

Benchmark # and Title	BUSINESS VITALITY									ECONOMIC CAPACITY			COST OF DOING BUSINESS (CODB)					
	1	2	3	4	4a	4b	5	6 ¹	7a	7b	8 ²	9	9a	9b	9c	10a	10b	
	Employment in Rural OR	Trade Outside of OR	New Employers	Net Job Growth (Overall)	Net Job Growth (Urban)	Net Job Growth (Rural)	Professional Services	Econ. Diversification	R&D Industry	R&D Academia	VC Investments	CODB Overall	CODB Labor	CODB Energy	CODB Taxes & Charges	On-time permits Air Cont	On-time permits Wastewtr	
Expression	% rural	nat. rank	nat. rank	1000s	1000s	1000s	% of US	nat. rank	% of GSP		nat. rank	national rank					% issued on time	
1995		36th																
1996		33rd	9th															
1997		32nd	9th				82%		1.10%	0.29%		26th	34th	4th	35th			
1998	14.2%	31st	9th	28.09	24.44	3.65	79%	37th	1.45%	0.29%	30th	23rd	31st	4th	36th	58%	16%	
1999	14.3%	30th	11th	27.52	22.53	4.99	78%	36th	1.40%	0.29%	9th	24th	36th	4th	36th	61%	28%	
2000	14.0%	33rd	8th	30.25	27.39	2.86	77%	40th	1.39%	0.29%	15th	25th	31st	5th	36th	68%	47%	
2001	13.8%	28th	7th	-10.97	-6.65	-4.32	75%	36th			19th	27th	44th	10th	35th	90%	48%	
2002	13.9%	30th	10th	-23.86	-22.70	-1.16	75%	36th	2.01%	0.34%	16th	28th	33rd	29th	36th	90%	47%	
2003	14.1%	33rd	8th	-10.76	-11.67	0.91	73%	36th	2.48%	0.36%	18th	31st	37th	20th	36th	88%	51%	
2004	14.1%	33rd	9th	32.15	27.08	5.07	72%	43rd			14th	30th	22nd	13th	36th	85%	60%	
2005	14.0%		8th	57.76	49.19	8.57	72%	39th	2.36%		17th	27th	21st	13th	36th	84%	42%	
2006	14.0%	new data not available		48.36	43.19	5.17	71%	42nd		0.37%	19th	24th	26th	12th	39th	70%	44%	
2007	13.9%				27.43	25.93	1.50		41st			7th					75%	37%
2010 Target	none	20th-25th	5th-10th ('05)	23.00	18.86	4.14	85%	to be reset	1.4% ('05)	0.50%	to be reset	14th	none	none	none	95%	49%	
Comparator ⁴	40th ('04)	WA=18th	WA=7th	3rd ('05)				WA=18th	US=1.8%	US=36%	WA=3rd	WA=31st	WA=34th	WA=10th	WA=41st			
Progress? ⁵	No	No	Yes	Yes, but	Yes, but	No	No	No	Yes	Yes, but	Yes	No, but	No, but	No, but	No, but	No, but	No	
Last updated	9/12/08	4/13/07	12/26/08	4/19/08	9/19/08	9/19/08	11/6/08	12/26/08	11/6/08	11/6/08	12/26/08	11/6/08	11/7/08	11/8/08	11/9/08	12/6/08	11/22/08	

