update this section to reflect the updated program cost estimates, fee schedule, reserve amounts, and reserve rate of accumulation, as necessary. | |
| demonstration that the membership fees collected will provide adequate revenue to fund all costs associated with the | | | | |

| Required or Guidance Plan Component | Statute or Rule Citation | Plan Section(s) | Summary of DEQ Recommendations That Were Outstanding After Draft 2 | Order Recommended by ORSAC to Meet Requirements |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| producer responsibilityprogram. A fee schedulethat does not appear togenerate sufficientrevenue, meaning thatprogram delivery woulddepend upon funding fromother sources, could because for plan rejection.Included in this subsectioncould be:a description of theprospective PRO'sapproach to reservefunds or othercontingencies forresponding tofinancial hardship. Forexample, aprospective PROcould set a minimumand a maximumreserve budget,defined as aproportion of theannual operatingbudget. | ORS 459A.875(2)(m | Program Reserves and Contingencies, pg 222- 223 | | |
| Itemized system costs for 2025, 2026, and 2027 (for later years, may be appropriate to collapse the itemization or provide ranges), including: Total amount to be spent on recycling system expansion. | n/a OAR 340- 090- 0790(2)(b) | Appendix E, Itemized Budgets by Program Year, pg E49-54 Local Government Funding Schedule, pg 31-41 | Update the budgets to reflect ORSOP results and improved estimations of program costs. Provide amounts to be spent on recycling system expansion per local government. DEQ welcomes clarity as to whether or not newspaper and magazine publishers that | |

| Required or Guidance Plan Component | Statute or Rule Citation | Plan Section(s) | Summary of DEQ Recommendations That Were Outstanding After Draft 2 | Order Recommended by ORSAC to Meet Requirements |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Amounts to be spent on recycling system expansion per individual local government. Itemized system costs incurred | OAR 340- 090- 0790(1)(e) | • Publisher In-Kind in Lieu of Paying Fees (Print and Online Advertising), pg 196 | provide in-kind advertising at a value commensurate with their fee amount will need to pay a portion of base fees to cover administrative costs. | |
| before the start date. | 11/ a | | | |
| Administration and operations costs of the PRO (aggregated). | n/a | | | |
| Forecasted reserve level amount. | ORS 459A.875(2)(m) | | | |
| Estimated revenues, including: | n/a | | | |
| Start-up funding; | n/a | | | |
| Member fees; | n/a | | | |
| Value of print and online advertising expected from newspaper and magazine publishers in lieu of membership fees; and | n/a | | | |
| Other revenue | n/a | | | |
| Cost of independent financial audits | n/a | | | |

Non-discrimination statement

DEQ does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, disability, age, sex, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status in the administration of its programs and activities. Visit DEQ's <u>Civil Rights and Environmental Justice page</u>.