Beneficial Use of Solid Waste Determination Evaluation Form

| Applicant: Portland Water Bureau |
|----------------------------------|
| BUD#: 20240418 |
| Solid waste: Contaminated Soils |
| Date: May 7, 2024 |



State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

DRAFT Beneficial Use of Solid Waste Determination Evaluation Form

Contact: Ryan Lewis 700 NE Multnomah St., Suite 600 Portland, OR 97232-4100

| Applicant: Portland Water Bureau (PWB) | | |
|---|-------------------|--|
| BUD#: 20240418 | | |
| Solid Waste: Contaminated Soils | | |
| Summary of proposed beneficial use: PWB proposes to reuse contaminated soils removed from the surface of the trench excavation for the Bull Run Water Pipeline and soils to be removed from the road widening at the intersection of SE Dodge Park Rd and SE Cottrell. Surface soils from the pipeline construction project do not meet clean fill screening criteria. Soils from the pipeline construction at depths deeper than 1.5 feet do meet clean fill criteria. Soils down to five feet deep from the intersection widening project do not meet clean fill screening values. The contaminated soils are impacted by historical chlorinated pesticide use including dieldrin from past agricultural practices. PWB proposes to reuse the soils in the (1) pipeline trenches as construction fill, (2) reconstructing shoulder surfaces adjacent to roadways, (3) replacing as topsoil as part of trench restoration of farm field per property owner request, and (4) placement at the water filtration plant property as construction fill per BUD 20240402. If the contaminated soil is not reused, the soil will be disposed of at a DEQ approved landfill or site. | | |
| Reviewer: Ryan Lewis | Date: May 7, 2024 | |
| Tier: □ One ⊠ Two □ Three | | |
| | | |

Beneficial use of solid waste

Beneficial use of solid waste is a sustainability practice that may involve using an industrial waste in a manufacturing process to make another product or using a waste as a substitute for construction materials.

The environmental benefits of substituting industrial waste materials for virgin materials includes conserving energy, reducing the need to extract natural resources and reducing demand for disposal facilities.

Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 340-093-0260 - 0290 establish standing beneficial uses and a process for DEQ review of case-specific beneficial use proposals. Under these rules, DEQ may issue a beneficial use determination as an alternative to a disposal permit for proposals that meet the rule criteria. If approved, once a beneficial use determination is issued, DEQ no longer regulates the waste as a solid waste as long as the waste is used in accordance with the approved beneficial use determination.

Beneficial use determination evaluation summary

| \boxtimes | Yes, the beneficial use of this solid waste meets all the case-specific performance criteria listed below and is approved. |
|-------------|---|
| | No, the beneficial use of this solid waste does not meet all the case-specific performance criteria listed below and is not approved. |

Beneficial Use of Solid Waste Determination Evaluation Form Applicant: Portland Water Bureau BUD#: 20240418 Solid waste: Contaminated Soils Date: May 7, 2024 The beneficial use of this solid waste is approved for a 1-year demonstration project. Case-specific beneficial use performance criteria: DEQ may approve an application for a case-specific beneficial use of solid waste only if all the following performance criteria are addressed: 1. Characterization of the Solid Waste; 2. Productive Beneficial Use of the Solid Waste; and, 3. The effect of the Proposed Beneficial Use on Public Health, Safety, Welfare and/or the Environment. Did the applicant characterize the solid waste and proposed beneficial use sufficiently to demonstrate compliance with the rules for case-specific beneficial use determinations (OAR 340-093-0280) by submitting required information for the appropriate tier? (See tier sections below for detailed characterization information.)

⊠ Yes □ No

Was the following information submitted for DEQ review and how adequate was it?

Tier 1: ⊠ Applicable □ Not applicable

• Did the applicant provide an adequate description of the material proposed for beneficial use, the manner of generation and the estimated quantity to be used beneficially each year?