Benchmark # and Title	INCOME											INTERNATIONAL	
	11	11a	11b	12	12a	12b	13a ³	13b ³	14	15a	15b	16	17
	Per Cap Income Overall	Per Cap Income Metro	Per Cap Income NonMetro	Pay per Worker Overall	Pay per Worker Urban	Pay per Worker Rural	Income Disparity	Income Disparity	Workers above 150% Pov	Unemployment Rate	Unemployment Rate	Export Stability	Foreign Language Skills
Expression	% of U.S. average			in 1000s, 2007 dollars			ratio	nat. rank	%	rate	% U.S.	% exports	%
1996												to non-prime	
1997	96.6%	97.4%	102.4%									partners	
1998	95.0%	95.8%	101.3%	\$36.20	\$37.65	\$28.37			34%	5.70%	127%	52.7%	
1999	94.8%	95.6%	101.2%	\$37.20	\$38.68	\$28.87			35%	5.50%	131%	53.9%	
2000	94.1%	95.1%	100.4%	\$38.49	\$40.22	\$28.98			36%	5.10%	130%	59.2%	
2001	93.3%	94.0%	99.7%	\$38.24	\$39.81	\$29.23			36%	6.40%	136%	58.6%	
2002	93.9%	94.2%	102.5%	\$38.25	\$39.76	\$29.88			36%	7.60%	131%	60.4%	
2003	93.8%	94.2%	101.8%	\$38.39	\$39.91	\$30.01			36%	8.10%	135%	59.4%	
2004	92.4%	92.9%	99.9%	\$38.70	\$40.25	\$30.19			35%	7.30%	133%	62.2%	20%
2005	90.9%	91.5%	98.0%	\$38.66	\$40.21	\$29.92			35%	6.10%	120%	60.7%	
2006	90.7%	91.1%	98.5%	\$39.04	\$40.55	\$30.05	12.68	20th		5.40%	117%	64.8%	22%
2007				\$39.57	\$41.10	\$30.27	12.63	19th	35%	5.20%	113%	65.5%	
2008													
2010 Target	100%	100%	105%	\$39.90	\$41.46	\$31.12	to be reset	to be reset	47%	4.70%	100%	60%	20%
Comparator ⁴	WA=104%	WA=103%	WA=101%	25th	25th	28th	US=14.79	WA=21st	33rd ('04)	WA=4.5%	42nd	WA=62.4%	
Progress? ⁵	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes, but			No	Yes, but	Yes, but	Yes	Yes
Last updated	10/3/08	10/3/08	10/3/08	10/3/08	10/3/08	10/3/08	1/24/09	1/24/09	11/22/08	9/26/08	9/27/08	11/6/08	4/13/07

Is Oregon making progress?

Business Vitality	No, but
Economic Capacity	Yes, but
Business Costs	No, but
Income	No, but
International	Yes
Economy	No, but

Notable improvement: #16
 Notable concern: #11, 11a & 11b

- Notes
- #6 - new data source and data series
 - #8 - new data source and data series
 - #13a & b - new data source and data series
 - When available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally.
 - Progress (grade) is based on the trend of the data toward the target.

For rankings, "1st" is best.

For analysis, please see complete reports on individual benchmarks at <http://benchmarks.oregon.gov>.

Benchmark # and Title	K-12							POSTSECONDARY				
	18 ¹	19a ²	19b ²	20a	20b ²	21	22	23 ³	24 ³	25	26a ³	26b ³
	Ready to Learn	Third Grade Reading	Third Grade Math	Eighth Grade Reading	Eighth Grade Math	CIM (last report)	H.S. Dropout Rate	H.S. Completion	Some College Education	Post-secondary Cred.'s	College - Bachelor's Degree	College - Advanced Degree
Expression	% of students s achieving skill level		% diplomas		% leaving	% of the adult (25 and over) population						
1995	ergartners					w/ CIM	grades	all postsecondary benchmarks have new data source				
1996							9-12					
1997	58%											
1998							6.9%			27.6%		
1999				56%			6.6%					
2000	67%			64%			6.3%	85.1%	49.8%	26.6%	25.1%	8.7%
2001				62%		26%	5.2%					
2002	76%			61%		31%	4.9%	86.7%	50.1%	28.1%	25.9%	9.5%
2003				61%		32%	4.4%	87.7%	52.0%		26.4%	9.2%
2004	80%			59%		33%	4.6%	87.2%	52.7%	26.6%	27.7%	10.0%
2005				63%		37%	4.2%	87.5%	53.0%		27.7%	10.0%
2006	80%	80%	76%	66%	68%	31%	4.1%	87.6%	52.7%	25.5%	27.5%	10.0%
2007		81%	69%	68%	70%	29%	4.2%	88.0%	53.1%		28.3%	10.3%
2008		84%	77%	65%	69%					26.5%		
2010 Target	87%	to be reset	to be reset	80%	to be reset	no targets	4.0%	90.0%	to be reset	31.9%	30.3%	11.1%
Comparator ⁵	38th	41st	38th	19th	23rd			20th			19th	15th
Progress? ⁶	No, but			No			Yes	Yes		No, but	Yes	Yes, but
Last updated	7/18/07	12/13/08	12/13/08	12/13/08	12/13/08	9/26/08	9/26/08	12/13/08	12/13/08	12/30/08	12/13/08	12/13/08

