

## Example of Impact of City of Eugene vs. PERS Settlement (for variable participation beginning in 1988)

In calculating the account balances shown below, the following assumptions have been made:

- Variable contributions began in 1988
- Annual salary of \$35,000
- Annual contributions of \$2100 (6 percent of assumed salary)
- Member elected a 50 percent variable participation rate
- Member's salary, contribution rate, and variable participation rates remained constant
- Member chooses retirement date of July 1, 2004
- Member is Tier 1, retirement benefit is calculated under Money Match, and member elects benefit payment Option 1
- Sick leave, vacation hours, and member purchases are **not** used
- Balances shown are for variable portion of account balance **only**
- 2004 annual variable rate projected at 10 percent

**Member variable account balance at retirement: \$49,230.48**

*Employer variable match  
(pre-settlement)*

**\$49,230.48**

*Employer variable match  
(post-settlement)*

**\$47,080.41**

Because the annual variable contributions invested in the regular account would have earned **less** than contributions invested in the variable account, the employer portion of the variable account balance upon retirement would be **\$2,150.07 lower** than it would have been before the implementation of the City of Eugene vs. PERS settlement.

Based on age at retirement and current life expectancy, we estimate this **\$2,150.07** difference would **decrease** the monthly retirement benefit as follows:

<b>AGE @ RETIREMENT</b>	<b>PROJECTED DECREASE IN MONTHLY BENEFIT</b>
55	(\$16.25)
58	(\$16.86)
60	(\$17.33)
62	(\$17.89)
65	(\$18.90)