⊠ Yes □ No

Notes:

The total estimated volume of contaminated soil for the proposed beneficial use is approximately 19,000 cubic yards (cy), which will be generated over the course of the project for several years during pipeline construction. Approximately 250 cy will be generated during an intersection widening project. PWB proposes to reuse the excavated contaminated soils in the pipeline trenches as construction fill, used to reconstruct shoulder surfaces adjacent to roadways, replaced as topsoil as part of trench restoration of farm field per property owner request, and additionally placed at the water filtration plant property as construction fill per BUD 20240402. During construction, the material will be handled to prevent environmental impacts and comply with the PWB's 1200CA permit requirements until reused. The 1200-CA covers both the filtration facility and the pipeline area. The contaminated soils are the surface soils (0-1.5 feet deep). Deeper soils within the pipeline excavation areas have been identified as meeting clean fill limits. The pipeline excavation also includes an intersection widening construction area where contaminated soil in this intersection do not meet clean fill limits to depths of 5 feet below the surface. The soil quantity of 250 cy is expected to be generated due the intersection widening construction.

The contaminated soil will be managed in one of three methods (1) Placement of excavated soil as construction fill within filtration facility according to BUD-20240402, (2) placement within the resulting pipeline trench excavation, or (3) placement on the shoulder surfaces immediately adjacent to the roadway as specified by landowner.

PBS Environmental submitted the January 2024 Clean Fill Determination Report (CFDR) prior to the PWB's BUD application. The application presents the data from CFDR of samples using incremental sampling methodology of 4 decision units (DUs), (1) Finished Water North, (2) Finished Water Center, (3) Finished Water South, and (4) SE Dodge Park Boulevard and SE Cottrell Road Intersection. Two samples each were collected for Finished Water North, Finished Water Center, and Finished Water South, one comprising 0-1.5 ft depth and the other from 1.5 ft to 5 ft depth. The Finished Water North had a triplicate sample collected for the shallow 0-1.5 ft depth. The SE Dodge Park Boulevard and SE Cottrell Road Intersection DU sample was collected at a depth of 0 to 5 feet.

Beneficial Use of Solid Waste Determination Evaluation Form Applicant: Portland Water Bureau

BUD#: 20240418

Solid waste: Contaminated Soils

Date: May 7, 2024

The samples were sent for lab analysis for the following contaminants:

- Seventeen Agricultural Metals (antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, nickel, mercury, molybdenum, selenium, silver, thallium, vanadium, and zinc) by EPA Methods 6000/7000 series
- Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081B
- Organophosphate Pesticides by EPA 8141A
- Chlorinated Acid Herbicides by EPA 8151
- Diesel and Heavy Oil-Range Hydrocarbons by NWTPH-Dx

Pesticides were detected in surface soil samples (0-1.5 feet depths) at concentrations above the Clean Fill Criteria and DEQ Eco Risk for ground feeding birds and mammals. These pesticides include 4,4-DDE, 4,4-DDT, and Dieldrin. Another pesticide, 4,4-DDD was detected in the samples at FWC-DU-1 below clean fill criteria. Samples collected along the pipeline at depths greater than 1.5 feet did not indicate pesticide detections exceeding Clean Fill Criteria. A sample collected at the SE Dodge Park Boulevard and SE Cottrell Road Intersection detected concentrations of pesticides above the clean fill criteria.

Metals were detected below Clean Fill Criteria concentrations in the surface soils (0-1.5 feet depth) and soils at depths from 1.5 feet – 5 feet except for one of the samples collected at 0-1.5 feet depth at Finished Water South which was 28.3 mg/kg. This detection exceeded the Clean Fill Value of 28.0 mg/kg, however is consistent with naturally occurring background levels of lead for the region. Many metals occur naturally in soil and due to soil's heterogeneous nature, can fluctuate in concentration.

DEQ is requiring that all of the soils stored at the water treatment facility property be managed under the 1200-CA requirements until used and be placed under 3 feet of clean fill. If the soils are used at the water filtration plant as construction fill, the soils will be subject to the beneficial use determination requirements of the filtration facility soils set forth in BUD-20240402.

Did the applicant provide an adequate description of the proposed beneficial use and justify how the proposed use is beneficial?

Notes:

The proposed beneficial use of the contaminated soil is to reuse excavated soil as non-structural construction fill. Reuse of this soil provides many benefits including limiting trucking emissions and impacts to landfill capacity.