Benchmark # and Title	SKILL DEVELOPMENT			
	27 ⁴	28a	28b	29
	Adult Literacy	Computer Usage	Internet Usage	Labor Force Training
Expression	% with	% adults	% hholds	% receiving
1996	intermediate or higher literacy skills	58%	24%	30%
1997				
1998		60%	35%	37%
1999				
2000		61%	63%	31%
2001	1990=76%			
2002		59%	70%	38%
2003				
2004		58%	71%	37%
2005				
2006	81%	57%	72%	33%
2007				
2008		61%	74%	33%
2010 Target	tbd	70%	80%	75%
Comparator ⁵		10th ⁵	8th	
Progress? ⁶		No, but	No, but	No
Last updated	4/13/07	12/30/08	12/30/08	12/30/08

Is Oregon making progress?

K-12 Education	No, but
Postsecondary	Yes, but
Skill Development	No, but
Education	No, but

Notable concern: #20a

Notes

- 1: #18 - Data across years are not strictly comparable due to changes in survey design.
- 2: #19a, 19b & 20b - Cut score (test standard) changed in 2006. Earlier data no longer comparable and targets will be reset.
- 3: #23, 24, and 26: 2000 data are from the decennial census. All other data are from the American Community Survey
- 4: #27 - A new phone survey methodology was executed in 2006. More recent data are not available.
- 5: Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally. Comparators differ from benchmarks as follows:
 - #18 - based on % of eligible children enrolled in Head Start
 - #19a & b - based on 4th grade reading and math scores
 - #28a - based on number of households with computers
- 6: Progress (grade) is based on the trend of the data toward the target.

For rankings, "1st" is best.

For analysis, please see complete reports on individual benchmarks at <http://benchmarks.oregon.gov>.

Oregon Progress Board, February, 2009

Benchmark # and Title	PARTICIPATION				TAXES				PUBLIC SECTOR PERFORMANCE		CULTURE	
	30	31a	31b	32	33 ¹	34 ²	34a ²	34b ²	35 ³	36	37	38
Expression	Volunteering % of pop	Voting Percent of eligible	Voting National Rank nat. rank	Feeling of Community % with	Understand the Tax System % who	Taxes & Charges % national rank, 1st = least burden	Taxes % Personal Income	Charges % Personal Income	Public Mgmt Quality grade	S&P Bond Rating	Arts Participation % partici-	Public Library Service % served
1995	16+ who					39th	25th	42nd			pating at	
1996	volunteered	59.9%	7th	41%		41st	14th	47th			least once	
1997	in last 12					42nd	18th	46th			per year	
1998	months			36%		34th	10th	46th		AA		80%
1999						37th	6th	40th		AA		84%
2000		64.7%	5th	37%		37th	12th	45th		AA		84%
2001										AA		87%
2002	31.7%			51%	17%	16th	5th	41st		AA		87%
2003	33.2%									AA-		85%
2004	33.7%	71.2%	4th	49%	15%	24th	9th	42nd	B ²	AA-		83%
2005	34.0%									AA-		80%
2006	32.3%			51%	15%	27th	10th	42nd		AA-	56.9%	79%
2007	33.2%								B-	AA		80%
2008		66.0%	12th	50%	12%						54.2%	81%
2010 Target	35.0%	tbd	5th	60%	50%					AAA	tbd	88%
Comparator ⁴	16th	US=56.8%	WA=16th			WA=23rd	WA=22nd	WA=39th	WA=A	WA=AA+	NW Region=45%	
Progress?⁵	Yes, but		No, but	No, but	No					No, but		No, but
Last updated	9/26/2008	12/19/2008	12/19/2008	12/27/2008	12/27/2008	12/20/2008	12/20/2008	12/20/2008	9/19/2008	10/12/2008	12/27/2008	1/24/2009

