

**Meeting Notes**  
from the  
**Data Sharing Partnership Meeting**  
Conducted at the Crook County Library, Prineville  
October 30, 2006

This was the fourth of six Data Sharing Partnership meetings held around the state of Oregon on behalf of the Association of Oregon Counties and the Oregon Geographic Information Council. The objectives of this meeting were to:

- Present the data sharing concept and rationale to local government officials
- Identify issues, concerns, items of key interest from meeting attendees
- Document the findings from the meeting and share information and ideas

***What the Data Sharing Partnership Should or Should NOT Be***

- Different types of users with different needs taken into account: govt., citizens, businesses
- Should start with base data – well-defined, simple data sets; this approach may help address some of the legal questions and could be an easier sell to the Legislature
- Need to define products and deliverables from the partnership; must be quid pro quo
- Should be a central point of access for users, or more limited number of access points
- Voluntary participation
- Define what can and can't be done with the data; the consequences of breaking a partnership agreement must be articulated
- Stable funding needed for development and maintenance
- Shared data model or structure; transformation or translation performed by State
- Defined roles/responsibilities for each participant
- Tiers of sharing based on data users and data uses
- Equal partnership, not dominated by any sector
- About geospatial data, not everything else
- Local government data sales should not be precluded by partnership
- Should not be unfunded mandate
- Should not result in increased risk exposure for local government
- Should not force increased effort or expenditure of resources by local government
- Should have out-clause for all partners
- Local governments should have control of their own business processes
- Various data elements must be synchronized regarding maintenance

***Barriers***

- No list of existing data or needed data sets
- Funding
- Liability /risk
- Loss of control – unintended consequences
- Lack of trust

## ***Opportunities***

- Web access = less support, based on experience of those doing it now
- Private sector and federal funding potential
- Locals could provide daily updates for dynamic data, while State would provide less timely updates of same data – daily updates could be sold as value-added product, State updates would be free to all
- State venue for sharing data that's difficult to share, via a portal or clearinghouse
- Also share expertise and applications (e.g., notifications, geocoding)
- State could take burden of providing data to citizens and private sector

## ***Concerns***

More data access = more support needed for users

Data may be misunderstood and/or misapplied

Local government data shared with State could disappear, never to be seen again

Uncertainty about level of detail involved with shared data

## ***Actions or Ideas for Next Steps***

Shared data sets need to be defined

Incentives for participation must be articulated and demonstrated more completely

Need implementation plan: Data definition; participation; funding; schedule/timeline

Process for collaborative problem resolution could be Legislative Work Group

- Established by a Legislative Committee
- Local/state co-chairs
- No legislators, keep politics out of it
- Liaison from Work Group to Governor's Office and Legislature

Work Group may recommend more permanent partnership management structure

Should be driven initially by govt. agencies, private sector could be advisors

## ***Participants in Prineville Meeting***

Dennis Luke, Deschutes Co. Commissioner

Scot Langton, Deschutes Co. Assessor

Bob Haas, Deschutes Co. GIS Manager

Greg Bates, City of Bend

Scott Cooper, Crook Co. Commissioner

Sim Ogle, Crook Co. GIS Manager

Holly Schue, BLM

Dave Nixon, Jefferson Co. GIS Manager

Russ Hanson, Crook County

Phil McClellan, OR Dept. of Revenue

Mark Kinslow, OR Dept. of Revenue

Tom Green, Crook Co. Assessor

Steve Dougill, Crook Co. GIS

Dave Gordon, Crook County

Chris Shirley, OR DLCD

Cy Smith, Dept. of Administrative Services