• Did the applicant provide a sufficient comparison of the chemical and physical characteristics of the material proposed for beneficial use with the material it will replace?

⊠ Yes □ No

Notes:

PWB's BUD application includes sampling results for pesticides, herbicides, detected metals from the 17 agricultural metals list. Tables 2, 3, 4, and 6 of the application shows the summary of the analytical results from the pipeline and intersection ISM samples (one DU sample at Finished Water North in triplicate). The shallow DUs included depths from 0-1.5 ft and the deeper DUs included depths from 1.5-5 ft. DEQ evaluated and agrees that the samples and analysis for the selected contaminants sufficiently characterize the soil being moved during the PWB Finished Water Pipeline construction process. Clean Fill Criteria and DEQ eco risk exceedances for ISM samples are described above. These values are also compared in the tables to the following risk screening levels:

 DEQ's human health risk-based concentrations (RBCs) for occupational soil ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation

| Beneficial Use of Solid Waste Determination Evaluation Form | Applicant: Portland Water Bureau |
|---|---|
| | BUD#: 20240418 |
| | Solid waste: Contaminated Soils |
| | Date: May 7, 2024 |
| DEQ's ecological risk for top consumers birds and mammal T&E)) | s (Threatened and Endangered (T&E) and non |
| - DEQ's ecological risk for direct toxicity to plants and inverte | brates |
| The metals concentrations are consistent with naturally occurring backg | round levels. |
| The proposed use of the contaminated soil from the Bull Run Finished Within the filtration facility construction area per the requirements in BUD pipeline, or shoulder soil grading as specified meets the beneficial use of in construction as non-structural fill and trench backfill. The slightly contapplication and the conditions of this BUD. | D-20240402, use as trench backfill along the criteria of being productive and is suitable for use |
| As shown in the application, the concentrations for pesticides in the surf below human health risk levels. They exceed the T&E and non-T&E ecc birds and mammals and top consumers bird and mammals. The presen utilize the site is not confirmed or discussed in the application. The exce concentrations are addressed by the protective cover outlined in BUD-2 and the shoulder soils and the restored trench in the active farm field do mammals and birds. The proposed placement and reuse of contaminate plant or wildlife species. | o RBC. The eco RBC pertains to ground feeding ace of threatened or endangered species that seedances of the non T&E eco risk-based 20240402. The trench pipeline corridor right-of-ways not provide a suitable habitat or resources for |
| Did the applicant successfully demonstrate compliance of the proceeding in OAR 340-093-0280 based on knowledge of the proceeding product, or testing? | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | |
| Notes: The soil is slightly contaminated as discussed above. The contaminated concentrations of pesticides that are above clean fill criteria but below of the Finished Water Pipeline soil on the filtration facility construction must 20240402. | ccupational RBCs for soil materials. The reuse of |
| If required, did the applicant provide any other DEQ required in | nformation to evaluate the proposal? |
| □ Yes □ No | |
| Notes: Not applicable. DEQ did not require additional information. | |
| Tier 2: ⊠ Applicable □ Not applicable | |
| • Did the applicant submit all the information required for a Tier | 1 application? |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | |
| Did the applicant submit adequate sampling and analysis to mal (Note: The analysis must provide chemical, physical, and biolog beneficial use and identify potential contaminants in the materia | gical characterization of the material proposed for |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | |

Notes:

DEQ considers the material testing conducted to be adequate. Sample results are discussed above.