Is Oregon making progress?	
Participation	Yes, but
Taxes	No
Public Sector Performance	No, but
Culture	No, but
Civic Engagement	No, but
Notable strength #30	
Notable concern: #33	

Notes

- 1: #33 - New data source in 2000. Prior data not strictly comparable.
- 2: #34, 34a and 34b - 2004 and subsequent grades not comparable to previous grades. A ranking of first means least tax burden. The Progress Board chooses not to set targets or grades for this benchmark.
- 3: #35 - Due to significant concerns over methodology, the Progress Board opted to not grade this benchmark at this time.
- 4: Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally.
#37 - Compares the Pacific Region to the U.S.
- 5: Progress (grade) is based on the trend of the data toward the target.

Benchmark # and Title	HEALTH											PROTECTION									
	39	40	41	42	43a	43b	44	45	46	47	48 ¹	49a	49b	50a	50b	50c	51a	51b	52 ²	53b	
	Teen Preg-nancy	Prenatal Care	Infant Mortality	Immunizations	HIV New Infections	HIV Rate per 100,000	Adult Non-smokers	Prevent-able Death	Perceived Health Status	Affordable Child Care	Available Child Care	Positive Youth Dv 8th Grde	Positive Youth Dv 11th Grde	8th Grade Alcohol	8th Grde Illicit Drugs	8th Grade Cigs	Child Abuse Subst'd	Child Abuse Threat	Elder Abuse	Tobacco While Pregnant	
Expression	per 1000	% of moms	per 1000	% of 2	count	rate	%	per 1,000	% report'g	% of lower	slots per	% reporting		% reporting use in last 30 days			per 1000	per 1000	per 1000	% not using	
1996	age 15-17	receiving		yr-olds				59.6	good or	income	100 kids										
1997	44.2							56.4	excellent	families						7.4	4.7				
1998	42.1	80.2%	5.4	75%	298	11.1	78%	56.7	57%	with						6.8	5.5	5.9	84.8%		
1999	39.3	80.9%	5.8	72%	284	10.5	79%	52.7	57%							6.9	6.6	6.8	85.5%		
2000	35.2	81.3%	5.6	79%	271	9.6	79%	53.5	53%	36.6%						6.5	5.6	7.8	86.7%		
2001	31.7	81.5%	5.4	73%	290	10.1	79%	51.8	55%		18					5.7	3.9	8.4	87.2%		
2002	27.6	81.6%	5.8	75%	324	11.2	78%	54.1	55%	35.2%	18			24.4%	18.3%	10.7%	5.4	4.4	8.0	87.4%	
2003	26.4	81.1%	5.6	79%	325	11.1	79%	54.7	55%		17			24.3%	18.5%	10.5%	5.6	5.2	6.7	88.0%	
2004	23.8	80.3%	5.5	81%	322	10.9	80%	54.1	53%	44.1%	17			28.5%	15.9%	8.1%	6.3	5.7	5.1	87.4%	
2005	24.2	81.0%	5.9	75%	303	10.1	81%	50.9	54%		17			31.1%	15.3%	9.8%	6.9	6.1	4.5	87.6%	
2006	27.2	79.2%	5.4	79%	284	9.3	82%		57%	49.2%	17	65%	69%	31.9%	15.7%	8.7%	7.6	6.2	4.8	87.7%	
2007		78.4%	5.5	74%	260	8.3	83%		57%			64%	70%	30.9%	15.9%	9.0%	6.9	5.4	4.8	88.3%	
2008												64%	69%	28.9%	14.6%	8.6%					
2010 Target	20	90.0%	4.5	90%	264	9.4	85%	49.3 ('05)	72%	45% ('05)	25	tbd	tbd	17.0%	12.0%	13.0%	5.6	5.3	27.0	98.0%	
Comparator ⁵	37th ('00)	33rd ('04)	13th	50th			7th	18th ('03)	13th					US=15.9%	US=7.4%	US=7.1%					US=90%
Progress? ⁶	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No, but	Yes, but	No			No, but	No, but	Yes	No, but	Yes, but	No	No, but	
Last updated	8/26/2008	11/22/2008	9/12/2008	12/13/2008	12/1/2008	12/1/2008	10/3/2008	8/30/2007	9/26/2008	4/13/2007	8/30/2007	12/1/2008	12/1/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	12/27/2008	12/27/2008	12/1/2008	12/6/2008	

Benchmark # and Title	POVERTY										INDEPENDENT LIVING						
	54 ³	54a ³	54b ³	54c ³	55	56	57	58a	58b	59	60	61a	61a-i	61a-ii	61b	61b-i	61b-ii
	Poverty Overall	Poverty Age 0-17	Poverty Age 18-64	Poverty Age 65+	Health Insurance	Home-lessness ⁴	Child Support	Food Insecure	Food Insecure (Hunger)	Independ-ent Seniors	Disabled Who Are Working	In Poverty Physical Disability	In Poverty Physical Disability	In Poverty Physical Disability	In Poverty Cognitive Disability	In Poverty Cognitive Disability	In Poverty Cognitive Disability
Expression	% below 100% of federal poverty level				% w/out	per 10,000	% paid	nat. rank based on		%	%	%21-64	% 5-20	%65+	%21-64	% 5-20	%65+
1996					11.0%			3-yr average				New: #61 is now stratified by age and type of disability.					
1997	12.8%							1st = hungriest				Targets and grades will be set for the 21-64 age group only.					
1998	12.8%				11.0%		62.9%			97.9%							
1999	11.6%	14.0%	11.0%	7.6%			58.9%			97.7%							
2000	11.6%	16.0%	10.5%	7.1%	12.0%		59.6%			98.0%							
2001	10.8%	13.9%	10.6%	6.2%		21	59.6%	7th	2nd	98.1%							
2002	11.7%	16.3%	11.0%	6.4%	14.0%	17	60.4%	10th	8th	98.4%							
2003	11.7%	16.5%	11.1%	5.8%		18	59.9%	19th	19th	98.3%							
2004	12.1%	17.7%	11.3%	5.5%	17.0%	19	59.3%	22nd	25th	98.7%	39.2%						
2005	11.9%	16.7%	11.3%	5.8%		23	60.1%	23rd	18th	98.4%	41.3%	27.8%	35.2%	10.6%	34.5%	28.0%	11.7%
2006	12.2%	16.5%	11.4%	8.3%	15.6%	26	60.4%	15th	3rd	98.4%	41.2%	28.0%	36.6%	12.8%	36.4%	24.3%	17.6%
2007						30	62.0%			98.4%	40.3%	26.8%	33.6%	11.9%	32.3%	26.4%	14.2%
2008						33	62.0%										
2010 Target	10.0%				8.0%	to be reset	70.0%	41st	41st	98.5%	tbd	tbd			tbd		
Comparator ⁶	31st	28th	35th	19th	30th			WA=31st	WA=33rd		19th	US=25.5%	US=30.8%	US=13.9%	US=31.7%	US=27.2%	US=16.6%
Progress? ⁷	No, but				No		No, but	No	No	Yes, but							
Last updated	9/26/2008	9/26/2008	9/26/2008	9/26/2008	12/27/2008	12/20/2008	12/19/2008	12/6/2008	12/6/2008	11/22/2008	11/6/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008

Notable improvement: #44
 Notable concern: #58

Is Oregon making progress?

Health No, but
 Protection No, but
 Poverty No
 Independent Living Yes, but
Social Support No, but

Notes

1: #48 - New regulations in 2001; previous data not comparable

2: #52 - Counterintuitive, but correct. Targets aim for more reporting.

3: #54's - 1999 data from 2000 Census; a-c: Board chose not to set targets

4: #56 - New calculation and data series

5: Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally.