| | BUD#: 20240418 |
|--|--|
| | Solid waste: Contaminated Soils |
| | Date: May 7, 2024 |
| | |
| When applicable, did the applicant provide a risk screening in the material to existing, DEQ approved, risk-based screening acceptable risk levels? | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | |
| Notes: | |
| A comparison to risk screening levels is discussed above. Contain risk screening levels and were found to be sufficiently low for the contaminant concentrations to ecological risk-based concentration application. | proposed beneficial uses. The applicant compared |
| • When applicable, did the applicant supply the location or consistent with the risk scenarios used to evaluate risk? | type of land use where the material will be applied, |
| ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA | |
| Notes The contaminated soil is proposed for use as non-structura within the pipeline trenches as construction fill, reconstructing sho topsoil as part of trench restoration of farm field per property owner. | oulder surfaces adjacent to roadways, replacing as |
| When applicable, did the applicant supply contact inform application proposal, including name, address, phone nun and longitude)? | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA | |
| Notes: The soil reuse location is identified as tax lots, 1S4E22D - facility. For the proposed pipeline, primarily within public street rig (1S4E23C) 1500 (1S4E23C) 2200 (1S4E23C) 7300 (1S4E22DB) (1S4E15C) 800 (1S4E23C). The contact information is: | ht -of-ways and across the following tax lots: 1400 |
| Robert Fraley Portland Water Bureau 1120 SW 5 th Avenue Rm 405 Portland, OR 97204 503-319-9207 Robert.Fraley@portlandoregon.gov | |
| Did the applicant supply an adequate description of how t adverse impacts to public health, safety, welfare, or the en | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | |
| Notes: The contaminated soils will be managed so that they will not creat public health or safety. Contaminated soil material will be stockpill area as non-structural fill. PWB will follow their 1200CA stormwaters. | ed on site during construction and reused at the project |

Applicant: Portland Water Bureau

Beneficial Use of Solid Waste Determination Evaluation Form

established and vegetated.

Beneficial Use of Solid Waste Determination Evaluation Form

| Applicant: Portland Water Bureau |
|----------------------------------|
| BUD#: 20240418 |
| Solid waste: Contaminated Soils |
| Date: May 7, 2024 |
| |

| Tier 3: ☐ Applicable ☒ Not applicable |
|--|
| Did the applicant submit all the information required for a Tier 1 and Tier 2 application? |
| ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| • Did the applicant provide an adequate discussion of the justification for the proposal? |
| □ Yes □ No |
| • Is there an estimated length of time that would be required to complete the project, if it is a demonstration? |
| □ Yes □ No |
| • If it is a demonstration project, are their methods proposed to ensure safe and proper management of the material |
| □ Yes □ No |
| 2. Productive beneficial use of the solid waste Has the applicant demonstrated that the proposed beneficial use is a productive use of the material by providing information substantiating the criteria listed below? |
| ⊠ Yes □ No |
| Notes: PWB proposes the contaminated soil is reused as non-structural fill at the PWB filtration facility property, as well as within the pipeline trenches as construction fill, reconstructing shoulder surfaces adjacent to roadways, replacing as topsoil as part of trench restoration of farm field per property owner request The soil meets specifications to be used as non-structural construction fill. |
| • Did the applicant successfully identify or demonstrate a reasonably likely proposed beneficial use for the materia that is not speculative? |
| ⊠ Yes □ No |
| Notes: See discussion above. |
| This criterion consists of three parts. |
| 1. Identified use: Has the applicant clearly stated what the waste is going to be used for, that the waste is compatible with that |

Notes:

⊠ Yes □ No

use and the proposed quantity is necessary?

Beneficial Use of Solid Waste Determination Evaluation Form Applicant: Portland Water Bureau BUD#: 20240418 Solid waste: Contaminated Soils Date: May 7, 2024 PWB estimates that the Bull Run Filtration Project will generate 19,000 cubic yards of contaminated soil along the finished water pipeline. 250 cy will be generated during the intersection widening excavation. 2. Reasonably likely use: Has the applicant identified, with supporting documentation, the timeframe within which this use is likely to occur (e.g., zoning info, master plan for development, letters from local jurisdictions, etc.)? Yes □ No Notes:

3. Not speculative:

For land application - has this material been used at other sites for the same purpose, is the material feasible for use at this site for this purpose, or has the applicant identified a known potential for this use at this site?

The application states that excavation of approximately 19,000 cubic yards of contaminated soil is planned to

 \boxtimes Yes \square No \square N/A

For uses other than land application - has the material been used in a product before, is the material feasible for use in a product, or has the applicant identified a known potential for use in this product?

 \square Yes \square No \bowtie N/A

• Is the use a valuable part of a manufacturing process, an effective substitute for a valuable raw material or commercial product, or otherwise authorized by the Department and does not constitute disposal?

begin in summer 2024. The applicant expects the excavation to be completed in 2027.