6: Grades based on data trend and target.

Except for food insecurity (58), a ranking of "1st" is best.

For analysis, please see complete reports on individual benchmarks at <http://benchmarks.oregon.gov>.

Benchmark # and Title <i>Expression</i>	CRIME									EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	
	62	62a	62b	62c	63a	63b	64 ¹	65	66	67a	67b
	Overall Crime	Person Crimes	Property Crimes	Behavioral Crimes	Juvenile Arrests Person	Juvenile Arrests Property	Teens Carrying Weapons	Adult Recidivism	Juvenile Recidivism	Emergency Preparedness Geo Hazards	Emergency Preparedness All Types
	1000s	1000s	1000s	1000s	1000s	1000s	% grades	%	%	% of communities	% of counties
1995							9-12			prepared	with emergency
1996							(self-report)				plans
1997	150.1	15.2	83.0	51.9	5.1	19.6			38.3%		meeting
1998	138.5	14.5	74.4	49.6	4.8	17.0		32.1%	36.9%		standards
1999	131.7	13.7	68.2	49.8	4.5	15.1	14.0%	31.5%	36.6%	30%	
2000	127.8	12.9	66.9	48.1	4.5	14.1		29.6%	34.8%	40%	50%
2001	128.4	12.0	69.7	46.8	4.1	12.7	13.0%	30.0%	34.1%	45%	59%
2002	124.2	11.7	67.5	45.1	3.5	11.4		32.6%	32.2%	46%	81%
2003	127.7	11.6	69.5	46.6	4.0	12.6	19.9%	30.5%	32.1%	47%	86%
2004	125.4	11.5	66.5	47.4	4.2	12.2		31.2%	31.3%	50%	88%
2005	123.6	11.4	64.4	47.7	3.9	10.9	20.5%	30.5%	31.5%	50%	97%
2006	117.4	11.3	58.9	47.3	3.8	11.2		29.8%	31.0%	58%	97%
2007							28.0%	31.1%		72%	97%
2008										80%	
2010 Target	110.0	11.5	59.1	34.4	3.9	13.8	9.0%	27.0%	30.0%	60%	100%
Comparator ²	29th	13th	33rd	7th	20th	39th	WA =17%				
Progress? ³	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes, but	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes, but
Last updated	4/21/2008	4/21/2007	4/21/2008	4/21/2007	10/6/2008	10/6/2008	11/7/2008	12/1/2008	10/6/2008	12/13/2008	11/6/2008

Is Oregon making progress?	
Crime	Yes, but
Emergency Preparedness	Yes, but
Public Safety	Yes, but

Notable improvement: #67a and b
 Notable concern: #64

- 1: 2001 and later data points not comparable to prior years due to changes in survey methodology
- 2: Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally. Care should be taken when comparing crime rates across states.
- 3: Progress (grade) is based on the trend of the data toward the target.

Benchmark # and Title	GROWTH MANAGEMENT				INFRASTRUCTURE					HOUSING		
	68a Traffic Congest'n Portland <i>Expression</i> <i>hours of travel delay</i>	68b Traffic Congest'n Other	69a Drinking Water Population <i>% with water</i>	69b Drinking Water Systems <i>%</i>	70 ¹ Alt. Commuting <i>% not driving alone</i>	71 Vehicle Miles Traveled <i>per capita, metro areas</i>	72a State Road Condition <i>% in fair or better condition</i>	72b-i State Bridge Condition	72b-ii Local Bridge Condition	73 ² Home Owner-ship <i>% owner</i>	74a Affordable Housing Renters <i>% below median income</i>	74b Affordable Housing Owners
1995	18.4	3.5	<i>meeting standards</i>	<i>meeting standards</i>	<i>alone</i>	<i>metro areas</i>				<i>occupied</i>	<i>spending 30% or more on housing, including utilities</i>	
1996	18.5	4.1			33.0%		78%					
1997	19.3	4.5					77%					
1998	19.7	4.9			29.0%	7090	77%					
1999	20.8	5.4	93%	83%		7130	78%	97%	86%			
2000	22.9	6.7	94%	80%	23.8%	7060		97%	87%	64.3%		
2001	19.1	6.1	93%	83%		7020	81%	94%	90%			
2002	19.4	6.7	92%	83%		7040		91%	89%	63.7%		
2003	20.0	6.4	94%	84%		7040	84%	88%	85%	63.2%		
2004	New data not available as of 1-24-09.		96%	85%	32.7%	6940	85%	87%	84%	63.0%	67.9%	52.3%
2005			94%	85%		6930		87%	84%	63.8%	68.9%	53.9%
2006			96%	88%	31.5%	6930	87%	87%	84%	64.8%	65.9%	53.8%
2007			90%	85%		6810		89%	84%	64.6%	64.6%	55.2%
2008			97%	86%	30.3%			89%	86%			
2010 Target	28.0	9.1	91%	90%	31.0%	6977	80%	92%	89%	to be reset	60.0%	50.0%
Comparator ³	WA=26.7 ('02)	16th ('05)	11th	39th	5th ('05)	13th	5th	21st		44th	33rd	38th
Progress? ⁴	Yes	Yes, but	Yes	Yes, but	Yes	Yes	Yes	No, but	No, but		No, but	No
Last updated	4/13/2007	4/13/2007	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	4/13/2007	12/13/2008	12/20/2008	12/19/2008	12/20/2008	8/26/2008	12/6/2008	12/7/2008

Is Oregon making progress?

Growth Management	Yes, but
Infrastructure	Yes, but
Housing	No, but
Built Environment	Yes, but

Notable improvement: #72a
Notable concern: 74a and b

- Notes
- #70 - Data for 2006 & 2008 not directly comparable to earlier data due to changes in survey and data source.
 - #73 - 2000 data from the Decennial Census, all other data from ACS
 - Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally. Comparators differ from benchmarks as follows:
#72a: Percent of all arterials & national highways in fair or better condition
 - Progress (grade) is based on the trend of the data toward the target.

Benchmark # and Title	AIR					WATER							LAND								
	75a	75b	76a ¹	76b ¹	77	78a	78b	79a	79b	79c	80a	80b	81 ²	81a ²	81b ²	82	83a ³	83b	84	85	
	Air Qual Sensitive Groups	Air Qual All Groups	Air Qual Cancer	Air Qual Respiratory	CO2 Emissions	Wetlands Fresh-water	Wetlands Estuarine	Strm Wtr Quality	Strm Wtr Quality	Strm Wtr Quality	Strm Wtr Quantity	Strm Wtr Quantity	Agri-cultural Overall	Agri-cultural Cropland	Agri-cultural Other	Forest Land	Timber Harvest Public	Timber Harvest Private	Municipal Solid Waste	Hazard's Substance Sites	
<i>Expression</i>	# of days that are		% of people at risk		% of 1990	acres gained or lost		% of streams with			% of streams meeting		% of 1974 lands not converted								
1996	unhealthy		86%	95%	120%						minimum flow rights		'74 - 100%	'74 - 100%	'74 - 100%	'74 - 100%	planned	projected	per capita	up	
1997	0	0			120%								'84 - 98.6%	'84 - 98.6%	'84 - 98.5%	'84 - 98.9%	harvest	harvest			
1998	10	1			119%			70%	1%	37%	94%	76%	'94 - 98.0%	'94 - 98.4%	'94 - 97.8%	'94 - 98.5%		83%	1609	44.6%	
1999	41	2	98%	99%	126%			64%	1%	41%	94%	65%						88%	1644	46.4%	
2000	54	2			126%			70%	1%	42%	82%	59%	97.8%	98.0%	97.7%	98.3%		93%	1617	55.5%	
2001	43	6			122%	129	-2	51%	5%	46%	82%	24%						85%	1531	62.5%	
2002	97	20			120%	91	1	37%	4%	46%	88%	35%						97%	1568	65.7%	
2003	17	1			117%	35	-2	32%	6%	48%	65%	35%						97%	1588	69.4%	
2004	15	1			121%	75	13	24%	10%	49%	94%	47%						106%	1636	71.0%	
2005	30	1			126%	21	0	14%	14%	51%	82%	53%	97.7%	97.9%	97.5%	98.2%	94%	102%	1673	72.7%	
2006	83	2				21	10	8%	21%	50%	94%	41%					80%	105%	1765	75.2%	
2007	120	10				102	20	6%	24%	49%	76%	29%					80%	90%	1756	77.1%	
2008						183	6														
2010 Target	20	3	95%	90%	106% ('05)	0	250	75%	0%	45%	65%	40%	tbd	tbd	tbd	97.4%	90%-110%	90%-110%	1533	79.9%	
Comparator ⁶						18th (all wetlands)		WA (40%)	WA (2%)												
Progress? ⁷	No	No			No	Yes	No	No, but	No	Yes	Yes, but	No				Yes	No	Yes, but	No	Yes	