⊠ Yes □ No

Notes:

This is a substitute for use of clean soil and is proposed to be used for regrading the soil reuse area, backfilling the pipeline trench, and regrading the shoulder areas. The reuse of the slightly contaminated soil will also prevent the material from filling valuable space in local landfills and reduce transportation costs.

• Is the use in accordance with applicable engineering standards, commercial standards, and agricultural or horticultural practices?

⊠ Yes □ No

Notes

The proposed uses of the excavated soils conform and follow standard engineering practices and limit risks posed by the contamination found in the soil. Also, the use reduces hauling trips, trucking emissions, and does not contribute to filling valuable landfill space.

3. Effect of proposed beneficial use on public health, safety, welfare and/or the environment

Has the applicant demonstrated the proposed beneficial use will not create an adverse impact to public health, safety, welfare, or the environment, by providing information substantiating compliance with the criteria listed in the bullet list below?

| Beneficial Use of Solid Waste Determination Evaluation Form | Applicant: Portland Water Bureau |
|---|--|
| | BUD#: 20240418 |
| | Solid waste: Contaminated Soils |
| | Date: May 7, 2024 |
| | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | |
| Notes: As discussed above, chemical testing of the contaminated soils indicepeople or animals, if reused as described in the application. | cates that the soil reuse area would not pose a risk to |
| Has the applicant demonstrated that the material is not a haz- | zardous waste under ORS 466.00? |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | |
| Notes: Contaminant concentrations are below applicable human health and above. | l ecological screening levels with the exceptions noted |
| Has the applicant demonstrated that until the time this material determination, the material will be managed, including any releases to the environment or nuisance conditions? | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | |
| Notes: The application states that contaminated soil will be managed at all stormwater permit 1200CA requirements. The reused soil will be mand soil erosion, releases to the environment or nuisance conditions environmentally sensitive areas to protect waters of the State (such maintain records documenting the amounts of contaminated soil train | anaged to prevent, at all times, windblown dust, runoff s. The reused soil will be placed away from as wetlands, wildlife refuges and parks). PWB will |
| PWB will comply with all applicable federal, state, and local regulation application and will manage the contaminated soil in accordance with | |
| Has the applicant demonstrated that hazardous substances in bulleted list below? | n the material, if any, meet one of the criteria in the |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | |
| Hazardous substances do not significantly exceed the commercial product; Hazardous substances do not exceed naturally occur. Hazardous substances will not exceed acceptable rishing accomputation, when the material is managed as a substance. | rring background concentrations; or sk levels, including persistence and potential |
| bioaccumulation, when the material is managed acc Notes: | ording to a beneficial use determination. |
| Testing results indicate that the hazardous substances in the contar concentration in a comparable raw material (soil). | ninated soils do not significantly exceed the |
| • Has the applicant demonstrated that the proposed beneficial substance in a sensitive environment, such as a park, wildlift | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | |
| Notes: The material will not be placed in a sensitive environment. In additio | n, contaminant concentrations meet clean fill |

screening levels for most contaminants and exceedances are minor for those above clean fill values.

| Beneficial Use of Solid Waste Determination Evaluation Form | Applicant: Portland Water Bureau | |
|--|---|--|
| | BUD#: 20240418 | |
| | Solid waste: Contaminated Soils | |
| | Date: May 7, 2024 | |
| Has the applicant demonstrated that the proposed beneficial us unsightliness, fire, or other nuisance conditions? | e will not create objectionable odors, dust, | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | | |
| Notes: The application states that the reused contaminated soil will be manag management practices outlined in the PWB 1200 CA permit. | ed in accordance with the procedures and best | |
| Has the applicant indicated that the proposed beneficial use will comply with any other applicable federal, stat and local regulations? | | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | | |
| 4. Public Involvement Evaluation (Note: this is not a be Determine a public involvement recommendation using the current Gu Managers on Public Notice and Participation. | idance to DEQ Solid Waste Program Staff and | |
| • Is public notice and participation being recommended for this | application? | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | | |
| Notes: DEQ is aware of public interest in the proposed use of the material and community public meeting and a public comment period that ends at the | | |