Last updated 12/20/2008 12/20/2008 4/13/2007 4/13/2007 12/6/2008 12/13/2008 12/13/2008 12/13/2008 12/13/2008 12/13/2008 12/6/2008 12/6/2008 12/29/2008 12/29/2008 12/29/2008 3/31/2008 9/19/2008 9/19/2008 12/6/2008 11/7/2008

Benchmark # and Title	LAND, cont.			PLANTS AND WILDLIFE ⁴											REC				
	85a	85b	85c	86a	86b	87a	87b	87c	88a	88c	89	89a	89b	89c	89d	90 ⁵	91		
	Haz Subst Non-tank Sites	Haz Subst Regulated Tanks	Haz Subst Heating Oil Tnks	FreshWtr Species Fish	FreshWtr Species Othr Fish	Marine Species Fish	Marine Species Shellfish	Marine Species Mammals	Terrest. Species Verteb.	Terrest. Species Plants	Natural Habitat Overall	Natural Habitat Forests	Natural Habitats Shrubland	Natural Habitats Grassland	Nat ural Habitats Wetlands	Invasive Species	State Park Acreage		
<i>Expression</i>	% cleaned up			% of monitored species not at risk per Endangered Species Lists only											% of land that is a natural habitat				
1996				Experts agree that these benchmarks need to be changed.											See complete reports online for definition of "natural".				
1997																	29.0		
1998	19.6%	52.2%	39.8%					75%		98%							28.0		
1999	22.3%	56.5%	40.4%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%								29.0		
2000	25.9%	61.9%	54.1%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%						0		28.0		
2001	28.0%	68.0%	62.6%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%	98%					0		27.5		
2002	29.3%	73.2%	65.1%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%		Data gathered during the period 2000-2003:					1	27.5		
2003	30.3%	76.5%	69.3%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%	98%	80.7%	42.6%	27.8%	5.4%	3.7%	0	28.0		
2004	32.3%	78.3%	70.9%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%							0	27.6		
2005	33.2%	80.0%	72.9%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%							0	27.8		
2006	34.5%	82.0%	75.6%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%							0	27.7		
2007	36.3%	81.9%	78.1%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%							0	26.4		
2008																0	26.4		
2010 Target	37.8%	86.4%	80.7%	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	0	35.0		
Comparator ⁶																			
Progress? ⁷	Yes	Yes	Yes													Yes	No		

Notable improvement: #89a-d
Notable concern: #75a

Is Oregon making progress?	
Air	No
Water	No, but
Land	Yes, but
Plants and Wildlife	No finding
Outdoor Recreation	No
Natural Environment	No, but

Notes

- #76 - 2003 data point anticipated winter '09
- #81,81a & b - New baseline year and data series require targets to be reset.
- #83a - USFS decreased planned harvest levels in 2005. Prior data not comparable.
- #86c & 88b: benchmarks not shown; data n/a
- #90 - New Zealand mud snail established '02; 2008 data point is preliminary.
- Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares.

7: Progress (grade) is based on trend of data toward the target.

For rankings, "1st" is best.

For analysis, please see complete reports on individual benchmarks at <http://benchmarks.oregon.gov>.